

JOHN COOPER
MAYOR



METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY

Metropolitan Historic Zoning Commission
Sunnyside in Sevier Park
3000 Granny White Pike
Nashville, Tennessee 37204
Telephone: (615) 862-7970

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

1707 Holly Street

June 17, 2020

Application: New Construction—Addition; Partial Demolition

District: Lockeland Springs-East End Neighborhood Conservation Zoning Overlay

Council District: 06

Base Zoning: R6

Map and Parcel Number: 08314000400

Applicant: Van Pond, Jr.

Project Lead: Melissa Sajid, Melissa.sajid@nashville.gov

Description of Project: Application is to demolish an existing rear addition and to construct a new rear addition that extends wider on the left side and includes a ridge raise.

Recommendation Summary: Staff recommends approval with the following conditions:

1. The siding shall have a maximum reveal of five inches (5");
2. Staff approve the final details, dimensions and materials of windows, doors, and membrane roofing color prior to purchase and installation;
3. The HVAC shall be located behind the house or on either side, beyond the mid-point of the house; and
4. Staff approve the roof color and masonry color, dimensions and texture.

With these conditions, staff finds that the project meets II.B of the *Lockeland Springs-East End Neighborhood Conservation District Handbook and Design Guidelines*.

Attachments

- A: Photographs
- B: Site Plan
- C: Elevations

Applicable Design Guidelines:

II.B. New Construction

1. Height

New buildings must be constructed to the same number of stories and to a height which is compatible with the height of adjacent buildings.

The height of the foundation wall, porch roof, and main roofs should all be compatible with those of surrounding historic buildings.

Infill construction on the 1400 -1600 blocks of Boscobel Street may be up to two-stories.

For those lots located within the Five Points Subdistrict of the Five Points Redevelopment District new buildings shall not exceed 2 stories and 30' in height. A third story and 15' may be added provided that is for residential use only and is compatible with existing adjacent historic structures. The third story must be stepped back at least 10' from façade planes facing a residential subdistrict, an existing house (regardless of use), and public streets. All front and side building walls shall be a minimum of 20' in height. For multi-story buildings, the minimum first floor height shall be 14' from finished floor to finished floor. Exception: buildings with first floor residential use, minimum first floor height shall be 12'.

For those lots located within the Corner Commercial Subdistrict of the Five Points Redevelopment District new buildings shall not exceed 2 stories and 30' in height. An additional story may be added to a building provided that, where it is adjacent to a detached house or a residential subdistrict, it is set back a minimum of 25' from the building wall or 50' from the property line. Three story building height shall not exceed 45'. All front and side buildings walls shall be a minimum of 16' in height and at the build-to line. For multi-story buildings, the minimum first floor height shall be 14' from finished floor to finished floor.

For those lots located within the Residential Subdistrict of the Five Points Redevelopment District shall not exceed 3 stories .

2. Scale

The size of a new building and its mass in relation to open spaces; and its windows, doors, openings, and porches should be visually compatible with surrounding historic buildings.

Foundation lines should be visually distinct from the predominant exterior wall material. This is typically accomplished with a change in material.

3. Setback and Rhythm of Spacing

4. Since construction in an historic district has usually taken place continuously from the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, a variety of building types and styles result which demonstrate the changes in building tastes and technology over the years. New buildings should continue this tradition while complementing and being compatible with other buildings in the area.

In Lockeland Springs-East End, historic buildings were constructed between 1880 and 1950. New buildings should be compatible with surrounding houses from this period.

5. Reconstruction may be appropriate when it reproduces facades of a building which no longer exists and which was located in the historic district if: (1) the building would have contributed to the

historical and architectural character of the area; (2) if it will be compatible in terms of style, height, scale, massing, and materials with the buildings immediately surrounding the lot on which the reproduction will be built; and (3) if it is accurately based on pictorial documentation.

6. Because new buildings usually relate to an established pattern and rhythm of existing buildings, both on the same and opposite sides of a street, the dominance of that pattern and rhythm must be respected and not disrupted.

7. New construction should be consistent with existing buildings along a street in terms of height, scale, setback, and rhythm; relationship of materials, texture, details, and color; roof shape; orientation; and proportion and rhythm of openings.

The setback from front and side yard property lines established by adjacent historic buildings must be maintained. When a definite rhythm along a street is established by uniform lot and building width, infill new buildings should maintain that rhythm.

The Commission has the ability to reduce building setbacks and extend height limitations of the required underlying base zoning for new construction, additions and accessory structures (ordinance no. 17.40.410).

Appropriate setback reductions will be determined based on:

- The existing setback of the contributing primary buildings and accessory structures found in the immediate vicinity;*
- Setbacks of like structures historically found on the site as determined by historic maps, site plans or photographs;*
- Shape of lot;*
- Alley access or lack thereof;*
- Proximity of adjoining structures; and*
- Property lines.*

Appropriate height limitations will be based on:

- Heights of historic buildings in the immediate vicinity*
- Existing or planned slope and grade*

Infill construction on the 1400 - 1600 blocks of Boscobel Street may have widths up to 40'.

4. Relationship of Materials, Textures, Details, and Material Colors

The relationship and use of materials, textures, details, and material color of a new building's public facades shall be visually compatible with and similar to those of adjacent buildings, or shall not contrast conspicuously.

T-1-11-type building panels, "permastone", E.F.I.S. and other artificial siding materials are generally not appropriate. However, pre-cast stone and cement fiberboard siding are approvable cladding materials for new construction; but pre-cast stone should be of a compatible color and texture to existing historic stone clad structures in the district; and cement fiberboard siding, when used for lapped siding, should be smooth and not stamped or embossed and have a maximum of a 5" reveal. The reveal for lap siding should not exceed 5". Larger reveals may be possible but should not exceed 8" and shall have mitered corners.

Shingle siding should exhibit a straight-line course pattern and exhibit a maximum exposure of seven inches (7").

Four inch (4") nominal corner boards are required at the face of each exposed corner.

Stud wall lumber and embossed wood grain are prohibited.

Belt courses or a change in materials from one story to another are often encouraged for large two-story buildings to break up the massing.

When different materials are used, it is most appropriate to have the change happen at floor lines. Clapboard sided chimneys are generally not appropriate. Masonry or stucco is appropriate. Texture and tooling of mortar on new construction should be similar to historic examples. Asphalt shingle is an appropriate roof material for most buildings. Generally, roofing should not have strong simulated shadows in the granule colors which results in a rough, pitted appearance; faux shadow lines; strongly variegated colors; colors that are too light (e.g.: tan, white, light green); wavy or deep color/texture used to simulate split shake shingles or slate; excessive flared form in the shingle tabs; uneven or sculpted bottom edges that emphasize tab width or edges, unless matching the original roof. Primary entrances should be 1/2 to full-light doors. Faux leaded glass is inappropriate. Generally front doors should be 1/2 to full-light. Faux leaded glass is inappropriate.

5. Roof Shape

The roofs of new buildings shall be visually compatible, by not contrasting greatly, with the roof shape and orientation of surrounding buildings.

Roof pitches should be similar to the pitches found in the district. Historic roofs are generally between 6/12 and 12/12.

Roof pitches for porch roofs are typically less steep, approximately in the 3-4/12 range.

Generally, two-story residential buildings have hipped roofs.

Generally, dormers should be located on the roof. Wall dormers are not typical in the historic context and accentuate height so they should be used minimally and generally only on secondary facades. When they are appropriate they should be no wider than the typical window openings and should not project beyond the main wall.

Infill construction on the 1400-1600 blocks of Boscobel Street may have flat roofs or roofs with a minimal slope.

6. Orientation

The site orientation of new buildings shall be consistent with that of adjacent buildings and shall be visually compatible. Directional expression shall be compatible with surrounding buildings, whether that expression is vertical, horizontal, or non-directional.

Porches

New buildings should incorporate at least one front street-related porch that is accessible from the front street.

Side porches or porte cocheres may also be appropriate as a secondary entrance, but the primary entrance should address the front.

Front porches generally should be a minimum of 6' deep, have porch racks that are 1'-3' tall and have posts that include bases and capitals.

Parking areas and Driveways

Generally, curb cuts should not be added.

Where a new driveway is appropriate it should be two concrete strips with a central grassy median. Shared driveways should be a single lane, not just two driveways next to each other. Sometimes this may be accomplished with a single lane curb cut that widens to a double lane deeper into the lot.

Duplexes

Infill duplexes shall have one or two doors facing the street, as seen on historic duplexes. In the case of corner lots, an entrance facing the side street is possible as long as it is designed to look like a secondary entrance.

In the case of duplexes, vehicular access for both units should be from the alley, where an alley exists. A new shared curb cut may be added, if no alley and no driveway exists, but the driveway should be no more than 12' wide from the street to the rear of the home. Driveways should use concrete strips where they are typical of the historic context. Front yard parking or driveways which end at the front of the house are not consistent with the character of the historic neighborhoods.

7. Proportion and Rhythm of Openings

The relationship of width to height of windows and doors, and the rhythm of solids (*walls*) to voids (*door and window openings*) in a new building shall be compatible, by not contrasting greatly, with surrounding *historic buildings*.

Window openings on the primary street-related or front façade of new construction should be representative of the window patterns of similarly massed historic structures within the district. In most cases, every 8-13 horizontal feet of flat wall surface should have an opening (window or door) of at least 4 square feet. More leniencies can be given to minimally visible side or rear walls.

Double-hung windows should exhibit a height to width ratio of at least 2:1.

Windows on upper floors should not be taller than windows on the main floor since historically first floors have higher ceilings than upper floors and so windows were typically taller on the first floor.

Single-light sashes are appropriate for new construction. If using multi-light sashes, muntins should be fully simulated and bonded to the glass, and exhibit an interior bar, exterior bar, as well as a spacer between glass panes.

Four inch (nominal) casings are required around doors, windows and vents on non-masonry buildings.

Trim should be thick enough to extend beyond the clapboard. Double or triple windows should have a 4" to 6" mullion in between.

Brick molding is required around doors, windows and vents within masonry walls but is not appropriate on non-masonry buildings.

9. Appurtenances

Appurtenances related to new buildings, including driveways, sidewalks, lighting, fences, and walls, shall be visually compatible with the environment of the existing buildings and sites to which they relate.

Utilities

Utility connections such as gas meters, electric meters, phone, cable, and HVAC condenser units should be located so as to minimize their visibility from the street.

Generally, utility connections should be placed no closer to the street than the mid point of the structure.

Power lines should be placed underground if they are carried from the street and not from the rear or an alley.

Public Spaces

Landscaping, sidewalks, signage, lighting, street furniture and other work undertaken in public spaces by any individual, group or agency shall be presented to the MHZC for review of compatibility with the character of the district.

Generally, mailboxes should be attached to the front wall of the house or a porch post. In most cases, street-side mailboxes are inappropriate.

10. ADDITIONS

a. Generally, an addition should be situated at the rear of a building in such a way that it will not disturb either front or side facades.

Placement

Additions should be located at the rear of an existing structure.

Connections to additions should, as much as possible, use existing window and door openings rather than remove significant amounts of rear wall material.

Generally, one-story rear additions should inset one foot, for each story, from the side wall.

Additions should be physically distinguished from the historic building and generally fit within the shadow line of the existing building.

Additions that tie-into the existing roof must be at least 6" below the existing ridge line.

In order to assure that an addition has achieved proper scale, the addition should:

- No matter its use, an addition should not be larger than the existing house, not including non-historic additions, in order to achieve compatibility in scale. This will allow for the retention of small and medium size homes in the neighborhood. The diversity of housing type and size is a character defining feature of the historic districts.*

- Additions which are essentially a house-behind-a-house with a long narrow connector are not appropriate, as the form does not exist historically. Short or minimal connections that do not require the removal of the entire back wall of a historic building are preferred.*

- Additions should generally be shorter and thinner than the existing building. Exceptions may be made when unusual constraints make these parameters unreasonable, such as:*

- An extreme grade change*

- Atypical lot parcel shape or size*

In these cases, an addition may rise above or extend wider than the existing building; however, generally the addition should not be taller and extend wider.

When an addition needs to be taller:

Whenever possible, additions should not be taller than the historic building; however, when a taller addition is the only option, additions to single story structures may rise as high as 4' above the shadow line of the existing building at a distance of 40' from the front edge of the existing building.

In this instance, the side walls and roof of the addition must set in as is typical for all additions.

The portion of the roof that can be seen should have a hipped, side gable or clipped gable roof to help decrease the visual mass of the addition.

When an addition needs to be wider:

Rear additions that are wider than an existing historic building may be appropriate when the building is narrower than 30' or shifted to one side of the lot. In these instances, a structural alcove or channel must separate the existing building from the new addition. The structural alcove should sit in a minimum of 1' and be at least twice as long as it is deep.

In addition, a rear addition that is wider should not wrap the rear corner.

Ridge raises

Ridge raises are most appropriate for one-story, side-gable buildings, (without clipped gables) and that require more finished height in the attic. The purpose of a ridge raise is to allow for conditioned space in the attic and to discourage large rear or side additions. The raised portion must sit in a minimum of 2' from each side wall and can be raised no more than 2' of total vertical height within the same plane as the front roof slope.

Sunrooms

Metal framed sunrooms, as a modern interpretation of early greenhouses, are appropriate if they are mostly glass or use appropriate cladding material for the district, are located at the rear in a minimally visible location, are minimally attached to the existing structure, and follow all other design guidelines for additions.

Foundation

Foundation walls should set in from the existing foundation at the back edge of the existing structure by one foot for each story or half story. Exception: When an addition is a small one-room deep (12' deep or less) addition that spans the width of the structure, and the existing structure is masonry with the addition to be wood (or appropriate substitute siding). The change in material from masonry to wood allows for a minimum of a four inch (4") inset.

Foundation height should match or be lower than the existing structure.

Foundation lines should be visually distinct from the predominant exterior wall material. This is generally accomplished with a change in materials.

Roof

The height of the addition's roof and eaves must be less than or equal to the existing structure.

Visually evident roof slopes should match the roof slopes of the existing structure, and roof planes should set in accordingly for rear additions.

Skylights should not be located on the front-facing slope of the roof. Skylights should be flat (no bubble lenses) with a low profile (no more than six inches tall) and only be installed behind the midpoint of the building).

Dormer additions are appropriate for some historic buildings as they are a traditional way of adding ventilation and light to upper stories.

The addition of a dormer that would require the removal of historic features such as an existing dormer, chimneys, cupolas or decorative feature is not appropriate.

Rear dormers should be inset from the side walls of the building by a minimum of two feet. The top of a rear dormer may attach just below the ridge of the main roof or lower.

Side dormers should be compatible with the scale and design of the building. Generally, this can be accomplished with the following:

- New dormers should be similar in design and scale to an existing dormer on the building.*
- New dormers should be similar in design and scale to an existing dormer on another historic building that is similar in style and massing.*
- The number of dormers and their location and size should be appropriate to the style and design of the building. Sometimes dormer locations relate to the openings below. The symmetry or lack of symmetry within a building design should be used as a guide when placing dormers.*
- Dormers should not be added to secondary roof planes.*
- Eave depth on a dormer should not exceed the eave depth on the main roof.*
- The roof form of the dormer should match the roof form of the building or be appropriate for the style.*
- The roof pitch of the dormer should generally match the roof pitch of the building.*
- The ridge of a side dormer should be at least 2' below the ridge of the existing building; the cheeks should be inset at least 2' from the wall below or adjacent valley; and the front wall of the gable should setback a minimum of 2' from the wall below. (These minimum insets will likely be greater than 2' when following the guidelines for appropriate scale.)*
- Dormers should generally be fully glazed and aprons below the window should be minimal.*
- The exterior material cladding of side dormers should match the primary or secondary material of the main building.*

Side Additions

When a lot width exceeds 60' or the standard lot width on the block, it may be appropriate to add a side addition to a historic structure. The addition should set back from the face of the historic structure (at or beyond the midpoint of the building) and should be subservient in height, width and massing to the historic structure.

Side additions should be narrower than half of the historic building width and exhibit a height of at least 2' shorter than the historic building.

To deemphasize a side addition, the roofing form should generally be a hip or side-gable roof form.

Commercial buildings that desire a covered open-air side additions generally should not enclose the area with plastic sides. Such applications may be appropriate if: the addition is located on the

ground level off a secondary facade, is not located on a street facing side of a building, has a permanent glass wall on the portion of the addition which faces the street, and the front sits back a minimum of three (3') from the front or side wall, depending on placement of the addition.

- b. The creation of an addition through enclosure of a front porch is not appropriate.

Side porch additions may be appropriate for corner building lots or lots more than 60' wide.

- c. Contemporary designs for additions to existing properties are not discouraged when such additions do not destroy significant historical, architectural, or cultural material; and when such design is compatible, by not contrasting greatly, with the size, scale, color, material, and character of the property, neighborhood, or environment.

- d. A new addition should be constructed in such a manner that if the addition were to be removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the original structure would be unimpaired.

Connections should, as much as possible, use existing window and door openings rather than remove significant amounts of rear wall material.

- e. Additions should follow the guidelines for new construction.

III.B. Demolition

1. Demolition is not appropriate

- a. if a building, or major portion of a building, is of such architectural or historical interest and value that its removal would be detrimental to the public interest; or
- b. if a building, or major portion of a building, is of such old or unusual or uncommon design and materials that it could not be reproduced or be reproduced without great difficulty and expense.

2. Demolition is appropriate

- a. if a building, or major portion of a building, has irretrievably lost its architectural and historical integrity and significance and its removal will result in a more historically appropriate visual effect on the district;
- b. if a building, or major portion of a building, does not contribute to the historical and architectural character and significance of the district and its removal will result in a more historically appropriate visual effect on the district; or
- c. if the denial of the demolition will result in an economic hardship on the applicant as determined by the MHZC in accordance with section 17.40.420 (Historic Zoning Regulations), Metropolitan Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance.

Background: The house located at 1707 Holly Street is a c. 1925 brick bungalow that contributes to the historic character of the Lockeland Springs-East End Neighborhood Conservation Zoning Overlay (Figure 1). A preservation permit for a DADU in the rear yard was issued in October 2018 (HCP 2017070877).



Figure 1. 1707 Holly Street, June 2020.

Analysis and Findings: Application is to demolish an existing rear addition and to construct a new rear addition that extends wider on the left side and includes a ridge raise.

Partial Demolition: The applicant proposes to demolish an existing rear addition (Figure 2). The proposed addition was constructed sometime after 1957 as it does not appear on the 1957 Sanborn map. Given its construction date, location at the rear of the house, separate roof form, and different cladding material, staff finds the proposed partial demolition to be appropriate and to meet Section III.B.2 for appropriate demolition and not meet Section III.B.1 for inappropriate demolition.



Figure 2. Rear addition to be demolished.

Height &




Figure 3. 1957 Sanborn map.

Height & Scale: The proposed addition incorporates both a ridge raise and wider rear addition. The ridge raise meets the design guidelines as it extends no more than two feet (2') taller than the historic house with the side walls and eaves setting in three feet, four inches (3'-4"). Since the house is approximately thirty feet (30') wide and shifted on the right of the lot, the house meets the conditions when a wider rear addition may be appropriate. The wider addition begins twelve feet (12') behind the rear wall of the existing house, has a side-gable roof form, and has a single-story massing with a ridge height that sits two feet (2') lower than the existing ridge (4' lower than the house with the ridge raise). In addition, the wider portion that will be seen from the street is primarily glazing. Given the location, massing, and design of the wider rear addition, staff finds that it is appropriate in this situation. At no point is the wider rear addition also taller than the existing house.

The proposed rear addition does not more than double the existing footprint and depth of the house. The addition is set in two feet (2') from both rear corners, in accordance with the design guidelines, and goes back twelve feet (12') on both sides before coming back out to match the width of the historic house on the right side and extend approximately nine feet, four inches (9'-4") wider on the left side.

The project meets Section II.B.1.and 2.

Location & Removability: The location of the addition at the rear of the existing building is in accordance with the design guidelines. The addition's change in materials, inset, and separate roof form help to distinguish it from the historic house and read as an addition to the house. At the same time, its scale, materials, roof form, and fenestration pattern are all compatible with the historic character of the existing house. The addition is designed so that if the addition were to be removed in the future, the historic character of the house would still be intact.

The project meets Section II.B.2.a and d.

Design: The proposed rear addition's design is compatible with the historic house. Its roof form, fenestration pattern, and height and scale are all compatible with the historic structure. At the same time its separate roof form, materials, and insets distinguish it as a newer portion of the house. The addition incorporates a ridge raise and wider rear addition, which both meet the design guidelines. The project includes an upper-level balcony that is located above the rear screened porch; the balcony is located under roof. Staff finds this to be consistent with upper-level balconies previously approved by the Commission.

The project meets Section II.B.2.a and e.

Setback & Rhythm of Spacing: The addition meets all base zoning setback as it will be located five feet (5') from both side property lines and approximately forty-nine feet (49') from the rear property line. The rear of the addition will be located twenty-six feet, three inches (26'-3") from the existing outbuilding.

The project meets Section II.B.3.

Materials:

| | Proposed | Color/Texture/ Make/Manufacturer | Approved Previously or Typical of Neighborhood | Requires Additional Review |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Foundation | Split face CMU | Natural | Yes | No |
| Cladding | 6" cementitious lap siding | Smooth face | No | Yes |
| Primary Roofing | Architectural Shingles | Match existing | Yes | No |
| Secondary Roofing | EPDM | Color unknown | Yes | Yes |
| Trim | Wood | Painted | Yes | No |
| Side Porch Floor/steps | Wood | Painted | Yes | No |
| Side Porch Railing | Steel railing in wood posts | Painted | Yes | No |
| Chimney | Brick | Needs final approval | Yes | Yes |
| Windows | Aluminum clad wood window; SDL | Needs final approval | Unknown | Yes |
| Side door | Fiberglass full light | Needs final approval | Unknown | Yes |

The plan notes that the siding is to have a reveal of six inches (6"). For the material to meet the design guidelines, the siding should have a maximum reveal of five inches (5"); the applicant has agreed to this. In addition, the final selections of the masonry, windows, doors, and membrane roofing color must be approved prior to purchase and installation.

The project meets Section II.B.4.

Roof form: The addition has a cross-gable form with a primary roof pitch of 6/12, which is compatible with the historic house. A lower sloped membrane roof that has 0.5/12 pitch ties into the ridge raise. While the design guidelines recommend a minimum pitch of 6/12, staff finds that the lower pitched portion can be appropriate since it is set in three feet, four inches (3'-4") from the eaves of the historic house on both sides. Given the inset, staff finds that the flatter roof will not likely be seen from the street.

The project meets Section II.B.5.

Proportion and Rhythm of Openings: No changes to the window and door openings on the existing house were indicated on the plans. The windows on the proposed addition are all generally twice as tall as they are wide, thereby meeting the historic proportions of openings. There are some smaller square windows, but they are all located beyond the midpoint so are unlikely to be highly visible. There are no large expanses of wall space without a window or door opening.

Staff finds the project's proportion and rhythm of openings to meet Section II.B.7.


Appurtenances & Utilities: No changes to the site's appurtenances were indicated on the drawings. The location of the HVAC and other utilities was also not noted. Staff asks that the HVAC be located on the rear façade, or on a side façade beyond the midpoint of the house.

The project meets section II.B.9.

Recommendation: Staff recommends approval with the following conditions:

1. The siding shall have a maximum reveal of five inches (5");
2. Staff approve the final details, dimensions and materials of windows, doors, and membrane roofing color prior to purchase and installation;
3. The HVAC shall be located behind the house or on either side, beyond the mid-point of the house; and
4. Staff approve the roof color and masonry color, dimensions and texture.

With these conditions, staff finds that the project meets II.B of the *Lockeland Springs-East End Neighborhood Conservation District: Handbook and Design Guidelines*.



EXISTING SITE PLAN

1707 HOLLY STREET


EXTENSIONS + RENOVATIONS TO THE RIPP RESIDENCE
 NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37206

METROPOLITAN HISTORIC ZONING SUBMITTAL DRAWINGS
 NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION



EXISTING SITE PLAN
 ISSUE DATE:
 1 JUNE 2020

LO



PROPOSED SITE PLAN



| AREA CALCULATIONS | |
|---|-------------------|
| BUILDING FOOTPRINT AREAS: | |
| EXISTING BUILDING FOOTPRINT AREA (GSF): | 1,156 S.F. |
| EXISTING BUILDING FOOTPRINT AREA TO BE REMOVED (GSF): | (300) S.F. |
| EXISTING FRONT PORCH FOOTPRINT AREA (GSF): | 196 S.F. |
| EXISTING DECK FOOTPRINT AREA (GSF): | 270 S.F. |
| EXISTING DECK FOOTPRINT AREA TO BE REMOVED (GSF): | (270) S.F. |
| EXISTING DETACHED ACCESSORY STRUCTURE FOOTPRINT AREA (GSF): | 750 S.F. |
| ADDITIONAL BUILDING FOOTPRINT AREA (GSF): | 1,211 S.F. |
| PROPOSED SIDE ENTRY TERRACE FOOTPRINT AREA (GSF): | 104 S.F. |
| PROPOSED COVERED PORCH FOOTPRINT AREA (GSF): | 101 S.F. |
| TOTAL FOOTPRINT AREA (GSF): | 3,228 S.F. |
| HEATED AREAS: | |
| EXISTING BASEMENT HEATED AREA (GSF): | 1,052 S.F. |
| EXISTING MAIN FLOOR HEATED AREA (GSF): | 1,152 S.F. |
| EXISTING MAIN FLOOR HEATED AREA TO BE REMOVED (GSF): | (300) S.F. |
| EXISTING UPPER FLOOR HEATED AREA (GSF): | 297 S.F. |
| ADDITIONAL MAIN FLOOR HEATED AREA (GSF): | 1,219 S.F. |
| ADDITIONAL UPPER FLOOR HEATED AREA (GSF): | 1,175 S.F. |
| TOTAL HEATED AREA (GSF): | 4,509 S.F. |
| UNHEATED AREAS: | |
| EXISTING CRAWLSPACE UNHEATED AREA (GSF): | 104 S.F. |
| EXISTING FRONT PORCH UNHEATED AREA (GSF): | 108 S.F. |
| PROPOSED COVERED PORCH UNHEATED AREA (GSF): | 101 S.F. |
| TOTAL UNHEATED AREA (GSF): | 313 S.F. |

1707 HOLLY STREET

EXTENSIONS + RENOVATIONS TO THE RIPP RESIDENCE
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37206

METROPOLITAN HISTORIC ZONING SUBMITTAL DRAWINGS
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION



VAN POND ARCHITECT


2929 Sisco Drive
Suite 105
Nashville, Tennessee 37204

615.699.4387
vanpondarchitect.com

PROPOSED SITE PLAN

ISSUE DATE:
1 JUNE 2020





EXISTING BASEMENT PLAN



1707 HOLLY STREET

EXTENSIONS + RENOVATIONS TO THE RIPP RESIDENCE
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37206

METROPOLITAN HISTORIC ZONING SUBMITTAL DRAWINGS
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION




VAN POND ARCHITECT

2929 Sidco Drive
Suite 105
Nashville, Tennessee 37204
615.499.4387
vanpondarchitect.com

EXISTING BASEMENT
FLOOR PLAN

ISSUE DATE:
1 JUNE 2020

X0



EXISTING MAIN FLOOR PLAN



1707 HOLLY STREET

EXTENSIONS + RENOVATIONS TO THE RIPP RESIDENCE
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37206

METROPOLITAN HISTORIC ZONING SUBMITTAL DRAWINGS
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION




VAN POND ARCHITECT

2929 Sisco Drive
Suite 105
Nashville, Tennessee 37204
615.699.4387
vanpondarchitect.com


EXISTING MAIN FLOOR PLAN

ISSUE DATE:
1 JUNE 2020





EXISTING UPPER FLOOR PLAN



EXISTING ROOF PLAN



1707 HOLLY STREET

EXTENSIONS + RENOVATIONS TO THE RIPP RESIDENCE
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37206

METROPOLITAN HISTORIC ZONING SUBMITTAL DRAWINGS
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION




VAN POND ARCHITECT
2929 Sisco Drive
Suite 105
Nashville, Tennessee 37204
615.699.4387
vanpondarchitect.com

EXISTING UPPER
FLOOR PLAN

ISSUE DATE:
1 JUNE 2020

X2



PROPOSED MAIN FLOOR PLAN



1707 HOLLY STREET

EXTENSIONS + RENOVATIONS TO THE RIPP RESIDENCE
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37206

METROPOLITAN HISTORIC ZONING SUBMITTAL DRAWINGS
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION




VAN POND ARCHITECT
2929 Sisco Drive
Suite 105
Nashville, Tennessee 37204
615.699.4387
vanpondarchitect.com

PROPOSED MAIN FLOOR PLAN

ISSUE DATE:
1 JUNE 2020





PROPOSED UPPER FLOOR PLAN



1707 HOLLY STREET

EXTENSIONS + RENOVATIONS TO THE RIPP RESIDENCE
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37206

METROPOLITAN HISTORIC ZONING SUBMITTAL DRAWINGS
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION




VAN POND ARCHITECT

2929 Sisco Drive
Suite 105
Nashville, Tennessee 37204
615.699.4387
vanpondarchitect.com

PROPOSED UPPER FLOOR PLAN

ISSUE DATE:
1 JUNE 2020

A2



PROPOSED SOUTH (FRONT) ELEVATION



1707 HOLLY STREET

EXTENSIONS + RENOVATIONS TO THE RIPP RESIDENCE
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37206

METROPOLITAN HISTORIC ZONING SUBMITTAL DRAWINGS
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION




VAN POND ARCHITECT
2929 Sisco Drive
Suite 105
Nashville, Tennessee 37204
615.499.4387
vanpondarchitect.com

PROPOSED FRONT ELEVATION

ISSUE DATE:
1 JUNE 2020

A4



PROPOSED WEST (SIDE) ELEVATION



1707 HOLLY STREET

EXTENSIONS + RENOVATIONS TO THE RIPP RESIDENCE
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37206

METROPOLITAN HISTORIC ZONING SUBMITTAL DRAWINGS
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION




VAN POND ARCHITECT

2929 Sisco Drive
Suite 105
Nashville, Tennessee 37204
615.699.4387
vanpondarchitect.com

**PROPOSED SIDE
ELEVATION**

ISSUE DATE:
1 JUNE 2020

A5



PROPOSED NORTH (REAR) ELEVATION



1707 HOLLY STREET

EXTENSIONS + RENOVATIONS TO THE RIPP RESIDENCE
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37206

METROPOLITAN HISTORIC ZONING SUBMITTAL DRAWINGS
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION




VAN POND ARCHITECT
2929 Sisco Drive
Suite 105
Nashville, Tennessee 37204
615.499.4387
vanpondarchitect.com

PROPOSED REAR ELEVATION

ISSUE DATE:
1 JUNE 2020

A6



PROPOSED EAST (SIDE) ELEVATION



1707 HOLLY STREET

EXTENSIONS + RENOVATIONS TO THE RIPP RESIDENCE
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37206

METROPOLITAN HISTORIC ZONING SUBMITTAL DRAWINGS
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION




VAN POND ARCHITECT

2929 Sisco Drive
Suite 105
Nashville, Tennessee 37204
615.499.4387
vanpondarchitect.com

**PROPOSED SIDE
ELEVATION**

ISSUE DATE:
1 JUNE 2020

A7



PROPOSED MAIN FLOOR DEMOLITION PLAN



1707 HOLLY STREET

EXTENSIONS + RENOVATIONS TO THE RIPP RESIDENCE
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37206

METROPOLITAN HISTORIC ZONING SUBMITTAL DRAWINGS
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION




VAN POND ARCHITECT
P.C.
2929 Sisco Drive
Suite 105
Nashville, Tennessee 37204
615.499.4387
vanpondarchitect.com

PROPOSED MAIN FLOOR DEMOLITION PLAN

ISSUE DATE:
1 JUNE 2020





PROPOSED UPPER FLOOR DEMOLITION PLAN



1707 HOLLY STREET

EXTENSIONS + RENOVATIONS TO THE RIPP RESIDENCE
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37206

METROPOLITAN HISTORIC ZONING SUBMITTAL DRAWINGS
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION



VAN POND ARCHITECT
P.C.
2929 Sidco Drive
Suite 105
Nashville, Tennessee 37204
615.499.4387
vanpondarchitect.com

PROPOSED UPPER FLOOR DEMOLITION PLAN

ISSUE DATE:
1 JUNE 2020

D2