

Summary of Changes from Plan C to Recommended Plan inc MPC Changes

General Corrections to Misinformation

- Council District boundaries do not determine police precinct boundaries. Police coverage of your area will not change if your Council district changes.
- Board of Education district boundaries do not determine where children will go to school. Each Board of Education member is elected from, and serves, a specific part of the county. Student assignment is done by the Metropolitan Public Schools in an entirely different process.

Changes from Plan C to the Recommended Plan

Planning staff received numerous comments and suggestions at the public meetings on March 30 and via email and phone. Staff tested all proposals made by the community. Many requests were able to be honored or partially honored. Some requested changes could not be made. Still other changes occurred when one proposed change prompted another change. The following is a brief summary of the major changes from Plan C to the Recommended Plan. *Note that the Recommended Plan will be considered by the Metro Planning Commission at 4 p.m. on Thursday, March 31 at Metro Southeast. There will be no public hearing.*

Council District 1 – No changes were made to proposed District 1 from Plan C to the Recommended Plan.

Council Districts 2 and 3 – The boundary between District 2 and 3 was changed from Ewing Drive to Ewing Creek. This reunited the Aldrich Heights Neighborhood association.

Council Districts 4, 5, and 6 – No changes were made to these Districts between Plan C and the Recommended Plan.

- A request was made to move the Wakefield Dr./San Marcos Dr./Hill Rd. area back from proposed District 4 to District 27, where it is in today's Council Districts. This was tested but could not be accomplished without moving too much population.
- Requests were made to place more property along Main Street and more property along Gallatin Pike into Council District 6. Planning staff tested these requests while creating Plan C and tested them again in creating the Recommended Plan, but found that it shifted too much population between District 5 and 6, making District 5 too small.

Council Districts 7 and 8 – No changes were made to these Districts between Plan C and the Recommended Plan.

- Planning staff reviewed comments received on Council Districts 7 and 8, including several conflicting recommendations, for example, a request to move more of Inglewood west of

Gallatin into District 7 versus a request to move both sides of Gallatin into District 8. Staff did test shifting more of Inglewood (including portions west of Gallatin Road) into District 7, but this gave District 7 too many people and District 8 too few.

- Planning staff reviewed the request to shift the northern boundary of District 8 southward to Briley Parkway. This could not be accomplished because too much population resides between the Plan C northern boundary of District 8 (Apple Valley Road, One Mile Parkway, I65, and Old Hickory Boulevard) and the proposed boundary (Briley Parkway). District 8 needs this population to achieve the population minimum for a Council District.
- Planning staff decided to present Plan C as the Recommended Plan, because it meets the most of the other guidelines.

Council Districts 9 and 10 – No changes were made to these Districts between Plan C and the Recommended Plan.

Council Districts 11, 12 and 14 – The Recommended Plan returns to many of the boundaries first proposed in Plan A, which are generally boundaries that are the *currently existing* Council Districts. These boundary shifts occurred in the Tulip Grove, Lebanon Pike, and Andrew Jackson Parkway areas.

MPC Change - A portion of the northern boundary adjacent to Wilson County was moved from Old Lebanon Dirt Road north to Chandler Road, taking that section out of proposed District 11 and placing it in proposed District 12. In order to keep the populations of Districts 11 and 12 within the required thresholds, a portion of proposed District 12 bounded by Lebanon Pike, Trenton Drive, Albany Drive, and Andrew Jackson Parkway was moved to proposed District 11.

Council Districts 13 and 28 – Changes were made along the boundary of Districts 13 and 28.

- A portion of the southeastern boundary of District 13 was moved from Harding Place to Mill Creek with District 13 gaining additional population. This helped to balance population with District 28.
- Also, the northern boundary of District 28 shifted from Plan C to the Recommended Plan. The area along both sides of Franklin Limestone Road, including Ransom Place, was moved from District 13 to District 28. As a result, all of Franklin Limestone Road is in District 28.

MPC Change - The area bounded by Donelson Pike, Murfreesboro Pike, Town Park Drive, D Ville Drive, Southwind Drive, Ezell Pike, and Harding Place was moved from proposed Council District 13 to proposed Council District 28 to reunite the Town Park neighborhood.

Council District 15 – No changes were made to District 15 between Plan C and the Recommended Plan.

- Planning staff did test a request to have the area bounded by I40 to the north, Mill Creek to the east, Murfreesboro Pike to the south and Spence Lane to the west removed from Council District 15. This area has a population of over 1, 800 people and when it was moved out of

District 15, it lowered the population of District 15 below the acceptable minimum. Therefore, this area was left in District 15.

Council District 16 – No changes were made to District 16 between Plan C and the Recommended Plan.

- Planning staff did test a request to move the Sadler Court area from District 17 into District 16. This shifted too much population into District 16, placing it above the allowable maximum, so the change was not made.
- Planning staff also tested the request to make the rail lines by the Grassmere Zoo the southern boundary for District 16. This was tested in Plan C and for the Recommended Plan, but only a small portion of Veritas and Allied Drive could be moved into District 16. The remainder of the Census blocks around Allied Drive could not be moved because the addition of population in this area put District 16 over the maximum population limit.

Council Districts 17 and 19 – The boundary between District 17 and 19 was shifted in Plan C and the Recommended Plan.

- An area bounded by Edgehill Avenue to the south; 13th Ave. to the east; the alley between 16th and 17th Ave. to the west; and to the north, Grand Avenue, 15th Ave. and South Ave. – this area was moved from Council District 17 to District 19 to meet population distribution goals.
- Planning staff received a request for the Belmont-Waverly neighborhood to be moved into District 18 with the Belmont-Hillsboro neighborhood. This could not be accomplished and still have compact districts with balanced population.

Council Districts 18, 21 and 24 – Several small, but significant changes were made to address requests from the community and to ensure appropriate population counts for Districts 18, 21 and 24.

- In Plan C, the north side of Lombardy was moved into District 18 due to Census block boundaries although it is on the south side of I440, when the remainder of District 18 is north of I440. In the Recommended Plan, the north side of Lombardy was moved back into District 25, where it is today in the *current, existing* Council boundaries.
- To regain the population lost by moving part of Lombardy to District 25, a small area around Byron/Gillespie was moved from District 24 into District 18. The Byron/Gillespie area is part of the Hillsboro/West End Neighborhood Association (HWEN), which is currently split between District 18 and District 24. This places more of HWEN into District 18.
- Finally, minor boundary adjustments were made around West End and 30th/31st to achieve population balance between Districts 18 and 21.

Council District 19 and 21 – Small changes were made on the boundaries of these districts.

- On District 19's northern boundary, the Dominican campus and the area bounded by Dominican Drive/3rd Ave. N. on the east side of Rosa Parks Blvd. was moved to District 21. This change was made to help District 19 achieve an acceptable population count.
- Another change – between Council Districts 17 and 19 – is described above under “Council Districts 17 and 19.”
- Finally, a third change was made by shifting a boundary between Council Districts 21 and 19. In Plan C, the western boundary was 21st Ave. N. between West End and Charlotte. In the Recommended Plan, the western boundary is McMillin Street and 16th Ave. N.
- Planning staff did receive a request to revert to Plan A for District 19, which encompassed more of the Midtown area. This could not be accommodated and still meet the minimum population counts for Districts 21 and 18.

Council District 20 – No changes were made to District 20 between Plan C and the Recommended Plan.

- Planning staff tested a request to place both sides of White Bridge Road – between Charlotte Pike and Kendall Dr. – into District 24. This move could not be made because the Census blocks are too large, encompassing too many people. White Bridge Road remains as the boundary between Districts 24 and 20 in the Recommended Plan.

Council Districts 21 and 24 – Several small, but significant changes were made along the boundary of District 21, to address community comments, to make cleaner boundary lines and to address population distributions.

- The Wrenwood Neighborhood between I440, Murphy Road and the rail lines was returned to District 24 in the Recommended Plan. This neighborhood is in District 24 in the *existing* Council boundaries.
- A portion of the area north of Charlotte, south of I40, and east of the rail lines moved from District 21 to District 24. The small neighborhood north of Charlotte Ave., that is centered on Trevor St., Felicia St. and 35th Ave. N. – this neighborhood remains in District 21.
- A minor boundary adjustment was made around West End and 30th/31st to achieve population balance between Districts 18 and 21.
- Another change was made by shifting a boundary between Council Districts 21 and 19. In Plan C, the western boundary was 21st Ave. N. between West End and Charlotte. In the Recommended Plan, the western boundary is McMillin Street and 16th Ave. N.
- Finally, on District 19's northern boundary, the Dominican campus and the area bounded by Dominican Drive/3rd Ave. N. on the east side of Rosa Parks Blvd. was moved to District 21. This change was made to help District 19 achieve an acceptable population count.

Council Districts 22 and 35 – The Plan C version of the two Council Districts in Bellevue remains in the Recommended Plan, with one minor change.

- A change was made to the northeastern edge of the Plan C version of District 22. The boundary was moved from Hapwood and Rodney Drives and a property line, to Charlotte Pike. This provides a more rational property line and shifts a small area from Council District 35 into Council District 22.
- Planning staff tested a request to realign the boundary around Bellevue Road east of Old Harding Pike to bring more land/population into District 22. This could not be accomplished and still achieve balanced population between District 22 and District 35.
- Planning staff tested a request to use Old Hickory Blvd as the boundary from I-40 all the way to Hwy. 100. This shifted too much population into District 23, which left District 22 with too little population.
- The Plan C version was chosen as the Recommended Plan because it honors the existing Council District boundaries; preserves many neighborhoods; and attempts to place as much of the undeveloped areas with environmentally sensitive features as possible into one district.

Council District 23 – No changes were made to District 23 between Plan C and the Recommended Plan.

Council District 24 – Three changes were made on the boundaries between 24/21 and between 24/18.

- The Wrenwood Neighborhood between I-440, Murphy Road and the rail lines was returned to District 24 in the Recommended Plan. This neighborhood is in District 24 in the *existing* Council boundaries.
- A portion of the area north of Charlotte, south of I-40, and east of the rail lines moved from District 21 to District 24. The small neighborhood north of Charlotte Ave., that is centered on Trevor St., Felicia St. and 35th Ave. N. – this neighborhood remains in District 21.
- Finally, a small area around Byron/Gillespie was moved from District 24 into District 18. The Byron/Gillespie area is part of the Hillsboro/West End Neighborhood Association (HWEN), which is currently split between District 18 and District 24. This places more of HWEN into District 18.

Council Districts 25 and 34 – Significant changes were made to the boundaries between Council Districts 25 and 34, at the request of the community, to reunite neighborhoods split in Plan C.

- In the Recommended Plan, the portion of the Oak Hill north of Battery Ln. was moved from District 25 into District 34.
- This allowed the area south of Harding Place, including Belmont Park Terrace to be moved from District 25 into District 34. It is in District 34 in the *current, existing* Council boundaries.

- Finally, the split in Oak Hill allowed for the Castleman/Hobbs neighborhood, the Abbottsford development, and the Skyline/Vailwood area to be moved into District 34, while still achieving acceptable populations between Districts 25 and 34.
- On the northern boundary of District 25, the Lombardy neighborhood was moved – in the Recommended Plan – back into District 25. It had been in District 18 in Plan C.

Councils District 26 and 27 – From Plan C to the Recommended Plan, a small boundary change was made on the southeastern boundary of District 26 – a switch from Edmondson Pike as the boundary to Mill Creek as the boundary in the vicinity of Ellington Agricultural Center. This is the boundary shared with District 27.

Council Districts 27 and 30 – Two changes were made to District 27 between Plan C and the Recommended Plan.

- Council District 30 gained population when one of its southern boundaries shifted from Melpar Dr. to Strasser Dr.
- Council District 27 gained population when its eastern-most boundary shifted from Eulala Dr. and Brewer Dr. to Tusculum Ct.
- A request was made to use more of Seven Mile Creek as a boundary, versus Edmondson Pike. This could only be accommodated along a portion of Seven Mile Creek.
- A request was made to move the Wakefield Dr./San Marcos Dr./Hill Rd. area back from proposed District 4 to District 27, where it is in today's Council Districts. This was tested but could not be accomplished without moving too much population.

Council Districts 28 and 32 – The boundaries on the north and south of District 28 were changed to address community requests and to balance population between Districts 13, 28 and 32.

- In the Recommended Plan, Rader Ridge Road, Rader Ridge Court, Countryside Drive, Streamfield Pass and its cul-de-sacs move from District 28 from 32.
- Also, the Rural Hill/Moss Road neighborhood was moved from District 32 to 28.
- Cambridge Forest subdivision was moved to District 32. The boundary on this shift is awkward due to the Census block configuration.
- A portion of the southeastern boundary of District 13 was moved from Harding Place to Mill Creek with District 13 gaining additional population. This helped to balance population with District 28.

- Finally, the northern boundary of District 28 shifted from Plan C to the Recommended Plan. The area along both sides of Franklin Limestone Road, including Ransom Place, was moved from District 13 to District 28. As a result, all of Franklin Limestone Road is in District 28.

Council District 29 – No changes were made to District 29 between Plan C and the Recommended Plan.

- Planning staff tested a request to adjust the boundary that, in Plan C, splits Smith Springs Townhomes along the road that the townhomes front onto. This boundary could not be shifted because it is a Census block boundary and the two Census blocks on either side are too large to move both into District 29 or both into 33 without pushing the population totals for these Districts out of compliance.

Council Districts 30 – Three changes were made to District 30 between Plan C and the Recommended Plan.

- Council District 30 gained population when one of its southern boundaries shifted from Melpar Dr. to Strasser Dr.
- Meanwhile, Council District 27 gained population from District 30 when its eastern-most boundary shifted from Eulala Dr. and Brewer Dr. to Tusculum Ct.
- Finally, the boundary of District 30 shifted inward when the southeast quadrant of the intersection of Harding Place and Nolensville Pike was moved to District 26. This places all four quadrants of this prominent intersection in District 26.

Council District 31 – No changes were made to District 31 between Plan C and the Recommended Plan. A comment was received to ensure that all of the Oak Highlands/Deer Valley HOA remain in one Council District. In Plan C and in the Recommended Plan, this HOA is all in District 31.

Council District 32 – The boundaries on the north side of District 32 were changed to address community requests and to balance population between Districts 28 and 32.

- In the Recommended Plan, Rader Ridge Road, Rader Ridge Court, Countryside Drive, Streamfield Pass and its cul-de-sacs move from District 28 from 32.
- Also, the Rural Hill/Moss Road neighborhood was moved from District 32 to 28.
- Cambridge Forest subdivision was moved to District 32. The boundary on this shift is awkward due to the Census block configuration.
- Planning staff also tested a request to reunite the Cane Ridge community. In Plan C, the Cane Ridge community is split between three Council Districts. One request was to split the community between only two Council Districts; another request was to keep the Cane Ridge community in just one Council district. The Cane Ridge community is quite large - due to the

large Census blocks with significant population, the proposed change could not be made and still attain population balance between Districts 31, 32 and 33.

Council District 33 – No changes were made to District 33 between Plan C and the Recommended Plan.

- Planning staff tested a request to adjust the boundary that, in Plan C, splits Smith Springs Townhomes along the road that the townhomes front onto. This boundary could not be shifted because it is a Census block boundary and the two Census blocks on either side are too large to move both into District 29 or both into 33 without pushing the population totals for these Districts out of compliance.

Council Districts 34 and 25 – Significant changes were made to the boundaries between Council Districts 34 and 25, at the request of the community, to reunite neighborhoods that split in Plan C.

- In the Recommended Plan, the portion of the Oak Hill north of Battery Ln. was moved from District 25 into District 34.
- This allowed the area south of Harding Place, including Belmont Park Terrace to be moved from District 25 into District 34. It is in District 34 in the *current, existing* Council boundaries.
- Finally, the split in Oak Hill allowed for the Castleman/Hobbs neighborhood, the Abbottsford development, and the Skyline/Vailwood area to be moved into District 34, while still achieving acceptable populations between Districts 25 and 34.
- On the northern boundary of District 25, the Lombardy neighborhood was moved – in the Recommended Plan – back into District 25. It had been in District 18 in Plan C.

Council Districts 35 and 22 – The Plan C version of the two Council Districts in Bellevue remains in the Recommended Plan, with one minor change.

- A change was made to the northeastern edge of the Plan C version of District 22. The boundary was moved from Hapwood and Rodney Drives and a property line, to Charlotte Pike. This provides a more rational property line and shifts a small area from Council District 35 into Council District 22.
- The Plan C version was chosen as the Recommended Plan because it honors the existing Council District boundaries; preserves many neighborhoods; and attempts to place as much of the undeveloped areas with environmentally sensitive features as possible into one district.