

JOHN COOPER  
MAYOR



**METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY**

Metropolitan Historic Zoning Commission  
Sunnyside in Sevier Park  
3000 Granny White Pike  
Nashville, Tennessee 37204  
Telephone: (615) 862-7970  
Fax: (615) 862-7974


**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

**845 Glen Avenue  
December 18, 2019**


**Application:** New Construction—Addition; Partial Demolition  
**District:** Waverly-Belmont Neighborhood Conservation Zoning Overlay  
**Council District:** 07  
**Base Zoning:** R8  
**Map and Parcel Number:** 10513039900  
**Applicant:** Kaitlyn Smous, Nine 12 Architects  
**Project Lead:** Melissa Baldock, melissa.baldock@nashville.com

<p><b>Description of Project:</b> The applicant proposes a rear addition that is wider than the historic house. The project involves demolishing a non-historic rear addition.</p> <p><b>Recommendation Summary:</b> Staff recommends approval of the project with the following conditions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Staff approve the roof shingle color and the rear door material and design; and</li><li>2. The HVAC be located behind the house or on either side, beyond the mid-point of the house.</li></ol> <p>With these conditions, staff finds that the proposed addition meets Sections III., IV., and V. of the Waverly-Belmont Neighborhood Conservation Zoning Overlay design guidelines.</p>	<p><b>Attachments</b> <b>A:</b> Site Plan <b>B:</b> Elevations</p>
--	--

**Vicinity Map:**



**Aerial Map:**



## **Applicable Design Guidelines:**

### **III. New Construction**

#### **A. Height**

1. The height of the foundation wall, porch roof(s), and main roof(s) of a new building shall be compatible, by not contrasting greatly, with those of surrounding historic buildings. Where there is little historic context, existing construction may be used for context. Generally, a building should not exceed one and one-half stories.

#### **B. Scale**

1. The size of a new building and its mass in relation to open spaces shall be compatible, by not contrasting greatly, with surrounding historic buildings.

#### **C. Setback and Rhythm of Spacing**

1. The setback from front and side yard property lines established by adjacent historic buildings should be maintained. Generally, a dominant rhythm along a street is established by uniform lot and building width. Infill buildings should maintain that rhythm.
2. The Commission has the ability to determine appropriate building setbacks of the required underlying base zoning for new construction, additions and accessory structures (ordinance no. *17.40.410*).

Appropriate setbacks will be determined based on:

- The existing setback of the contributing primary buildings and accessory structures found in the immediate vicinity;
- Setbacks of like structures historically found on the site as determined by historic maps, site plans or photographs;
- Shape of lot;
- Alley access or lack thereof;
- Proximity of adjoining structures; and
- Property lines.

Appropriate height limitations will be based on:

- Heights of historic buildings in the immediate vicinity
- Existing or planned slope and grade

3. In most cases, an infill duplex for property that is zoned for duplexes should be one building as seen historically in order to maintain the rhythm of the street. Detached infill duplexes may be appropriate in the following instances:

- There is not enough square footage to legally subdivide the lot but there is enough frontage and depth to the lot to accommodate two single-family dwellings in a manner that meets the design guidelines;
- The second unit follows the requirements of a Detached Accessory Dwelling Unit; or
- An existing non-historic building sits so far back on the lot that a building may be constructed in front of it in a manner that meets the rhythm of the street and the established setbacks.

## **D. Materials, Texture, Details, and Material Color**

1. The materials, texture, details, and material color of a new building's public facades shall be visually compatible, by not contrasting greatly, with surrounding historic buildings.
  - a. Inappropriate materials include vinyl and aluminum, T-1-11- type building panels, "permastone", and E.F.I.S. Stud wall lumber and embossed wood grain are prohibited.
  - b. Appropriate materials include: pre-cast stone for foundations, composite materials for trim and decking, cement fiberboard shingle, lap or panel siding.
    - Lap siding, should be smooth and not stamped or embossed and have a maximum of a 5" reveal.
    - Shingle siding should exhibit a straight-line course pattern and exhibit a maximum exposure of seven inches (7").
    - Four inch (4") nominal corner boards are required at the face of each exposed corner.
    - Stone or brick foundations should be of a compatible color and texture to historic foundations.
    - When different materials are used, it is most appropriate to have the change happen at floor lines.
    - Foundation lines should be visually distinct from the predominant exterior wall material. This is typically accomplished with a change in material.
    - Clapboard sided chimneys are generally not appropriate. Masonry or stucco is appropriate for chimneys.
    - Texture and tooling of mortar on new construction should be similar to historic examples.
    - Generally front doors should be 1/2 to full-light. Faux leaded glass is inappropriate.
2. Asphalt shingle and metal are appropriate roof materials for most buildings.

*Generally, roofing should NOT have: strong simulated shadows in the granule colors which results in a rough, pitted appearance; strongly variegated colors; colors that are too light (e.g.: tan, white, light green); wavy or deep color/texture used to simulate split shake shingles or slate; excessive flared form in the shingle tabs; or uneven or sculpted bottom edges that emphasize tab width or edges, unless matching the original roof or a dominant historic example.*

## **E. Roof Shape**

1. The roof(s) of a new building shall be visually compatible, by not contrasting greatly, with the roof shape, orientation, and pitch of surrounding historic buildings. Common roof forms in the neighborhood include side, front and cross gabled, hipped and pyramidal. Typically roof pitches are between 6/12 and 12/12. Roof pitches for porch roofs are typically less steep, approximately in the 3-4/12 range.
2. Small roof dormers are typical throughout the district. Wall dormers are only appropriate on the rear, as no examples are found historically in the neighborhood.

## **F. Orientation**

1. The orientation of a new building's front facade shall be visually consistent with surrounding historic buildings.
2. Primary entrances are an important component of most of the historic buildings in the neighborhood and include partial- or full-width porches attached to the main body of the house. Infill duplexes shall have one or two doors facing the street, as seen on historic duplexes. In the case of corner lots, an entrance facing the side street is possible as long as it is designed to look like a secondary entrance.
3. Porches should be a minimum of 6' deep, have porch racks that are 1'-3' tall and have posts that include bases and capitals. Front, side, wrap-around and cutaway porches are appropriate. Porches are not

always necessary and entrances may also be defined by simple hoods or recessed entrances.

4. Generally, curb cuts should not be added. Where a new driveway is appropriate it should be two concrete strips with a central grassy median. Shared driveways should be a single lane, not just two driveways next to each other. Sometimes this may be accomplished with a single lane curb cut that widens to a double lane deeper into the lot. In the case of duplexes, vehicular access for both units should be from the alley, where an alley exists. A new shared curb cut may be added, if no alley and no driveway exists, but the driveway should be no more than 12' wide from the street to the rear of the home. Front yard parking or driveways which end at the front of the house are not consistent with the character of the historic neighborhoods.
5. For multi-unit developments, interior dwellings should be subordinate to those that front the street. Subordinate generally means the width and height of the buildings are less than the primary building(s) that faces the street. For multi-unit developments, direct pedestrian connections should be made between the street and any interior units. The entrances to those pedestrian connections generally should be wider than the typical spacing between buildings along the street.

### **G. Proportion and Rhythm of Openings**

1. The relationship of width to height of windows and doors, and the rhythm of solids (walls) to voids (door and window openings) in a new building shall be compatible, by not contrasting greatly, with surrounding historic buildings.
2. Window openings on the primary street-related or front façade of new construction should be representative of the window patterns of similarly massed historic structures within the district. In most cases, every 8-13 horizontal feet of flat wall surface should have an opening (window or door) of at least 4 square feet. More leniencies can be given to minimally visible side or rear walls.
3. Double-hung windows should exhibit a height to width ratio of at least 2:1. Windows on upper floors should not be taller than windows on the main floor since historically first floors have higher ceilings than upper floors and so windows were typically taller on the first floor.
4. Single-light sashes are appropriate for new construction. If using multi-light sashes, muntins should be fully simulated and bonded to the glass, and exhibit an interior bar, exterior bar, as well as a spacer between glass panes.
5. Four inch (nominal) casings are required around doors, windows and vents on non-masonry buildings. Trim should be thick enough to extend beyond the clapboard. Double or triple windows should have a 4" to 6" mullion in between. Brick molding is required around doors, windows and vents within masonry walls but is not appropriate on non-masonry buildings.

### **I. Utilities**

1. Utility connections such as gas meters, electric meters, phone, cable, and HVAC condenser units should be located so as to minimize their visibility from the street.
2. Generally, utility connections should be placed no closer to the street than the mid-point of the structure. Power lines should be placed underground if they are carried from the street and not from the rear or an alley.

### **J. Public Spaces**

1. Landscaping, sidewalks, signage, lighting, street furniture and other work undertaken in public spaces by any individual, group or agency shall be presented to the MHZC for review of compatibility with the character of the district.
2. Generally, mailboxes should be attached to the front wall of the house or a porch post. In most cases, street-side mailboxes are inappropriate.

#### **IV. Additions**

##### **A. Location**

1. Generally, an addition should be situated at the rear of a building in such a way that it will not disturb either front or side facades. Additions should be physically distinguished from the historic building and generally fit within the shadow line of the existing building.
  - a. Connections to additions should, as much as possible, use existing window and door openings rather than remove significant amounts of rear wall material.
  - b. Generally rear additions should inset one foot, for each story, from the side wall.
2. When a lot width exceeds 60 feet or the standard lot width on the block, it may be appropriate to add a side addition to a historic structure.
  - a. The addition should sit back from the face of the historic structure (at or beyond the midpoint of the building) and should be subservient in height, width and massing to the historic structure.
  - b. Side additions should be narrower than half of the historic building width and exhibit a height of at least 2' shorter than the historic building.
  - c. To deemphasize a side addition, the roofing form should generally be a hip or side-gable roof form.

##### **B. Massing**

1. In order to assure that an addition has achieved proper scale, the addition should generally be shorter and thinner than the existing building. Exceptions may be made when unusual constraints make these parameters unreasonable, such as an extreme grade change or an atypical lot parcel shape or size. In these cases, an addition may rise above or extend wider than the existing building; however, generally the addition should not be higher and extend wider.
  - a. *When an addition needs to be taller:*  
*Whenever possible, additions should not be taller than the historic building; however, when a taller addition is the only option, additions to single story structures may rise as high as 4' above ridge of the existing building at a distance of 40' from the front edge of the existing building. In this instance, the side walls and roof of the addition must set in as is typical for all additions. The portion of the roof that can be seen should have a hipped, side gable or clipped gable roof to help decrease the visual mass of the addition.*
  - b. *When an addition needs to be wider:*  
*Rear additions that are wider than an existing historic building may be appropriate when the building is narrower than 30' or shifted to one side of the lot. In these instances, a structural alcove or channel must separate the existing building from the new addition. The structural alcove should sit in a minimum of 1' and be at least twice as long as it is deep.*  
*A rear addition that is wider should not wrap the rear corner. It should only extend from the addition itself and not the historic building.*
2. No matter its use, an addition should not be larger than the existing house, not including non-historic additions, in order to achieve compatibility in scale. This will allow for the retention of small and medium size homes in the neighborhood. The diversity of housing type and size is a character defining feature of the historic districts.

3. Additions which are essentially a house-behind-a-house with a long narrow connector are not appropriate, as the form does not exist historically. Short or minimal connections that do not require the removal of the entire back wall of a historic building are preferred.
4. When an addition ties into the existing roof, it should be at least 6" below the existing ridge.
5. Ridge raises are most appropriate for one-story; side-gable buildings, (without clipped gables) and that require more finished height in the attic. The purpose of a ridge raise is to allow for conditioned space in the attic and to discourage large rear or side additions. The raised portion must sit in a minimum of 2' from each side wall and can be raised no more than 2' of total vertical height within the same plane as the front roof slope.
6. Foundation walls should set in from the existing foundation at the back edge of the existing structure by one foot for each story or half story. Exception: When an addition is a small one-room deep (12' deep or less) addition that spans the width of the structure, and the existing structure is masonry with the addition to be wood (or appropriate substitute siding). The change in material from masonry to wood allows for a minimum of a four inch (4") inset. Foundation height should match or be lower than the existing structure.
7. The height of the addition's roof and eaves must be less than or equal to the existing structure.
8. Visually evident roof slopes should match the roof slopes of the existing structure, and roof planes should set in accordingly for rear additions.

### **C. Roof Additions: Dormers, Skylights & Solar Panels**

1. Dormer additions are appropriate for some historic buildings as they are a traditional way of adding ventilation and light to upper stories. The addition of a dormer that would require the removal of historic features such as an existing dormer, chimneys, cupolas or decorative feature is not appropriate.
  - a. Rear dormers should be inset from the side walls of the building by a minimum of 2'. The top of a rear dormer may attach just below the ridge of the main roof or lower.
  - b. Side dormers should be compatible with the scale and design of the building. Generally, this can be accomplished with the following:
    - New dormers should be similar in design and scale to an existing dormer on the building.
    - If there are no existing dormers, new dormers should be similar in design and scale to an existing dormer on another historic building that is similar in style and massing.
    - The number of dormers and their location and size should be appropriate to the style and design of the building. Sometimes the width of roof dormers relate to the openings below. The symmetry or lack of symmetry within a building design should be used as a guide when placing dormers.
    - Dormers should not be added to secondary roof planes.
    - Eave depth on a dormer should not exceed the eave depth on the main roof.
    - The roof form of the dormer should match the roof form of the building or be appropriate for the style.
    - The roof pitch of the dormer should generally match the roof pitch of the building.
    - The ridge of a side dormer should be at least 2' below the ridge of the existing building; the cheeks should be inset at least 2' from the wall below or adjacent valley; and the front wall of the gable should setback a minimum of 2' from the wall below. (These minimum insets will likely be greater than 2' when following the guidelines for appropriate scale.)
    - Dormers should generally be fully glazed and aprons below the window should be minimal.
    - The exterior material cladding of side dormers should match the primary or secondary material of the main building.
2. Skylights should not be located on the front-facing slope of the roof. Skylights should be flat (no bubble lenses) with a low profile (no more than six inches tall) and only be installed behind the midpoint of the building).

3. Solar panels should be located at the rear of the building, unless this location does not provide enough sunlight. Solar panels should generally not be located towards the front of a historic building unless this is the only workable location.
- D. The creation of an addition through enclosure of a front porch is not appropriate. The creation of an addition through the enclosure of a side porch may be appropriate if the addition is constructed in such a way that original form and openings on the porch remain visible and undisturbed.
- E. Contemporary designs for additions to existing properties are not discouraged when such additions do not destroy significant historical, architectural, or cultural material; and when such design is compatible, by not contrasting greatly, with the size, scale, color, material, and character of the property, neighborhood, or environment.
- F. A new addition should be constructed in such a manner that if the addition were to be removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the original structure would be unimpaired. Connections should, as much as possible, use existing window and door openings rather than remove significant amounts of rear wall material.
- G. Additions should follow the guidelines for new construction.

## **V. Demolition**

### **B. GUIDELINES**

#### **1. Demolition is not appropriate**

- a. if a building, or major portion of a building, is of such architectural or historical interest and value that its removal would be detrimental to the public interest; or
- b. if a building, or major portion of a building, is of such old or unusual or uncommon design and materials that it could not be reproduced or be reproduced without great difficulty and expense.

#### **2. Demolition is appropriate**

- a. if a building, or major portion of a building, has irretrievably lost its architectural and historical integrity and significance and its removal will result in a more historically appropriate visual effect on the district;
- b. if a building, or major portion of a building, does not contribute to the historical and architectural character and significance of the district and its removal will result in a more historically appropriate visual effect on the district; or
- c. if the denial of the demolition will result in an economic hardship on the applicant as determined by the MHZC in accordance with section 17.40.420 (Historic Zoning Regulations), Metropolitan Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance.

**Background:** The National Register nomination for the Waverly Place Historic District lists 845 Glen as being constructed c. 1895 and deems it to be contributing. It is a one-story frame folk Victorian house with a wrap around corner porch (Figure 1). The lot is seventy-five feet (75') wide, and the house is shifted to the left side of the lot.



Figure 1. 845 Glen Avenue

**Analysis and Findings:** The applicant proposes a rear addition that is wider than the historic house. The project involves demolishing a non-historic rear addition.

Demolition: The applicant proposes to demolish an existing rear addition that is not original to the house (Figures 2 & 3). The exact date of construction of this rear portion of the house is unknown, although it does not appear in the c. 1968 Property Assessor card and does not appear on the 1957 Sanborn map (Figures 4 & 5). The existing addition is not inset from back wall of the house on the left side, but Figure 3 shows the change in foundation materials at the line of the addition.



Figure 2 (left) shows the shed roof addition that will be demolished. Figure 3 (right) shows the change in the foundation material from the historic house to the addition.




Figure 4 (left) is the 1957 Sanborn Map. Figure 5 (right) is the c. 1968 Property Assessor footprint. Neither show the addition that is proposed to be demolished.

Staff finds that the date of construction of the addition, its roof form, and its materials do not contribute to the historic character of the historic house and that its removal meets the design guidelines.

The applicant also intends to alter some window openings, which is considered partial demolition. On the front façade, the applicant intends to change a window opening into a door opening (Figure 6). Alterations of window and door openings on the front façade are generally not appropriate, but in this case, the c. 1968 Property Assessor photo shows that there used to be a door in this location (Figure 7) and doors at this location are typical of this style and form. Because of the documentary evidence, staff finds that the alteration of the window into a door meets the design guidelines.



Figure 6 shows the existing window opening which will become a door.



Figure 6 is the c. 1968 Property Assessor photo shows that the window opening was formerly a door.

The applicant is also proposing to alter window openings on the left façade, behind the projecting gable bay (Figure 7). One window opening will be shortened slightly and another window opening will be added. These types of window changes are considered partial demolition. In this case, staff finds the proposed window changes to be appropriate because they are located all the way at the rear of the historic house. Because they are located behind the projecting bay, they will not be highly visible from the street.




Figure 7. The window openings to be altered on the left façade. The window on the left will be shortened and the window on the right will be added.

Staff finds that the proposed partial demolition meets Section V.B.2. of the design guidelines.

**Height & Scale:** The proposed addition is one-story in height and shorter than the historic house, which is appropriate. The addition will extend to be twelve feet (12') wider than the historic house, which staff finds to be appropriate because the lot is unusually wide at seventy-five feet (75') and the house is shifted significantly to the left side of the lot. The proposed wider portion of the addition is lower in height than the historic house and has a side-gabled roof form. Typically, staff would recommend that the addition inset at the back corner of the historic house before extending wider. In this

case, the applicant is not proposing an inset. Staff finds the lack of an inset to be appropriate, in this instance, because there is a current addition that is to be demolished that does not inset (see Figures 2 & 3). There is no original back right corner of the historic house remaining for an inset. Because of the existing addition that is not inset, staff finds that the proposed new addition does not need to inset.

The addition has a relatively modest footprint. In total, the footprint of the house will only increase by approximately four hundred and eighty-eight square feet (488 sq. ft.), which staff finds to be appropriate. The project also includes a thirty-square foot (30 sq. ft.) covered stoop on the rear façade, which will not be visible from the street.

Staff finds that the proposed addition meets Sections III.A., III.B., and IV.B. of the design guidelines.

Location & Removability: The proposed addition is located behind the historic house. It does extend wider than the historic house, which is appropriate because of the width of the lot, the fact that the house is shifted on the lot, and because its overall height and scale are subordinate to the historic house. Because the addition is in the general location of an existing addition, and because it has a separate roof form from the historic house, it can be removed in the future without affecting the historic house's architectural integrity.

Staff finds that the proposed addition meets Sections IV.A and IV.F. of the design guidelines.

Design: The location of the addition at the rear of the existing building is in accordance with the design guidelines. The addition's location, separate roof form, and lower height help to distinguish it from the historic house and read as an addition to the house. At the same time, its scale, materials, roof form, and fenestration pattern are all compatible with the historic character of the existing house. The addition is designed so that if the addition were to be removed in the future, the historic character of the house would still be intact.

Staff finds that the proposed addition meets Sections IV. of the design guidelines.

Setback & Rhythm of Spacing: The proposed addition meets all base zoning setbacks. The addition will be at least nineteen feet (19') from the left side property line and over sixteen feet (16') from the right side property line. It will be over ninety feet (90') from the rear property line. Because the addition is approximately seventy feet (70') back from the front property line, the wider portion of the addition will not affect the rhythm of spacing along the street.

Staff finds that the proposed addition meets Sections III.C. and IV. of the design guidelines.

Materials:

	<b>Proposed</b>	<b>Color/Texture/ Make/Manufacturer</b>	<b>Approved Previously or Typical of Neighborhood</b>	<b>Requires Additional Review</b>
<b>Foundation</b>	Concrete Block	Split Face	Yes	No
<b>Cladding</b>	5" cement fiberboard lap siding	Smooth	Yes	No
<b>Roofing</b>	Architectural Shingles	Color known	Yes	Yes
<b>Trim</b>	Paulownia Trim	Smooth faced	Yes	No
<b>Rear Stoop Posts</b>	Wood	Typical	Yes	No
<b>Windows</b>	Marvin Wood Ultimate	Marvin Wood Ultimate	Yes	No
<b>Rear door</b>	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Yes

With staff's final approval of the roof shingle color and the rear doors, staff finds that the proposed addition meets Section III.D. and IV. of the design guidelines.

Roof form: The proposed addition has several 8/12 pitch gabled roof forms which are appropriate to the historic house. The rear stoop roof will be a 3/12 shed.

Staff find that the proposed roof forms meet Sections III.E and IV. of the design guidelines.

Orientation: Because the addition is approximately seventy feet (70') back from the front property line, the wider portion of the addition will not affect the house's orientation towards Glen Avenue.

Staff finds that the proposed addition meets Sections III.F. and IV. of the design guidelines.

Proportion and Rhythm of Openings: The changes to the window openings on the historic houses were discussed under "Partial Demolition." The windows on the proposed addition are all generally twice as tall as they are wide, thereby meeting the historic proportions of openings. There are no large expanses of wall space without a window or door opening. S


Staff finds the project's proportion and rhythm of openings to meet Sections III.G. and IV. of the design guidelines.

Appurtenances & Utilities: No changes to the site's appurtenances were indicated on the drawings. The location of the HVAC and other utilities was also not noted. Staff recommends that the HVAC be located on the rear façade, or on a side façade beyond the midpoint of the house.

**Recommendation Summary:** Staff recommends approval of the project with the following conditions:

1. Staff approve the roof shingle color and the rear door material and design; and
2. The HVAC be located behind the house or on either side, beyond the mid-point of the house.

With these conditions, staff finds that the proposed addition meets Sections III.,IV., and V. of the Waverly-Belmont Neighborhood Conservation Zoning Overlay design guidelines.



NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

REV:	DATE:	DESC:
0	11.11.19	SET FOR MHZC

AN ADDITION AND RENOVATION AT  
**845 GLEN AVE.**  
 NASHVILLE, TN 37204




INFO@NINE12ARCHITECTS.COM  
 615.761.9902  
 WWW.NINE12ARCHITECTS.COM



**SITE PLAN**  
 SCALE: 1/16"=1'-0"

SITE PLAN

01



WALL LEGEND	
	EXISTING TO REMAIN
	EXISTING TO BE DEMOLISHED
	NEW WALL

SQUARE FOOTAGE:	
EXISTING IST:	2,078SF
ADDITION IST:	488SF
<b>TOTAL CONDITIONED:</b>	<b>2,566SF</b>

1 FIRST FLOOR PLAN  
 SCALE: 1/8"=1'-0"

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

REV:	DATE:	DESC:
0	11.11.19	SET FOR MHZC


AN ADDITION AND RENOVATION AT  
**845 GLEN AVE.**  
 NASHVILLE, TN 37204



INFO@NINE12ARCHITECTS.COM  
 615.761.9902  
 WWW.NINE12ARCHITECTS.COM


PLANS

02



1

ROOF PLAN



SCALE: 1/8"=1'-0"

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

INFO@NINE12ARCHITECTS.COM  
615.761.9902  
WWW.NINE12ARCHITECTS.COM

PLANS

03


REV: 0

DATE: 11.11.19

DESC: SET FOR MHZC

AN ADDITION AND RENOVATION AT  
**845 GLEN AVE.**  
NASHVILLE, TN 37204





**2 FRONT ELEVATION**  
 SCALE: 1/8"=1'-0"



**1 LEFT ELEVATION**  
 SCALE: 1/8"=1'-0"

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

REV: 0 DATE: 11.11.19 DESC: SET FOR MHZC


AN ADDITION AND RENOVATION AT  
**845 GLEN AVE.**  
 NASHVILLE, TN 37204




INFO@NINE12ARCHITECTS.COM  
 615.761.9902  
 WWW.NINE12ARCHITECTS.COM

ELEVATIONS

04



**2 RIGHT ELEVATION**  
SCALE: 1/8"=1'-0"



**1 REAR ELEVATION**  
SCALE: 1/8"=1'-0"

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

REV:	DATE:	DESC:
0	11.11.19	SET FOR MHZC

AN ADDITION AND RENOVATION AT  
**845 GLEN AVE.**  
NASHVILLE, TN 37204



INFO@NINE12ARCHITECTS.COM  
615.761.9902  
WWW.NINE12ARCHITECTS.COM

ELEVATIONS

05