

Comments, March 27-28, oldest first

I am a current resident of (address redacted). I would like to express my concerns regarding the efforts to redraw line in district 29. I have been a part of the Edge O Lake Neighborhood Association all my life and now would walk out my door literally just a few footsteps and across the street you have now proposed to make the other side of my street another district. This is where my daughter lives and she is with the Edge O Lake neighborhood association and I am also a member. My daughter as well as my neighbors across the street are being placed in District 24 according to your Alternative A plan. We meet at Lakeview School. She and friends would be disconnected and potentially represented by a different person than me. This is unacceptable. I request that my address remain in District 29 the new District 24 (the new proposed district).

In addition, I have been helping a candidate in this upcoming race. We don't want to be divided from friends, neighbors, associates. . . everyone we have worked long and hard to establish a sense of community.

Again thank you for your time. Thank you for keeping our neighborhood whole and intact. Please keep the current district line at Smith Springs and Anderson Road and/or Smith Springs and Old Anderson Road. The Edge O Lake neighborhood should remain in District 29...your proposed District 24. Thank you.

Please leave the area known as Woodland Pointe, on Bell Road South of Elm Hill Pike on Percy Priest Lake, to remain in the Donelson area and not in the Una/Antioch area.

I am opposed to the proposed plan. I do not like District 18 being cut up and attached to several other districts.

The HWEN neighborhood is a cohesive & natural district/neighborhood. Do not chop us up. Let's not try to fix what's not broken.

I understand that the Metro Planning Commission Staff is considering moving the Woodland Point into district 24.

We want to stay a part of Donelson-Hermitage.

Please leave the area known as Woodland Pointe, on Bell Road South of Elm Hill Pike on Percy Priest Lake, to remain in the Donelson area and not in the Una/Antioch area.

Words cannot convey how strongly I am opposed to the idea of my neighborhood being moved from the Donelson-Hermitage into the Antioch district. I will gladly take whatever (legal) action I must to prevent this catastrophe from happening.

(name and address redacted)
WoodlandPointe Subdivision
Nashville (NOT ANTIIOC) TN

Mr Bernhardt -

My husband and I are homeowners in Woodland Pointe and it has come to my attention that Metro has released a Plan A version of redrawn district lines to be finalized very quickly. I am told that Lines were drawn by staffers at the Planning Commission, and our current district 13 is scheduled to become district -24. We have reviewed these lines at www.nashville.gov.

It is our understanding that the current recommendation stops our district at Elm Hill Pike - and we would lose all homes north of Elm Hill - and it crosses Murfreesboro Road pulling in an area of Una-Antioch.

Please know that Woodland Pointe is and should remain a part of Donelson-Hermitage, not Una-Antioch. I am a native Nashvillian who grew up in Donelson-Hermitage and I love it.

In closing, Mr. Bernhardt, please let this serve as a notice to you that we are not in favor of the new district as designed in Plan A and that we want to stay a part of Donelson-Hermitage.

We the residents of (name and address redacted) are highly opposed to the redistricting that has been designed in Plan A, for our Woodland Pointe neighborhood. We want to stay part of Donelson-Hermitage.

Dear Mr. Bernhardt, I am part of the Woodland Pointe community single family homes and consider my address "Donelson/Hermitage" Would like for it to remain that way please!

Unbelievable we have never been Antioch and do not WANT to be Antioch. How dare you try to
Make us part of it. If you persist, you will be looking for another job because I will do everything in my power to make sure this redistricting does not happen.

WOODLAND POINT SUB. IS PART OF DONELSON...PLEASE DON'T CHANGE US TO ANTIOCH! THE (name redacted) FAMILY.

I reside in the Woodland Pointe Subdivision on Bell Road. Our neighborhood has been a part of Donelson-Hermitage.

I would like to voice my concern of such change and am opposed to the new redrawn district lines that put our neighborhood with Una-Antioch.

Subject: Woodland pointe

Please to not re zone this to be una-antioch. That would hurt our property value to be zoned under the Antioch name.

We have always been under donelson-hermitage and it needs to stay this way please.

I feel confident changing this to be zoned una-Antioch is not a positive move that no one in this community wants to make nor would agree with.

Thank you and if you have any questions please let me know.

I appreciate your time and attention to this matter.

Thank you

Mr. Bernhardt, I am strongly opposed to the lines as drawn in Plan A for new district 24. Our community, Woodland Pointe, is a part of the Donelson-Hermitage-Scenic Bell Road area - not Una-Antioch. It makes no sense to stop our new district at Elm Hill Pike and pick up area across Murfreesboro Road. It is my understanding you are charged with grouping like communities. If so, you cannot leave these lines as Plan A shows them. You are whittling down our cohesiveness in addressing many issues pertinent to our area and grouping us with communities who have different issues from ours due to simple geography.

You must revise the new district 24 more in line with the area we now encompass. New 24 should go down both sides of Bell Road to I-40, to the airport, to Murfreesboro Road (but not across it) and to Smith Springs Road.

It would appear you have banded areas on the west and north side of Davidson County in a palatable manner. Why not our area?

(name redacted), president

Woodland Pointe Single Family HomeOwners Association

Member, Woodland Pointe Master HomeOwners Association Board

Mr. Bernhardt,

I currently reside in the Woodland Pointe subdivision in the Donelson-Hermitage area. Only hours ago was I made aware of the proposed changes to zones 13 and 24 which will

adversely affect our neighborhood. With challenging economic times and record decreases in property values, I am asking that you reconsider the proposed zone changes.

As expected, our decreased property value has had a negative impact. Last year when we learned that our family was growing, we attempted to sale our home in order to move into a larger space. We had negotiated a contract with a buyer but unfortunately on closing day, the deal fell through as our home appraised for substantially less than the anticipated value. We then considered refinancing to take advantage of low interest rates, but were unable to as a consequence of the depreciated value. Since then we've decided to readjust, stay put, and enjoy our beloved home in Woodland Pointe.

With the proposed zone changes however, I am very concerned that we will again suffer another set back. As proposed, the change will indirectly cause our property values to take yet another hit. Not to mention from a real estate perspective, our neighborhood will suffer as we will be considered residing in a less desirable and marketable area to potential buyers. Please allow our area to stay zone 13 in order to prevent these and other unforeseen consequences.

Thanks for your time

We are opposed to the Plan A option that changes our current district. Please take this into consideration.

(name redacted) Family
Woodland Pointe Community
Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

Hi my name is (redacted). I live in the Woodland Pointe subdivision and my husband and myself feel strongly about keeping our subdivision in the Donelson-Hermitage area, NOT UNA-Antioch.

Mr. Bernhardt

I am writing this email in response to the information that I received about Woodland Pointe not being a part of the Donelson Hermitage area. The new lines put us in Antioch, I purposely built my home here in Woodland Pointe because it was not considered Antioch. This is of great concern to me and my neighbors. Please make sure that we are included in the Donelson-Hermitage area of town. Thank you for your time and consideration to this matter.

I have been notified that a proposed redistricting will remove Woodland Pointe and nearby areas from District 13 and place us in UNA-ANTIOCH, District 24. I am in fervent dislike of this proposal and respectfully request that the Woodland Pointe/Bell Road Corridor remain part of Donelson-Hermitage and District 13.

I prefer to keep our property in the Donelson-Hermitage district. Please kindly disregard the proposed change that would label Woodland Pointe as Una-Antioch.

Mr Bernhardt, as another member of the Woodland Pointe HOA, I have to strongly add my support to Ms.(name redacted)'s statement below.

A documented guideline for districting specifies preservation of our neighborhood boundaries as a priority. This may be grounds for our constituents to argue for the current District 13 to continue to represent the Donelson/Hermitage neighborhoods as it has in the past and does currently (rather than the Una Antioch areas which are somewhat separated.)

I am a resident of the Woodland Pointe Subdivision in Donelson. I wanted to write you and let you know that I oppose the new Plan A re-districting for our area. We are a part of Donelson Hermitage, and are not supportive of being zoned in the Una-Antioch area.

I'm writing about the proposed redistricting that would have the Woodland Pointe development community go from District 13 to 24, or from the Donelson-Hermitage neighborhood to the Una-Antioch neighborhood.

We are an active part of the Donelson-Hermitage community, and we strongly request that this proposal be reconsidered and revised.

I have lived in Woodland Pointe for 10 years and have been part of the Donelson-Hermitage district for those years and I do NOT want that to change.

Please register me as a No voter for the proposition of changing us to District 24.

I live in Woodland Pointe on Bell Rd. In consideration of the new district plan being considered, I ask that you help us stay a part of the Donelson area. Our zip code is Donelson and we should be in the Donelson district, not Antioch. We don't like the new district as designed in Plan A and we want to stay a part of Donelson-Hermitage. Please help. Thank you.

We want to stay part of Donelson-Hermitage and not become part of UnaAntioch in the new district as designed in Plan A.

My comments are regarding the proposed Redistricting Plan as presented at the Sonny West Conference Center, March 23. I am 25+ year resident and neighborhood advocate of East Nashville and now a candidate for Metro Council District 6.

First I want to commend the Planning Commission on a job well done in a very short period of time. I know it was a lot of hard work and I certainly appreciate the effort to get this completed before the 2011 election in August.

My understanding of the changes to District 6 includes excluding the downtown area and the loss of the properties to the north of Main St while gaining Shelby Bottoms, Cornelia Fort Airpark and additional residences on the NE corner. My concerns deal primarily with the lateral divisions of the Main St corridor from the West Bank of the Cumberland River to the Gallatin Rd corridor up to its intersection with Straightway Ave. Over the past several years, the residents within Rediscover East, have been committed to preserving the authentic character of East Nashville and enhancing the quality of life for all of its citizens. Planning and design guidelines have been established or are in process for the areas in question and to divide them laterally is counter productive. Case in point was a recent request by District 5 to be removed from the Gallatin Rd SP overlay. This would place the merchants on the north and west side of Gallatin Rd under far different design guidelines as the south and east side. The same could happen if Main St becomes laterally divided between Districts 5 and 6.

My suggestion would be to place the businesses on both sides of Main St and Gallatin Rd within one district. Since these are businesses it would have little or no impact on the population numbers that the Redistricting Plan is based. The same change should be applied on Gallatin Rd where District 5 and 7 each have a side of Gallatin Rd. Place that entire segment within District 7 or place the lower portion within District 5. That would put segments of Gallatin Rd to Districts 5, 6, 7 and 8 but eliminate lateral divisions.

Thank you for considering this alternate.

We are concerned about being redistricted from council district 15(fifteen)to district 14(fourteen).Donelson should not be part of downtown Nashville.

I don't like the new district as designed in Plan A and I want to stay a part of Donelson-Hermitage.

(address redacted). Nashville TN 37214, Woodland Pointe subdivision.

I strongly ask that you reconsider the elimination of today's District 18 as it is currently proposed. We are a very strong and cohesive neighborhood and breaking us up would not be good for the community. I realize that adjustments must be made to districts, but I ask that you keep District 18 intact as much as possible.

The Hillsboro/Belmont Community has worked diligently for more than 40 years to develop itself as a model for urban life in Nashville. We were doing it before the Metro Government thought it was a good idea, When other communities closed up and gated off, Hillsboro/ Belmont embraced mixed use, density sufficient to support mass transit, conservation zoning that allows office and residential to exist side by side. The community has welcomed group homes, bike lanes and supported area public schools. What began 50 years ago as a war of sorts with Vanderbilt has become a working relationship that benefits the community and the university. All of these accomplishments are built on the model of organized neighbors working in concert with an elected member of the Metro Council to resolve issues on the basis of high

quality urban life. I might add this work has served Metro well. The properties in the area, residential and commercial, generate robust property tax revenue. The proposed redistricting separates neighbor from neighbor, splits Hillsboro Village, a model for urban mixed use development, right down the middle. The plan separates Vanderbilt from the neighborhoods that are impacted by living in the shadow of an institution with more than 10,000 students, more than 20,000 employees and a huge medical complex with more than a million patient visits a year. Such divisions threaten to undo the good work of the last 40 years by creating political barriers to collaboration.

I cannot believe that it was intentional to tear apart a community that has achieved the widely recognized goals of urban planning, but that is exactly what this plan does.

Back to the drawing board please.

I am a resident of Woodland Pointe subdivision in the Donelson area, and I wanted to express my disagreement with the redrawn district lines proposed at part of Plan A. We are an active community in the Donelson-Hermitage area, and the unnecessary change for our area to become part of the Una-Antioch area is one that we, as a whole, vehemently oppose.

In plan B you have divided the Tulip Grove Neighborhood Association into two council districts. Their association covers both sides of Andrew Jackson from Highland View up through Trenton Drive.

Dear Mr. Bernhardt:

We are single family home owners in Woodland Pointe at (address redacted), Nashville, TN.

We don't like the new district as designed in Plan A. We want to stay a part of Donelson-Hermitage district 13.

This email is coming to you with respect to the recent redistricting discussions of Metro Council lines due to 2010 census. I live in the Woodland Pointe subdivision.

I come to you asking that you please keep us with the Donelson-Hermitage zoning area. This is why I purchased my condo a couple of years ago, because it was part of the Donelson-Hermitage area and not the Antioch area, or Una-Antioch area. Our group has spoken with Councilman Burch and he is in support of keeping the zoning the way it currently stands.

Thank you for your consideration.

Thanks for considering my recommendations for District 9. I would like to see one final tweak to the map.

I worked hard to get the Peterbilt site with the Police Precinct and MTA in District 9. Since it has nothing to do with the Census count and is only

a place for Metro workers I would like to see the sixty six acre site remain in District 9. That should not impact census numbers.

Looking at the map it is already contiguous to Myatt and Anderson and should be no problem. I think it is a better tie in to Madison and not Goodlettsville who already has a police precinct of their own.

I strongly feel it needs to stay in District 9.

I would like to express my concerns regarding the efforts to redraw lines in district 29. I am a resident of (address redacted). I attend neighborhood activities and community gatherings with a great sense of pride. I support my councilperson and attend Neighborhood Association meetings. With the new proposed district lines, I could/would be separated from my Neighborhood Association. With the new proposed district lines, I could/would have to send my children to a new school (UNA Elementary vs. Lakeview Design Elementary). Familiar ties to community groups and organs would be severed. Furthermore, I can no longer support my favorite candidate for office and (quite possibly) have to vote in a new voting district. I have begun to ponder the impact to my property taxes. With the new proposed district lines; I and other good citizens would be disconnected and under-represented by a councilperson with views that contrast with our community based on *Alternative plan A*.

This is unacceptable. I request the lines/boundaries in District 29 remain the same.

Finally, we don't want to be divided from friends, neighbors, family . . . everyone we have worked alongside to establish a sense of community.

Again thank you for your time. Thank you for keeping our neighborhood whole and intact. Please keep the current district line at Smith Springs and Anderson Road and/or Smith Springs and Old Anderson Road. . Thank you.

The way this is being drawn, those of us south of I-40 (zip code 37214) will not have representation for the schools our children attend.
Respectfully ask that this be reconsidered so that we as tax payers will have a proper voice.

After having reviewed both Plan A and Plan B for redistricting, clearly Plan A makes more sense as it relates to districts 22 and 35. The east/west dividing line strategy using I-40 and HWY 70 keeps more neighborhoods together and is easier to understand. In addition, making Charlotte Park part of either of the two Bellevue Districts doesn't seem to make much sense historically or geographically. I hope plan A is the final one submitted to the Planning Commission. Thanks for your hard work with this challenging task. See you this afternoon.

Rick, why would my neighborhood association be split right down the middle. I am currently president and half of my neighborhood association is in 29 and the other half in 33. Why is Mossdale being used as the divider instead of Anderson Rd?

I am a homeowner in Woodland Pointe and it has come to my attention that Metro has released a Plan A version of redrawn district lines to be finalized very quickly. I am told that Lines were drawn by staffers at the Planning Commission, and our current district 13 is scheduled to become district -24. We have reviewed these lines at www.nashville.gov.

It is our understanding that the current recommendation stops our district at Elm Hill Pike - and we would lose all homes north of Elm Hill - and it crosses Murfreesboro Road pulling in an area of Una-Antioch.

Please know that Woodland Pointe is and should remain a part of Donelson-Hermitage, not Una-Antioch.

I am a native Nashvillian who grew up in Donelson-Hermitage and I love it.

In closing, Mr. Bernhardt, please let this serve as a notice to you that I am not in favor of the new district as designed in Plan A and that we want to stay a part of Donelson-Hermitage.

Not being able to see the streets that are in District 10, I cannot say how this affects the Goodlettsville Community. Need to leave Brick Church Pike, from the Goodlettsville City Limits to Union Hill Rd./Dry Creek in District 10 and run from this intersection down Dry Creek Rd. to Old Dickerson road.

----- Original Message -----

As a property owner in District 13, I would like to acknowledge my displeasure of the redistricting the Metro Council lines from the present District 13 to District 24, as recommended by your staff. Please, let it be known that all of Woodland Pointe want to be part of the Hermitage/Donelson area as it is now under District 13. Our zip code is 37214 which is part of the 37214 Post Office in Donelson. Please do not upset our District 13 as it is now.

I write as a 17 year resident of the current district 18 and a former councilmember for the area. The current district includes Belmont Hillsboro Neighborhood, Hillsboro West End Neighborhood, Belmont University, Vanderbilt University and the commercial districts of West End, Hillsboro Village and Twelfth South. The beauty of the current district is that the neighborhoods, commercial districts and institutions that have the largest impact on the success of the other are grouped in one district. As the staff well knows, the current District 18 is one of the most densely populated in all of Davidson County while containing the largest private employer in middle Tennessee. As a former council member most of the issues that came before me didn't just impact one street or one employer. When a conservation overlay is passed in a neighborhood in District 18, it impacts where a university can or can't grow. When a Hillsboro Village implemented the city's first urban design overlay encouraging design guidelines, limiting building height and encouraging both shared parking and structured parking, it impacts the surrounding residences and properties owned by Vanderbilt. This arrangement of having the residential, commercial and institutional areas within two square miles of one

another in the same council district has worked. We've worked together to balance growth, preserve historic character, "green the area," create jobs and manage traffic, parking and pedestrian issues. I fear that if the institutions, neighborhoods and commercial districts that impact one another are dissected, the result will be a less cohesive community that has led to strong neighborhoods, vibrant commercial districts and thriving universities for decades. Please keep the cohesion of District 18 together.

I live in Woodland Pointe and DO NOT want to change my address from Nashville to Antioch. Consider this a no vote on the pending redistricting.

I wanted to clarify our current district is 13. We would like to stay in our current district. We object to being a part of the new district 24 as outlined in Plan A. Thank you for your consideration.

Please don't text/email & drive.

I-65 is a significant physical defining feature, and the Oak Hill City Limit is a legal boundary. It makes more sense to include the above area with the contiguous neighborhoods to the north and east in District 7, than with the the Cities of Forest Hills and Oak Hill and the Green Hills neighborhoods that comprise District 34.

I am a resident of Woodland Pointe in Nashville. I wanted to let you know I object to the new district being proposed for us-district 15. We would like to remain a part of our former district-district 24. We believe we are a part of the Donelson-Hermitage area and not Una-Antioch. We are one exit from Hermitage. Percy Priest Lake is in our backyard.

I am letting you know that I am against redrawing the lines and placing our neighborhood in the Una-Antioch district. Woodland Pointe has always belonged to the Donelson-Hermitage area. Our ties are to that community.

We do not want to be a part on Una-Antioch which is what you proposed on Plan A of your reorganization plan. We would like to remian in Donelson-Hermitage.

In general, I think that what you have now showing in Plan B for Districts 5 and 6 makes sense to me. I think the river is a natural boundary for District 6 and that move of the line makes sense. I also think it is good to have all of Shelby Bottoms and thus the current Cornelia Fort Airport property in District 6 because it will go along with similar issues of dealing with industrial properties on the river at the other end of District 6.

The only thing I wonder about the downtown districts, however, you divide up that area, is something that I was having a discussion with a downtown business owner about yesterday – how do you create districts in the downtown area that have enough voters in them to be

meaningful? He informed me that something like 38 people voted in one of the elections from downtown recently, and that makes no sense, so good luck creating meaningful districts for downtown that have enough residents/voters.

With respect to the Districts 5 and 6, the only other thing I wonder is whether the properties on Main Street / Gallatin Avenue/Road should be in different districts all the way up because of the SP zoning affecting properties on both sides. I think my gut feel on it is that it is good to have more council people vested in the SP zoning issues rather than less, and splitting it with that street as the divider makes sense to keep neighborhood association areas intact. I don't think I have any other input with respect to these two districts. I live in current District 6 and own commercial properties on the Gallatin Avenue corridor – 2 properties in each of what currently is and what appears will remain in Districts 5 and 6, respectively. Would definitely like to keep it that way, so keeping the District 5 north boundary above Cahal/Carolyn Avenues and keeping the District 6 north boundary above Douglas is fine with me.

I'm not sure that I have any input on the school board district map other than that I am happy to see that I would remain in the same school board district with rest of my neighborhood, AND it would be REALLY helpful to be able to see a map of the current school board districts that shows the ethnic makeup percentages in both to look at side by side with the proposed school board map like you have done with the council districts. Seems like people would be equally interested in seeing that since that determines zoned schools make up, I presume. Can you please make that appear on line also ASAP in a similar fashion to the council maps?? And, if a Plan B or beyond is proposed for these school districts, allow those all be seen in similar fashion, too.

Best wishes in getting this done before the upcoming election; at the pace this is moving, I think you can do it. I definitely feel that it would be absolutely best to have it done for this upcoming council and school board election – accomplishing the redistricting after the election makes NO sense whatsoever.

I see with alarm that there is a possibility that our home in the Woodland Pointe subdivision may be taken away from Donelson-Hermitage and dumped into Antioch. I am totally against this move as I am sure any other property owner would be. To see my investment so damaged on a whim borders on the unfathomable. I don't know what other options exist but would like to see them laid out as well as an explanation as to why such an act is even being contemplated.

It appears your proposed Plan B redistricting will split our Castleman Hobbs Neighborhood Association. Our association boundaries include both sides of Hobbs Road between Hillsboro Road and Estes Road, Hobbs Court, any of the streets, lanes, drives and roads located within the area bounded by Hillsboro Road, Harding Place, Estes Road and Abbott Martin Road that intersect either Castleman Drive, Hobbs Road, Vailwood Drive, Trimble Road or Wallace Lane (see attached map). This is a strong united neighborhood association; to divide our neighborhood association will impact us greatly. Plan B does not meet your own guidelines of "neighborhood boundaries must be maintained to the greatest extent possible" and "districts must be as much like current districts as possible."

As president of the Castleman Hobbs Neighborhood Association, I request that you reconsider your "plan B" and include all of our neighborhood association within District 34.

School Board districts in Plan B are MUCH improved over Plan A. The districts make sense geographically, and approximate the high school clusters (attendance zones) more accurately. Thank you also for going back to the current district numbering.

Good Morning Mr. Bernhardt,

I live in the Woodland Pointe subdivision for the last 6 years. And serve on the Home Owners Association. One of my biggest draws to the area was being part of the Donelson Hermitage community with my zip code and other pieces of the community. Our association has worked hard to work with the other residential association's and Council Burch to make the Bell Rd corridor between Smith Springs road to the Interstate, not so populated with commercial and other unused parcels that might drive the property values down.

I am very much opposed to the redrawing of our district from being part of the Donelson Hermitage to part of Una-Antioch. After reviewing 2011 Metro Council and the Board of Education Redistricting Process, I understand certain pieces of the redrawing but don't understand based on the 2000 census that Una Antioch can't stand on its own or be placed in a district southwest of Murfreesboro Pike. I am truly opposed to this redistricting without further discussion not just based on the 2000 census. It is very confusing how the Council and the Board of Education thinks this would help.

Dear Mr. Bernhardt,

I am a homeowner in Woodland Pointe neighborhood. I have been informed that the Plan A versions of redrawn district lines has my neighborhood going from district 13 to district 24. Please do not change the district for Woodland Pointe neighborhood. I have lived in this neighborhood for over 11 years now and we are part of the Donelson/Hermitage area not Una-Antioch. We want to stay that way. Please do not change the district. Thank you for your time and assistance.

If natural boundaries/barriers are to be utilized then Sugartree Creek should become the northern boundary of district 34. That makes the most sense.

Planning Department, Planning Commission, and City Council,

I, a Woodland Pointe neighborhood resident, am glad to see the more sensible boundaries (both the council districts and the school districts) under the redistricting Plan B that was released this morning compared to the previously released Plan A. The new Council District 13 and School District 7 as shown in Plan B are much better, but even these can be improved.

If redistricting must occur, many of my fellow residents in this part of Nashville and I want redistricting that supports two themes:

a. Keep together neighborhoods on both sides Bell Road to I-40 from the Bell Road-Smith Springs Road intersection and from the Bell Road-Smith Springs Road intersection back to Murfreesboro Road going and then over to the Airport.

b. Keep together neighborhoods around Percy Priest Lake. I like how the new school 7 area under Plan B encompasses almost all the neighborhoods around Percy Priest Lake... consider modifying the new Council District 13 under Plan B to incorporate this theme more.

I also recommend that to obtain better face to face community input on Planning and Redistricting approval processes that **community input meetings be held from 6pm on.** Having meetings during the work week's work hours doesn't support obtaining in-depth face to face community input. Finding improved ways of getting than word out is also needed... your web site is not enough. I can tell you that if it wasn't for our use of a neighborhood email distribution list, hardly anyone in our area would be aware of the potential major changes to council and school district boundaries that is occurring.

Please note that the many residents of Woodland Pointe, and partners in Harbor View, Bay View and the Donelson-Hermitage Neighborhood Association have been copied on this message.

Respectfully,

A Woodland Pointe Neighborhood Resident

My wife and I are residents of woodland point and we are against the new district as designed in Plan A. We would like to continue being part of the Donelson-Hermitage district

I do not believe that it is fair to eliminate all businesses from district 29 and rename the district as 33. Majority of twenty-nine is now in district 33. So it is proper not to change the numbering to 33. The new district should remain 29.

Can't understand why our small number of homes, which are clearly oriented toward the present 23rd, is proposed to be moved from the present 23rd to the 34th, a district with which we would have little contact. We realize that this is a tough job, but **would appreciate our being situated in the new 23rd, as are the neighbors adjacent to us.**

Also, if you have more specific information about why this move was made, would appreciate that, also. Obviously, your plan A met the

equal representation requirements, so there would be no need for this change except to offset some other adjustment or accommodation.

Thank you for your consideration.

Hello and I reside at (address redacted) and would like to have our district 34 remain intact. The proposed split would affiliate our corner of Hobbs and Trimble with concerns in the Forest Hills area. Over the last four years we have found ourselves impacted by what is going on in the Castleman Road area than areas further South.

Woodland Pointe should continue to be a part of Donelson-Hermitage, not Una-Antioch, and I think it should stay that way. I had a choice to purchase in the Donelson-Hermitage area, not the Antioch area.

I don't like the new district as designed in Plan A and I feel it necessary to stay a part of Donelson-Hermitage.

Dear People at MPC,

We have reviewed the latest alternative district lines (plan B), and it appears to be a much better option than plan A. Our neighborhood, the Battlemont Neighborhood Association (the area between Belmont Blvd. to Franklin Rd. and I-440 to Woodmont Blvd.), would not be divided, would retain the same council person (with whom we are happy), and would remain within the greater Green Hills area.

We are not able to attend today's meeting, but we hope this email comment gets to you in time.

I am a board member of the Warner Park Neighborhood Association. We are very much against the current plan 'B' that seeks to further divide our neighborhood between the 23rd and 34th districts. Our neighborhood needs to be all in one district, the 23rd District. Our neighborhood is part of a larger group of neighborhoods in the 23rd district, which we share much in common with: geography, the Urban Services District, adjacent traffic patterns, common commercial areas, etc. Please see the attached email. In it, the orange area needs to be in the 23rd district, not the 34th district. We have very little in common with residents of the plan 'B' 34th district: they are generally not in the urban services district, they have large yards, they are geographically removed from us, or they are governed in satellite cities. Plan 'B' is an unnatural division of neighborhood and is unacceptable. We have worked with and are currently working on several initiatives with other neighborhood leaders on initiatives in the 23rd district and do not want that taken away from us. We have found that in the far past that we were given poor representation by a Councilman of the 35th district because we were far removed from their district center. We are happy in the 23rd district and want to stay in it.

Thank you leaving District 18 as is!

I have been a resident of the Woodland Pointe community since the day the development was opened. It is a wonderful community and a great place to live. I have heard of the redistricting plans and I am **NOT** in favor of Plan A and want to remain as a Donelson-Hermitage community.

Please do everything in your power to help us. I know I speak for my neighbors as well.

(name redacted) and I have been looking at the proposed redistricting plans for Metro council and school board districts (<http://www.nashville.gov/mpc/redist.asp>). A new plan, Plan B, came out today and it significantly affects Sheffield. The proposed boundary between District 35 and 22 splits Sheffield. The existing lines do not split Sheffield. In fact, the guidelines explicitly state, "Neighborhood boundaries must be maintained to the greatest extent possible." Even though it says "to the greatest extent possible" there is no reason to carve out 18 Sheffield homes. In fact, if you look closely, one home in Sheffield on Sunderland Ct is split down the middle and in both districts!

This seems to be an oversight that can be easily corrected, however sometimes the most obvious solutions are not apparent to those causing the problems. Therefore, I request that the board follow up with this to be sure that Sheffield can be kept in the same Metro district when the final lines are drawn.

To whom it may concern:

I am against the Current 'Plan B' for redoing the council districts.

Dear Mr. Bernhardt and Committee Members,

Plan "B" is a much better alternative for district 18 than Plan "A" was. Thank you for listening to the community and going back to the drawing board.

As a follow up to my call this morning, I would leave the Myatt Dr area as it was in the original plan. This is good for Madison and District 9 to have some of the industrial area retained. I would suggest to go back completely to Plan A to keep the Police Precint, MTA and some of the surrounding neighborhood in tact all in District 9. Please drop my suggestions sent in on Friday.

As a resident of Sylvan Park and a parent at Sylvan Park Paideia Design Center, I am **STRONGLY OPPOSED** to the latest plan for school board redistricting. In drawing up this plan, it appears that an effort was made to better align the school board districts with the high school clusters. That approach makes sense, and I support it.

However, if there is to be a "Hillsboro" district, which Zone 8 in Plan B is, it needs to include the Sylvan Park, Cherokee Park, and Richland neighborhoods. We are part of the

Hillsboro cluster. Our voices will not be heard and our concerns will not be addressed if our representative is focused on the Hillwood cluster. I ask that you redraw the zone boundaries to include ALL of the Hillsboro cluster in Zone 8.

Hi. We live at (address redacted) in Nashville, TN 37205.

My husband, (name redacted), and myself, (name redacted), are totally against re-drawing of the council districts in our area.

Should you walk our streets and look at the properties in our area, they are much more aligned with the 23rd district. Not the 34th district. In the past, our area has not been given adequate representation by another councilman due to proximity and the differing socio-economic make up of the area. The present alignment is much more consistent to the demographics of the homes and residents of the community. Please leave this area in the 23rd district.

I am a member of the Warner Park Neighborhood Association and I am very much against the Current 'Plan B' for redistricting. Plan 'B' is an unnatural division of the neighborhood and is unacceptable to those affected by it in our neighborhood. We have worked with and are currently working on several initiatives with other neighborhood leaders on initiatives in the 23rd district and do not want that taken away from us. We are happy in the 23rd district and want to stay in it. Our neighborhood is part of a larger group of neighborhoods in the 23rd district, with which we share much in common.

I have been a resident of the Woodland Pointe community since the day the development was opened. It is a wonderful community and a great place to live. I have heard of the redistricting plans and I am **NOT** in favor of Plan A and want to remain as a Donelson-Hermitage community.

Please do everything in your power to help us. I know I speak for my neighbors as well.

Planning Department, Planning Commission, and City Council,

I, a Woodland Pointe neighborhood resident, am glad to see the more sensible boundaries (both the council districts and the school districts) under the redistricting Plan B that was released this morning compared to the previously released Plan A. The new Council District 13 and School District 7 as shown in Plan B are much better, but even these can be improved.

If redistricting must occur, many of my fellow residents in this part of Nashville and I want redistricting that supports two themes:

a. Keep together neighborhoods on both sides Bell Road to I-40 from the Bell Road-Smith Springs Road intersection and from the Bell Road-Smith Springs Road intersection back to Murfreesboro Road going and then over to the Airport.

b. Keep together neighborhoods around Percy Priest Lake. I like how the new school 7 area under Plan B encompasses almost all the neighborhoods around Percy Priest Lake... consider modifying the new Council District 13 under Plan B to incorporate this theme more.

I also recommend that to obtain better face to face community input on Planning and Redistricting approval processes that **community input meetings be held from 6pm on.** Having meetings during the work week's work hours doesn't support obtaining in-depth face to face community input. Finding improved ways of getting than word out is also needed... your web site is not enough. I can tell you that if it wasn't for our use of a neighborhood email distribution list, hardly anyone in our area would be aware of the potential major changes to council and school district boundaries that is occurring.

Please note that the many residents of Woodland Pointe, and partners in Harbor View, Bay View and the Donelson-Hermitage Neighborhood Association have been copied on this message.

Respectfully,

A Woodland Pointe Neighborhood Resident

Dear members of the Planning Department,

I am a member of the Warner Park Neighborhood Association. We are opposed to the current plan 'B' that seeks to further divide our neighborhood between the 23rd and 34th districts. Our neighborhood needs to be all in one district, the 23rd District. Our neighborhood is part of a larger group of neighborhoods in the 23rd district, with which we share much in common: geography, the Urban Services District, adjacent traffic patterns, common commercial areas, etc. We have very little in common with residents of the plan 'B' 34th district: they are generally not in the urban services district, they have large yards, they are geographically removed from us, or they are governed in satellite cities. Plan 'B' is an unnatural division of neighborhood and is unacceptable. We have worked with and are currently working on several initiatives with other neighborhood leaders on initiatives in the 23rd district and do not want that taken away from us. We have found that in the past we were given poor representation by a Councilman of the 35th district because we were far removed from their district center. We are happy in the 23rd district and want to stay in it.

As residents of district 18, we strongly oppose the division of this neighborhood into 3 possibly 4 different districts. This community was the first to start recycling, form a neighborhood association and develop a working relationship with the businesses within our district.

Please reconsider any plans to dismember this District 18. We believe it to be a tremendous loss to Nashville neighborhoods as a whole to lose the cohesiveness this neighborhood has worked so hard to achieve.

Dear Metro Planning,

I currently live in District 18 between Love Circle and West End. I was very happy to see the Plan B that you published recently (as compared to Plan A). I feel that my neighborhood's interests are part of the broader Hillsboro Village/Belmont area that sits contiguous to the Belmont and Vanderbilt campuses (broader district 18). I feel this far more appropriately meets your criteria of maintaining the boundaries of neighborhoods. In Plan A, I was drawn into a district that included areas stretching to Charlotte Pike areas bordering West End outside of 440. While these are wonderful neighborhoods, I know that most of the development and issues impacting my area are in common with Hillsboro Village and with respect to my proximity to Vanderbilt.

Please take this as a letter for my strong support for Plan B. Based on my observations of certain other districts in Plan B, I was far more pleased to see other neighborhoods unified in other districts (i.e. Inglewood in District 7).

Great job on the second proposal!

As I see it, there has been no substantial change to District 6 from Plan A to B.

I would like to propose the following:

BOTH sides of Main Street/Gallatin, at least to Ordway, be included in District 6. (Eastland would be better). The difficulties in dealing with two districts on either side of this major corridor in implementing the SP for Main/Gallatin would be horrific. There would be almost no change in population (hey, only 6 units @ 5 & Main are now owned--what are we talking, a dozen folks at most?)

I would also like to suggest that the small pocket that includes Head Start, Douglas Park, Meigs Magnet up to at least N 6th be included. This small pocket is not organized within a neighborhood, but IS within the target area for the proposed Historic East Nashville Main Street project (as both sides of Min/Gallatin to Ordway). Having one Council representative for a cohesive implementation process would make the most sense.

And what would REALLY make the most sense, is that with the new initiative for "Complete Streets", and the "Pikes" in mind, that NONE of the major connectors be split between districts. The Planning Department has invested countless hours in those plans, as well as GRIP. There is potential for dueling councilmembers to possibly de-rail any one or all.

Please figure out a way to make the numbers work for 6 to keep both sides of Main/Gallatin and at least the forgotten and overlooked area sandwiched between Main and Ellington up to N 6th at least. Make the dividing line at the alley behind Main/Gallatin and through in East Lit, too!! Take some off the top if you need to--make it south of Douglas somewhere. Please!!!

I am urging you to please go with Plan A on the redistricting of Nashville's districts. I can't imagine living across the street on Trimble Road from another district! How can we stay unified as a neighborhood and look out for each other? That's certainly not the only way to restructure our districts!

The plan presented on March 28th, Alternative B, is a superior plan than Alternative A. I especially appreciate the changes made to current district 26. District 26 retains in this latest plan the fundamental character of the neighborhood.

Throughout all of the districts, they retain much of the characteristics of the previous redistricting. I think this plan is much more fair to candidates who are giving of themselves to run for public office and have been doing so for some time, some for over a year. Thank you for making this adjustment to the initial plan.

Hello,

I live in district 13 in the Harborwood subdivision. This is a wonderful neighborhood but the real estate market has yet to reach the values that these homes deserve because it's in Real Estate area 8, south of I-40. This neighborhood is comprised of beautiful, large, brick homes that barely climbed over the \$200K mark at the highest end of the market. We're proud of our 37214 zip code!

We've been patiently waiting for the market to get better in our neighborhood but my professional opinion is that, if any of 37214 is to be combined with the Una neighborhoods or any that are south of Smith Springs Rd, it would be tantamount to committing real estate murder! Having worked in Nashville as a full-time Real Estate agent for the past twelve years, I've experienced many buyers asking to be shown anything BUT areas toward the south side of Nashville. The existing stigma in the Murfreesboro Road area will, undoubtedly, affect our area to the detriment; not the other way around. We must protect our homes' values!

I'm proud to say that I live in the Donelson area and I have the zip code to prove it! We are DONELSON-HERMITAGE and we want to stay that way!

Planning Department personnel,

I was a board member of the Warner Park Neighborhood Association several years ago and am part of the 35th district. We are very much against the current plan 'B' that seeks to further divide our neighborhood between the 23rd and 34th districts. Our neighborhood needs to be all in one district, the 23rd District. Our neighborhood is part of a larger group of neighborhoods in the 23rd district, which we share much in common with: geography, the Urban Services District, adjacent traffic patterns, common commercial areas, etc. Please see the attached email. In it, the orange area needs to be in the 23rd district, NOT the 34th district. We have very little in common with residents of the plan 'B' 34th district: they are generally not in the urban services district, they have large yards, they are geographically removed from us, or they are

governed in satellite cities. Plan 'B' is an unnatural division of neighborhood and is unacceptable. We have worked with and are currently working on several initiatives with other neighborhood leaders on initiatives in the 23rd district and do not want that taken away from us. We have found that in the far past that we were given poor representation by a Councilman of the 35th district because we were far removed from their district center. We are happy in the 23rd district and want to stay in it.

I am much happier with these district lines for the current 16th District area than in Plan A. The goals listed on the planning department website appear to have been met, or have come very close to being met. While I would prefer to keep the current 16th District houses north of I-440, at the very least, I-440 is a logical district boundary. In the best of worlds I would swap the areas in the district east of I-24 for the areas north of I-440 between Nolensville Road and Murfreesboro Road, but I also understand that this may not be possible for reasons having to do with needs in other districts. In summary, Plan B is much preferred to Plan A. Thank you for your attention.

Rick,

I live at Woodland Pointe and am a bit concerned about this redistricting issue. I'm under the impression that, since we will be 'redistricted' with property owners in the Un-Anticoch district that our property value will decrease, therefore, our property taxes will decrease. Is that correct? I can't believe that Davidson County, or any County for that matter, would be more concerned about representation than property value. Doesn't Davidson County depend on property taxes as part of its income? I can assure you that anyone looking to purchase property in our area will be looking at schools and other amenities. I don't have any school-aged children, but someone wanting to purchase my house may. If they find out that the children are going to attend a school that is not quite at the top of the education scale or has other issues, that is a deal-breaker. What about those issues? Who's going to compensate the homeowners of Woodland Pointe, or any other sub-division for that loss?

The whole issue is to even out the district. Exactly what are you attempting to even out? If it's voters, there may be a good reason they don't live in that district and to turn a pleasant subdivision like Woodland Pointe, which I bought into BECAUSE it was pleasing and upscale, is now going to be lumped into a district with lower priced housing so that you can even out something. These Acts that you referred to either need to be repealed or deemed unconstitutional.

Planning Department personnel,

I am a board member of the Warner Park Neighborhood Association. We are very much against the current plan 'B' that seeks to further divide our neighborhood between the 23rd and 34th districts. Our neighborhood needs to be all in one district, the 23rd District. Our neighborhood is part of a larger group of neighborhoods in the 23rd district, which we share much in common with: geography, the Urban Services District, adjacent traffic patterns, common commercial areas, etc. Please see the attached email. In it, the orange area needs to be in the 23rd district, not the 34th district. We have very little in common with residents of the plan 'B' 34th district: they are generally not in the urban services district, they have large yards, they are geographically removed from us, or they are governed in satellite cities. Plan 'B' is an unnatural division of neighborhood and is unacceptable. We have worked with and are currently working on several initiatives with other neighborhood leaders on initiatives in the 23rd district and do not want that taken away from us. We have found that in the far past that we were given poor representation by a Councilman of the 35th district because we were far removed from their district center. We are happy in the 23rd district and want to stay in it.

