

Karl Dean  
MAYOR



## METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE  
OFFICE OF FINANCIAL ACCOUNTABILITY  
700 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue South, STE 201  
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37210

March 27, 2013

Chief Steve Anderson  
Police Department  
200 James Robertson Parkway  
Nashville, TN 37201

Dear Chief Anderson:

The Office of Financial Accountability has completed a review of a selected number of the FY12 Budget Key Measures Results as reported to the Office of Management and Budget for the year ended June 30, 2012. The purpose of the review was to verify the accuracy of your department's reported results.

As stated in the engagement letter, we randomly selected and tested program results that constitute a minimum of 10% of the department's total reported program budgets. We have completed our review of the supporting documentation and test of the computation of the reported results of the selected FY12 key measures. The results of the testing are attached for your review.

We appreciate the assistance provided by your agency during the course of the review. If you have any questions, please call me at 615-862-6712.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Kevin Brown".

Kevin Brown  
Finance Administrator

Encl

CC: Richard M. Riebeling, Director of Finance  
Talia Lomax-O'dneal, Deputy Director of Finance  
Lt. Jim Stephens, Police Department  
Fred Adom, Director, Office of Financial Accountability, Department of Finance  
Brad Thompson, Office of Financial Accountability, Department of Finance  
Essie Robertson, Office of Financial Accountability, Department of Finance  
Ken Hartlage, Office of Management and Budget, Department of Finance  
Christopher Williams, Office of Management and Budget, Department of Finance

## ATTACHMENT I

---

Department:	Police
Purpose:	To verify the accuracy of the department's performance measures as reported within WEBudget.
Scope:	FY 2012
Methodology:	The Office of Financial Accountability randomly selected a sample that consisted of a minimum of 10% of the department's reported budgeted program dollars.
Total Reported Budget:	\$163,159,800
Line of Business:	Operational Support
Program:	Office of Professional Accountability
Total Tested Budget:	\$1,292,700
Percent Tested:	0.79%
Performance Measure:	Percent of cases completed within 45 days
<b>Reported Data:</b>	<b>83.64%</b>
<b>OFA Calculation:</b>	<b>83.64%</b>
Was selected reported performance measure verified?	Yes

## ATTACHMENT II

---

Department:	Police
Purpose:	To verify the accuracy of the department's performance measures as reported within WEBudget.
Scope:	FY 2012
Methodology:	The Office of Financial Accountability randomly selected a sample that consisted of a minimum of 10% of the department's reported budgeted program dollars.
Total Reported Budget:	\$163,159,800
Line of Business:	Operational Support
Program:	Case Preparation
Total Tested Budget:	\$593,800
Percent Tested:	0.36%
Performance Measure:	Percent of cases preparation requests completed within 30 days
<b>Reported Data:</b>	<b>97.36%</b>
<b>OFA Calculation:</b>	<b>97.36%</b>
Was selected reported performance measure verified?	Yes

### ATTACHMENT III

---

Department:	Police
Purpose:	To verify the accuracy of the department's performance measures as reported within WEBudget.
Scope:	FY 2012
Methodology:	The Office of Financial Accountability randomly selected a sample that consisted of a minimum of 10% of the department's reported budgeted program dollars.
Total Reported Budget:	\$163,159,800
Line of Business:	Operational Support
Program:	Inspections
Total Tested Budget:	\$635,000
Percent Tested:	0.39%
Performance Measure:	Percentage change in the number of subpoenas issued to MNPD personnel processed vs. same reporting period last year
<b>Reported Data:</b>	<b>-.64%</b>
<b>OFA Calculation:</b>	<b>-.64%</b>
Was selected reported performance measure verified?	Yes

## ATTACHMENT IV

---

Department:	Police
Purpose:	To verify the accuracy of the department's performance measures as reported within WEBudget.
Scope:	FY 2012
Methodology:	The Office of Financial Accountability randomly selected a sample that consisted of a minimum of 10% of the department's reported budgeted program dollars.
Total Reported Budget:	\$163,159,800
Line of Business:	Investigative Services
Program:	Criminal Investigations
Total Tested Budget:	\$5,727,900
Percent Tested:	3.51%
Performance Measure:	Percentage change in the occurrence of reported rape (as defined by UCR)
<b>Reported Data:</b>	<b>12.6%</b>
<b>OFA Calculation:</b>	<b>12.6%</b>
Was selected reported performance measure verified?	Yes

## ATTACHMENT V

---

Department:	Police
Purpose:	To verify the accuracy of the department's performance measures as reported within WEBudget.
Scope:	FY 2012
Methodology:	The Office of Financial Accountability randomly selected a sample that consisted of a minimum of 10% of the department's reported budgeted program dollars.
Total Reported Budget:	\$163,159,800
Line of Business:	Field Operations
Program:	Special Events
Total Tested Budget:	\$1,122,000
Percent Tested:	0.69%
Performance Measure:	Percent of special events that do not require more than 5% of on-duty personnel for total staffing
<b>Reported Data:</b>	<b>92.9%</b>
<b>OFA Calculation:</b>	<b>92.9%</b>
Was selected reported performance measure verified?	Yes

## ATTACHMENT VI

---

Department:	Police
Purpose:	To verify the accuracy of the department's performance measures as reported within WEBudget.
Scope:	FY 2012
Methodology:	The Office of Financial Accountability randomly selected a sample that consisted of a minimum of 10% of the department's reported budgeted program dollars.
Total Reported Budget:	\$163,159,800
Line of Business:	Administrative
Program:	Information Technology
Total Tested Budget:	\$6,236,700
Percent Tested:	3.82%
Performance Measure:	Percent of mission critical application uptime
<b>Reported Data:</b>	<b>99.97%</b>
<b>OFA Calculation:</b>	<b>99.97%</b>
Was selected reported performance measure verified?	Yes

## ATTACHMENT VII

---

Department:	Police
Purpose:	To verify the accuracy of the department's performance measures as reported within WEBudget.
Scope:	FY 2012
Methodology:	The Office of Financial Accountability randomly selected a sample that consisted of a minimum of 10% of the department's reported budgeted program dollars.
Total Reported Budget:	\$163,159,800
Line of Business:	Administrative
Program:	Executive Leadership
Total Tested Budget:	\$1,573,300
Percent Tested:	0.96%
Performance Measure:	Percent of departmental programs meeting their key result measures
<b>Reported Data:</b>	<b>46.3%</b>
<b>OFA Calculation:</b>	<b>46.3%</b>
Was selected reported performance measure verified?	Yes