

Notice of Intent to Award

| Solicitation Number | 167235 | Award Date | 10/5/2022 10:45 AM CDT | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Solicitation Title | Design for a New Metro Water Services Business Center (A&E) | | | | | | |
| Buyer Name | Brad Wall Buyer Email brad.wall@nashville.gov | | | | | | |
| BAO Rep | Evans Cline | BAO Email | evans.cline@nashville.gov | | | | |

Awarded Supplier(s)

| State Compar | TN | Zipcode | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | TN | Zipcode | |
| Compar | | | 37203 |
| | ny Contact | | |
| | | | |
| State | | Zipcode | |
| Compar | ny Contact | | |
| | | • | |
| State | | Zipcode | |
| - | - | | le coverage require than 15 days after |
| - | - | | |
| I to the | referenced b | | |
| to the | referenced b | ouyer no more | |
| pportunia signed | ity Program copy of the ctors include | letter of intended in the soli | than 15 days after to perform for any citation response. |
| pportunia signed | ity Program copy of the ctors include | letter of intended in the soli | than 15 days after |
| pportunia signed sistence | ity Program copy of the ctors include e office (BAC | letter of intended in the soli | than 15 days after to perform for any citation response. than two business of |
| | State of Insur | Company Contact State of Insurance | Company Contact State Zipcode |

copies of subcontracts, purchase orders, applications for payment, invoices, and cancelled checks.

Questions related to contract compliance may be directed to the referenced BAO rep. Yes, monthly reporting is applicable. No, monthly reporting is not applicable.

Public Information and Records Retention

Solicitation and award documentation are available upon request. Please email the referenced buyer to arrange.

A copy of this notice will be placed in the solicitation file and sent to all offerors.

Right to Protest

Per MCL 4.36.010 – any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract may protest to the purchasing agent. The protest shall be submitted in writing within ten (10) days after such aggrieved person knows or should have known of the facts giving rise thereto.

Mal,__Supervisor (Initial)

Michelle A. Hernandez Lane Purchasing Agent & Chief Procurement Officer

| RFQ #167235 - Design for a New Metro Water Services Business Center (A&E) | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Hastings Architecture, LLC | Tuck Hinton Architects | | | | |
| | Accepted Metro's standard contract | Proposed contract exceptions to Metro's | | | | |
| Contract Acceptance | without exceptions | standard contract | | | | |
| Management Plan, Approach, and Capacity to Perform (30 Points) | 24.00 | 28.00 | | | | |
| Team Structure and Team Qualifications (40 Points) | 34.00 | 32.00 | | | | |
| Relevant Project Experience (30 Points) | 30.00 | 30.00 | | | | |
| Total (100 Points) | 88.00 | 90.00 | | | | |

Hastings Architecture, LLC

Strengths - Overall, the offeror provided a detailed summary acknowledging the understanding of the outlined scope requirements to design the new facility. The offeror's proposed schedule for final design was the most aggressive. The offeror and the proposed subconsultants are located inside Davidson County. The offeror's resumes demonstrated the experience and qualification necessary fulfill the requirements of the resulting contract. The offeror demonstrated successful experience working together on previous projects similar in size, scope, and complexity. The offeror demonstrated they could provide design services for specialized spaces. The offeror provided a wide array of relevant project experience that is related to what Metro is seeking to procure in the resulting contract.

Weaknesses - The offeror's intended approach to providing unique or value-added activities that increase the efficiency, effectiveness, or overall quality of the program/project lacked detail. The meter shop was inaccurately represented as a vehicle maintenance facility in the proposal. The offeror's organizational chart was vague and no clear leadership indicated on the organizational chart. The offeror's description of how they would interact with the contractor working on the major construction of the Central Waste Water Treatment plant was not addressed.

Tuck Hinton Architects

Strengths - The offeror provided an exceptional understanding of the outlined scope requirements to design the new facility. The offeror provided detailed information pertaining to the functionality of the Meter shop and other components. The offeror's understanding of the key issues associated with the project and the goals were satisfactory; specifically, Metro liked the pedestrian and vehicular circulation patterns. The offeror provided a clear organizational chart. The offeror demonstrated they could provide design services for specialized spaces. The offeror provided a wide array of relevant project experience that is related to what Metro is seeking to procure in the resulting contract.

Weaknesses - The offeror's proposed schedule for final design was the least aggressive and not desirable. For some of the resumes, the offeror didn't provide the anticipated percentage of time to be dedicated to scope of services. The offeror's description of how they would interact with the contractor working on the major construction of the Central Waste Water Treatment plant was not addressed. The offeror didn't specifically demonstrate and provide details of successful experience working together on previous projects similar in size, scope, and complexity.

| DocuSign Envelope ID: A911F2B5-DE54-4D | DC2-8B1A-7DFBAA437A39 | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | | Statement (| of M/WBE U | Jtilization | | | | | |
| Proposer's/Firm's Name: Tuck-Hinton Architects, | Proposer's Phone #: 615-254-4100 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Proposer's Email Address: mroskilly@tuckhinton.com | | | | |
| | | | | | Amount Self-performed : 55% | | | | |
| VAIDE | | | | | Total Bid Amount: tbd (not included in RFQ and unknown at this time) | | | | |
| Proposed EBO Goal (%): 10 MBE% 22 WBE% | | | | | /N) YES | | | | |
| The following MWBE* subcontractor(s)/supplier(s) | will be utilized for the performance of this | project: | | | | | | | |
| MBE/WBE Firm Name | MBE/WBE Firm Address | Phone/E-Mail | Certificate Type (MBE or WBE) | * MBE/WBE Group Type * | Code # UNSPS/NAICS | Description of Work | MBE/WBE Dollars (\$) | Percent of Total Contract | |
| Logan Patri | 630-C Southgate Avenue, Nashville, TN 37203 | 615-726-2902 x2 rpatri@loganpatr | MBE | 1 | 81101505 | Structural Engineering | tbd | 10% | |
| ₂ DF+H Service, PLLC | P.O. Box 120371, Nashville, TN 37212 | 615-207-4721 office@dfhservices. | WBE | 1 | 81101600 | M,P,E& FP Engineering | tbd | 20% | |
| Wilmot, Inc. | 3811 Central Ave Nashville, TN 37205 | 615-385-1220 twilmot@wilmotinc.c | WBE | 1 | 77101700 | Sustainability & LEED Consultant | tbd | 2% | |
| 4 | | | Select | Select | | | | | |
| 5 | | | Select | Select | | | | | |
| 6 | | | Select | Select | | | | | |
| 7 | | | Select | Select | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| I am the duly authorized representative and certify | the facts and representations contained in | this form and support | ting documents are tro | ue and correct. | | | | | |
| Authorized Representative (Printed Name/Title/Signature) Mary Roskilly, Owner/Partner Date 04/08 | | | | | | 04/08/2022 | | | |
| *Note: MWBE is defined as business enterprise maintaining a significant business prescience in the Program Area & performing a commercial useful function that is owned by one or more of the following: (1) African Americans (2) Native Americans, (3) Hispanic Americans, (4) Asian Americans, and (5) Women. | | | | | | | | | |
| Has Prime Complied with EBO Goal? | ES 🔽 | For Inter | nal Office Use If No, Good Fait | ONLY h Efforts Met? | BAO Only | | | | |
| BAO Representative: Evans Cline | 20/00/00 | | | | | | | | |
| Total MBE Subcontracting Total WBE Subcontracting Total MBE/WBE Participation: | 10 % 77 % 87 % | \$ tbd \$ tbd \$ tbd | | | | | | | |

BAO Notes:

Prime acknowledges they can achieve both M/WBE subcontracting goals, Consistent with the procurement code, actual dollar amounts and percentages will be confirmed during contract negotiations.

| E | 3 Δ | 0 | SE | E | Λ | ssessmen | t S | heet |
|---|-----|---|----|---|---|----------|-----|------|

BAO Specialist: Evans Cline

Contract Specialist: Brad Wall

Date: 9/30/22

Department Name: Water

RFP/ITB Number: 167235

Project Name: Design for New Metro Water Services Business Center (A&E)

SBE/SDV

Requirement

| Primary Contractor | Acknowledged? | Comments |
|------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Tuck-Hinton Architects | Yes | Proposer acknowledged the 16% SBE/SDV participation requirement over the life of the project as required by the solicitation. The prime is an approved SBE and proposed the engagement of SBE firms LOGAN PATRI ENGINEERING, INC, HDLA, WILMOT, and BARGE CAUTHEN ASSOCIATES. Consistent with procurement code, actual dollar amount and percentages will be confirmed during contract negotiation. |