# E GALLATIN PIKE © \& MAIN STREET 

A NASHVILLE VISION ZERO INITIATIVE

Note: This summary presents the results of input received during public engagement activities for the Gallatin Pike and Main Street Vision Plan in December 2023 and January 2024. The results are not scientific but are important and will be used in combination with other data and analysis to help inform the study recommendations.

## Four opportunities

1. December $6^{\text {th }}$ public workshop

- East Library
- Noon to 1:30 PM

2. December $14^{\text {th }}$ public workshop

- East Park Community Center
- 5 to 6:30 PM



## Four opportunities

3. WeGo rider outreach

- January $9^{\text {th }}$ and $11^{\text {th }}$ at WeGo Central
- January 10th on Route 56 on Gallatin Pike

4. Online


## Input activities

- Build Your Own Street
- Priority Pyramid
- Map Comments



## Build Your Own Street

- December $6^{\text {th }}$ workshop: 23 responses
- December $14^{\text {th }}$ workshop: 23 responses
- Online (StreetMix): 26 responses




## Main Street typical design



## $10^{\text {th }}$ Street to Hart Lane typical design



* Lots of "cheating."


# Hart Lane to Briley Parkway typical design 



## Key findings

- Near unanimous agreement on lane reduction
- Most include bus lanes
- Slight majority favor curb running
- Seven out of 10 responses include a bike lane


## Key findings

- Half include both bike and bus lanes
- Curb + bike is most popular
- Almost all include median separation (but no turn lane)
- Almost all include trees or landscaping in some form


## Motor vehicle Ianes



## Bus lanes



## Bike lanes



## Bike lanes + bus lanes



## Median separation



## Trees and landscaping



## Priority Pyramid

- December $6^{\text {th }}$ workshop: 39 responses
- December $14^{\text {th }}$ workshop: 27 responses
- Online: 785 responses to date



## Composite Pyramid



Better
Pedestrian
Roadside
Experience


## Key findings

- Better crossing opportunities is first across the board
- Most appearances within priority ranking list
- Most Priority 1 selections
- Highest weighted score
- Pedestrian priorities are most prominent
- Beautification is next most prominent


## Key findings

- More important in-person vs. online
- Transit prioritization
- Bike facilities
- More important online vs. in-person
- Auto travel
- Parking
- Auto access


## Total appearances



## Total appearances by tier

$\longrightarrow$


■ 1st Tier ■ 2nd Tier ■ 3rd Tier

## Weighted score*

Ped-focused priorities are most prominent. Clear \# 1 and 2


* (Tier 1 selections $\times 3)+($ Tier 2 selection $\times 2)+($ Tier 3 selections $\times 1)=$ Weighted Score


## Weighted score*: In-person vs. online



## Map comments

- Open ended feedback
- Sticky notes



## Map comments



## Map comments by category


crossing triangle

