September 29, 2017

Mr. Jonathan Childs
CH2M Hill Engineers, Inc.
210 25th Avenue North, Suite 500
Nashville, TN 37203

Re: RFQ # 1021670, Dry Creek Waste Water Treatment Plant Bank Stabilization - A/E Project

Dear Mr. Childs:

The Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County has completed its evaluation of submitted solicitation offers to the above RFQ # 1021670 Dry Creek Waste Water Treatment Plant Bank Stabilization - A/E Project and hereby notifies you of its intent to award to CH2M Hill Engineers, Inc., contingent upon successful negotiations of a contract.

Responses to the procurement solicitation and supporting award documentation are available for inspection in the Procurement Division Office, Monday through Friday, between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. If you desire to review the documentation or have any questions, please contact Sandra Walker by email at sandra.walker @nashville.gov.

If the Procurement Nondiscrimination Program requirements were a part of this solicitation, the awardee must forward a signed copy of the "Letter of Intent to Perform as Subcontractor/Subconsultant/Supplier/Joint Venture" for any minority/women-owned business enterprises included in the response to the Business Assistance Office within two business days from this notification. Should you have any questions concerning this requirement, please contact the Business Assistance Office at 615-880-2814.

Thank you for participating in Metro’s competitive procurement process.

Sincerely,

Michelle A. Hernandez Lane
Purchasing Agent

Cc: Solicitation File
    Other Offerors
Pursuant to M.C.L. 4.36.010 Authority to resolve protested solicitations and awards.
A. Right to Protest. Any actual or prospective bidder, offeror or contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract may protest to the Purchasing Agent. The protest shall be submitted in writing within ten (10) days after such aggrieved person knows or should have known of the facts giving rise thereto.
Request for Mayoral Selection of A&E Firm
RFQ 1021670, Dry Creek Waste Water Treatment Plant Bank Stabilization - A/E Project

Metro received 3 proposals for the A&E Review Board to consider. The Review Board submits for review and selection by the Mayor the top 3 evaluated firms listed below in alphabetical order, accompanied by the Review Board’s summary.

While it is acknowledged that the selection is solely that of the Mayor, it is the Review Board’s recommendation that CH2M HILL ENGINEERS, INC be considered for this project.

A&E Firm: CH2M HILL ENGINEERS, INC
Strengths: Good overall qualifications and experience; Office is located inside Davidson County; Stabilization methods; National award winning projects on stabilization; Good overall reference projects; Detailed Gantt Chart; Identified risks and possible mitigation plans and provided detailed approach on quality management.
Weaknesses: N/A
MWBE Plan: Proposer is compliant with the Procurement Nondiscrimination Program requirements having reached out to three certified MWBE firms as required by the Procurement Code. Proposed the engagement of Civic Engineering (Accepted) WBE, for surveying, KGH2O (Accepted) WBE for cost estimating, and Geotek (Accepted) MBE for geotechnical investigation. Consistent with the Procurement Code, actual dollar amounts will be confirmed upon contract award.
SBE/SDV Plan: Proposer acknowledged a 15% participation requirement of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. Proposed to utilize the following SBE subcontractors: Geotek Engineering for Geotechnical Engineering, Lamar Dunn & Associates, Inc (LDA) for civil engineering and KG Harrison and Associates for cost estimation.

A&E Firm: Civil & Environmental Consultants, Inc.
Strengths: Good overall qualifications and experience; Habitat assessment for endangered species; Good overall reference projects; Identified risks and possible mitigation plans and provided detailed approach on quality management.
Weaknesses: Gantt Chart lacked specific detail.
MWBE Plan: Proposer is compliant with the Procurement Nondiscrimination Program requirements having reached out to three certified MWBE firms as required by the Procurement Code. Proposed the engagement of Civil Infrastructure Associates
(Accepted) WBE for land surveying, K.S. Ware and Associates, LLC (Accepted) WBE for geotechnical engineering, and KGH20 (Declined) WBE was declined due to the services they offered were covered by another team. Consistent with the Procurement Code, actual dollar amounts will be confirmed upon contract award.

SBE/SDV Plan: Proposer acknowledged a 15% participation requirement of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. Proposed to utilize the following SBE subcontractors: K.S. Ware and Associates for Geotechnical Engineering and Civil Infrastructure Associates for Surveying.

A&E Firm: Terracon
Strengths: Team member experience; Geotechnical experience; Office is located inside Davidson County.
Weaknesses: Failed to demonstrate firm’s knowledge in designing specialty geotechnical projects to stabilize slope failures; Failed to provide project references on creek bank stabilizations; Projects referenced lacked detail; Gantt Chart lacked specific detail; Quality management plan lacked detail; Failed to identify risks with project and failed to provide detailed mitigation plan.

MWBE Plan: Proposer is compliant with the Procurement Nondiscrimination Program requirements having reached out to three certified MWBE firms as required by the Procurement Code. Proposed the engagement of Cannon & Cannon, Inc. (Accepted) WBE for surveying and civil engineering, L.I. Smith (Accepted) WBE for surveying and KGH20 (Accepted) WBE for Environmental permitting, construction and cost estimation. Consistent with the Procurement Code, actual dollar amounts will be confirmed upon contract award.

SBE/SDV Plan: Proposer acknowledged a 15% participation requirement of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. Proposed to utilize the following SBE subcontractors: K.G Harrison & Associates for Environment Permitting and construction cost estimation, L.I. Smith for surveying Stone & Howorth for hydraulic engineering and construction management, and Tri-State Drilling, LLC for drilling.
# RFQ # 1021670 - Dry Creek Waste Water Treatment Plant Bank Stabilization - A/E Project Review Board Score Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offeror</th>
<th>CH2M HILL ENGINEERS, INC</th>
<th>Civil &amp; Environmental Consultants, Inc.</th>
<th>Terracon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qualifications and Experience (35 Points)</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference Projects (35 Points)</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Schedule and Risk Mitigation (30 Points)</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Evaluation Scores</td>
<td>99.00</td>
<td>98.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Evaluation Comments

### CH2M HILL ENGINEERS, INC

**Strengths** - Good overall qualifications and experience; Office is located inside Davidson County; Stabilization methods; National award winning projects on stabilization; Good overall reference projects; Detailed Gantt Chart; Identified risks and possible mitigation plans and Provided detailed approach on quality management.

### Civil & Environmental Consultants, Inc.

**Strengths** - Good overall qualifications and experience; Habitat assessment for endangered species; Good overall reference projects; Identified risks and possible mitigation plans and Provided detailed approach on quality management.

**Weaknesses** - Gantt Chart lacked specific detail.

### Terracon

**Strengths** - Team member experience; Geotechnical experience; Office is located inside Davidson County.

**Weaknesses** - Failed to demonstrate firms knowledge in designing specialty geotechnical projects to stabilize slope failures; Failed to provide project references on creek bank stabilizations; Project referenced lacked detail; Gantt Chart lacked specific detail; Quality management plan lacked detail; Failed to identify risks with project and Failed to provide detailed mitigation plan.