

Karl Dean
MAYOR



METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE
OFFICE OF FINANCIAL ACCOUNTABILITY
700 2nd Avenue South, STE 201
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37210

March 13, 2014

Steve Anderson – Chief of Police
Police Department
200 James Robertson Parkway
Nashville, TN 37201

Dear Chief Anderson:

The Office of Financial Accountability has completed a review of a selected number of the FY13 Budget Key Measures Results as reported to the Office of Management and Budget for the year ended June 30, 2013. The purpose of the review was to verify the accuracy of your department's reported results.

As stated in the engagement letter, we randomly selected and tested program results that constitute a minimum of 10% of the department's total reported program budgets. We have completed our review of the supporting documentation and test of the computation of the reported result of the selected FY13 key measures. The results of the testing are attached for your review.

We appreciate the assistance provided by your agency during the course of the review. If you have any questions, please call me at 615-862-6712.

Sincerely,

Kevin Brown

Kevin Brown
Finance Administrator

CC: Richard M. Riebeling, Director of Finance
Talia Lomax-O'dneal, Deputy Director of Finance
Lt. James Stephens, Police Department
Fred Adom, Director, Office of Financial Accountability, Department of Finance
Brad Thompson, Office of Financial Accountability, Department of Finance
Essie Robertson, Office of Financial Accountability, Department of Finance
Ken Hartlage, Office of Management and Budget, Department of Finance
Rebecca Stephens, Office of Management and Budget, Department of Finance
Christopher Williams, Office of Management and Budget, Department of Finance

ATTACHMENT I

Department:	Police Department
Purpose:	To verify the accuracy of the department's performance measures as reported to the Office of Management and Budget.
Scope:	FY 2013
Methodology:	The Office of Financial Accountability randomly selected a sample that consisted of a minimum of 10% of the department's reported budgeted program dollars.
Total Reported Budget:	\$151,865,500
Program:	Office of Professional Accountability
Total Tested Budget:	\$1,296,700
Percent Tested:	0.85%
Performance Measure:	Percentage of cases resolved by settlement
Reported Data:	48.53%
OFA Calculation:	48.53%
Was selected reported performance measure verified?	Yes

ATTACHMENT II

Department: Police Department

Purpose: To verify the accuracy of the department's performance measures as reported to the Office of Management and Budget.

Scope: FY 2013

Methodology: The Office of Financial Accountability randomly selected a sample that consisted of a minimum of 10% of the department's reported budgeted program dollars.

Total Reported Budget: \$151,865,500

Program: Youth Services

Total Tested Budget: \$2,128,700

Percent Tested: 1.40%

Performance Measure: Percentage change in number of assigned cases

Reported Data: **5%**

OFA Calculation: **-5%**

Was selected reported performance measure verified? **No**

ATTACHMENT III

Department:	Police Department
Purpose:	To verify the accuracy of the department's performance measures as reported to the Office of Management and Budget.
Scope:	FY 2013
Methodology:	The Office of Financial Accountability randomly selected a sample that consisted of a minimum of 10% of the department's reported budgeted program dollars.
Total Reported Budget:	\$151,865,500
Program:	Fugitives
Total Tested Budget:	\$649,500
Percent Tested:	0.43%
Performance Measure:	Percentage change in the number of extraditions completed
Reported Data:	-9%
OFA Calculation:	-9%
Was selected reported performance measure verified?	Yes

ATTACHMENT IV

Department: Police Department

Purpose: To verify the accuracy of the department's performance measures as reported to the Office of Management and Budget.

Scope: FY 2013

Methodology: The Office of Financial Accountability randomly selected a sample that consisted of a minimum of 10% of the department's reported budgeted program dollars.

Total Reported Budget: \$151,865,500

Program: Madison Precinct

Total Tested Budget: \$10,582,700

Percent Tested: 6.97%

Performance Measure: Percentage change in the occurrence of violent crimes

Reported Data: **-13.5%**

OFA Calculation: **-13.5%**

Was the reported measure verified? Yes

ATTACHMENT V

Department: Police Department

Purpose: To verify the accuracy of the department's performance measures as reported to the Office of Management and Budget.

Scope: FY 2013

Methodology: The Office of Financial Accountability randomly selected a sample that consisted of a minimum of 10% of the department's reported budgeted program dollars.

Total Reported Budget: \$151,865,500

Program: Patrol Task Force

Total Tested Budget: \$734,000

Percent Tested: 0.48%

Performance Measure: Percentage change in special enforcement operations completed

Reported Data: **-12%**

OFA Calculation: **-12%**

Was selected reported performance measure verified? Yes

ATTACHMENT VI

Department:	Police Department
Purpose:	To verify the accuracy of the department's performance measures as reported to the Office of Management and Budget.
Scope:	FY 2013
Methodology:	The Office of Financial Accountability randomly selected a sample that consisted of a minimum of 10% of the department's reported budgeted program dollars.
Total Reported Budget:	\$151,865,500
Program:	Risk Management
Total Tested Budget:	\$118,400
Percent Tested:	0.08%
Performance Measure:	Percentage change in the number of injury claims processed vs same reporting period previous year
Reported Data:	-21.37%
OFA Calculation:	-21.37%
Was selected reported performance measure verified?	Yes