

**RFQ# 168233 Environmental & Hazardous Inspection and Testing Services  
Review Board Score Sheet**

Offeror	ECS Southeast, LLP	KS Ware & Assoc. LLC	QTS Group LLC	Stantec	TTL, Inc.	Terracon
Contract Acceptance	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Qualifications and Capacity to Perform (50 Points)	44.00	50.00	30.00	45.00	48.00	48.00
Project Approach (50 Points)	28.00	50.00	18.00	45.00	49.00	50.00
<b>Total Review Scores</b>	<b>72.00</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>48.00</b>	<b>90.00</b>	<b>97.00</b>	<b>98.00</b>

### Review Comments

ECS Southeast, LLP
<b>Strengths</b>
Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience providing the scope of work services of phase I and II. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience in Radon management. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.
<b>Weaknesses</b>
Firm's organization chart was unclear. Firm's proposal failed to clarify proposed team for Metro projects. Firm's company overview lacked detail. Firm's proposed project approach lacked detail for project management. Firm failed to respond to numbers 2 & 3 of the project approach section - Environmentally friendly practices & Reporting on ACM and RMS.

### KS Ware & Assoc. LLC

#### Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience providing scope of work services. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm's proposed project approach provided detailed information to include individual project examples. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

#### Weaknesses

None noted.

### QTS Group LLC

#### Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

#### Weaknesses

Firm's proposal demonstrated limited scope of work experience. Firm's proposal failed to demonstrate firm's capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm's company information lacked detail. Firm's proposal failed to address part of number 1, all of numbers 2, 3 and 5 of the project approach section. - Approach to managing the project; Environmentally friendly practices; Sustainability practices; Reporting on ACM and RMS. Firm failed to provide copies of training certificates as required per the solicitation.

### Stantec

#### Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's on-call services experience. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience providing the scope of work services. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm provided a detailed organizational chart. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm/team members have up-to-date training. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience in sample collection. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm has knowledge of and follows proper industry guidelines. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

#### Weaknesses

Firm's proposal lacked detailed for experience description for decontamination and remediation management. Firm's proposal lacked detail for key member roles. Firm failed to address Reporting on ACM and RMS.

**TTL, Inc.**

**Strengths**

Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience in providing scope of work services. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm's proposal provided detailed subcontractor information. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's project management experience. Firm provided a detailed project approach. Firm provided detailed reference projects. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

**Weaknesses**

Firm's overview of company's description of prior experience lacked detail. Firm's point of contact for Metro projects was unclear in firm's proposed project approach.

**Terracon**

**Strengths**

Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience in providing scope of work services. Firm's proposal demonstrated capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm provided a detailed project approach. Firm provided detailed reference projects to include team members that worked on the projects and their certifications. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

**Weaknesses**

Firm's HazMat testing lacked detail. Firm's organizational chart was unclear. Firm's "PDR" staff was unclear.

Enter Solicitation Title & Number Below	
Environmental & Hazardous Inspection & Testing Services (A&E); RFQ 168233	<b>Deemed Responsive</b>
Offeror's Name	
ECS Southeast LLP	<b>Yes</b>
KS Ware & Assoc LLC	<b>Yes</b>
QTS Group LLC	<b>Yes</b>
TTL Inc.	<b>Yes</b>
Terracon	<b>Yes</b>
Stantec	<b>Yes</b>

## BAO SBE Assessment Sheet

BAO Specialist: Sierra Washington

Contract Specialist: Christina Alexander

Date: 12/22/2021

Department Name: General Services

RFP/ITB Number: 168233

Project Name: Environmental and Hazardous Inspection and Testing Services (A&E) (7% SBE/SDV Requirement)

Primary Contractor:	SBE/SDV Requirement Acknowledged	Comments
Terracon Consultants, Inc.	Yes	Proposer acknowledged 7% participation requirement of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. Proposed to utilize the following SBE subcontractors: <b>Frost Environmental Services, Planning, Design and Research Engineers, and ASA Engineering and Consulting</b> for engineering services.



## Statement of M/WBE Utilization **A&E ONLY**

Proposer's/Firm's Name: Terracon Consultants, Inc.	Proposer's Phone #: 615-364-5404
Solicitation Title: Environmental and Hazardous Inspection and Testing Services	Proposer's Email Address: jim.duncan@terracon.com
Solicitation #: 168233	Total Bid Amount: NA
<b>EBO Goal (%)</b> : 5.00 MBE% 12 WBE%	EBO Goal Met? (Y/N) NA

The following MWBE\* subcontractor(s)/supplier(s) will be utilized for the performance of this project:

#	MBE/WBE Firm Name	MBE/WBE Firm Address	Phone/E-Mail	Certificate Type (MBE or WBE)	* MBE/WBE Group Type *	Code # UNSPS/NAICS	Description of Work
1	Pace Analytical	Highway 70, Mt. Juliet, TN	615-758-5858	WBE	5	77140000	Laboratory Services
2	PDR Engineers	2000 Lindell Ave, Nashville, TN	615-298-2065	MBE	4	77000000	Engineering Services
3	Asa Engineering	714 Cherry St., Chattanooga, TN	423-805-3700	WBE	5	77000000	Engineering Services
4				Select	Select		
5				Select	Select		
6				Select	Select		
7				Select	Select		

*I am the duly authorized representative and certify the facts and representations contained in this form and supporting documents are true and correct.*

Authorized Representative (Printed Name/Title/Signature) James Duncan, Principal	Date 10/28/2021
---	--------------------

\*Note: MWBE is defined as business enterprise maintaining a significant business presence in the Program Area & performing a commercial useful function that is owned by one or more of the following: (1) African Americans (2) Native Americans, (3) Hispanic Americans, (4) Asian Americans, and (5) Women.

Has Prime Acknowledged EBO Goals Achievement? Yes	<b>For Internal Office Use ONLY</b> If No, Good Faith Efforts Met?	BAO Only
---	---	----------

**BAO Representative:** Sierra Washington      **Metro Buyer:** Christina Alexander

**Project Manager:** Ava Elsaghir      **Date:** 12/21/21

**BAO Notes:**

Prime acknowledges they can achieve 5% MBE 12%WBE subcontracting goals. Consistent with the procurement code actual dollar amounts and percentages will be confirmed during contract negotiations.