

JOHN COOPER
MAYOR



METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY

Metropolitan Historic Zoning Commission
Sunnyside in Sevier Park
3000 Granny White Pike
Nashville, Tennessee 37204
Telephone: (615) 862-7970

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

1112 Boscobel Street
September 16, 2020

Application: New Construction—Addition and Detached Accessory Dwelling Unit; Setback Determination; Partial Demolition.

District: Lockeland Springs-East End Neighborhood Conservation Zoning Overlay

Council District: 06

Base Zoning: R6

Map and Parcel Number: 08313018100

Applicant: Mitch Hodge

Project Lead: Melissa Baldock melissa.baldock@nashville.gov

Description of Project: Applicant proposes to construct an addition and a detached accessory dwelling unit (DADU). The application also involves removing non-contributing front and rear portions. The proposed rear addition is no wider than the historic house, but will be approximately three feet (3') from the right side/west property line. Because it does not meet the five foot (5') base zoning setback, it requires a setback determination.

Recommendation Summary: Staff recommends approval with the condition that staff approve the roof shingle color and texture, all windows and doors, the rear porch floor, the driveway, and the HVAC location prior to purchase and installation. With this condition, staff finds that the proposed addition, DADU, and partial demolition meet Sections II.B. and III.B. of the design guidelines and 17.16.030. G., the DADU Ordinance.

Attachments


A: Site Plan

B: Elevations

Vicinity Map:



Aerial Map:



Applicable Design Guidelines:

II.B. New Construction

1. Height

New buildings must be constructed to the same number of stories and to a height which is compatible with the height of adjacent buildings.

The height of the foundation wall, porch roof, and main roofs should all be compatible with those of surrounding historic buildings.

Infill construction on the 1400 -1600 blocks of Boscobel Street may be up to two-stories.

For those lots located within the Five Points Subdistrict of the Five Points Redevelopment District new buildings shall not exceed 2 stories and 30' in height. A third story and 15' may be added provided that is for residential use only and is compatible with existing adjacent historic structures. The third story must be stepped back at least 10' from façade planes facing a residential subdistrict, an existing house (regardless of use), and public streets. All front and side building walls shall be a minimum of 20' in height. For multi-story buildings, the minimum first floor height shall be 14' from finished floor to finished floor. Exception: buildings with first floor residential use, minimum first floor height shall be 12'.

For those lots located within the Corner Commercial Subdistrict of the Five Points Redevelopment District new buildings shall not exceed 2 stories and 30' in height. An additional story may be added to a building provided that, where it is adjacent to a detached house or a residential subdistrict, it is set back a minimum of 25' from the building wall or 50' from the property line. Three story building height shall not exceed 45'. All front and side buildings walls shall be a minimum of 16' in height and at the build-to line. For multi-story buildings, the minimum first floor height shall be 14' from finished floor to finished floor.

For those lots located within the Residential Subdistrict of the Five Points Redevelopment District shall not exceed 3 stories .

2. Scale

The size of a new building and its mass in relation to open spaces; and its windows, doors, openings, and porches should be visually compatible with surrounding historic buildings.

Foundation lines should be visually distinct from the predominant exterior wall material. This is typically accomplished with a change in material.

3. Setback and Rhythm of Spacing

4. Since construction in an historic district has usually taken place continuously from the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, a variety of building types and styles result which demonstrate the changes in building tastes and technology over the years. New buildings should continue this tradition while complementing and being compatible with other buildings in the area.

In Lockeland Springs-East End, historic buildings were constructed between 1880 and 1950. New buildings should be compatible with surrounding houses from this period.

5. Reconstruction may be appropriate when it reproduces facades of a building which no longer exists and which was located in the historic district if: (1) the building would have contributed to the

historical and architectural character of the area; (2) if it will be compatible in terms of style, height, scale, massing, and materials with the buildings immediately surrounding the lot on which the reproduction will be built; and (3) if it is accurately based on pictorial documentation.

6. Because new buildings usually relate to an established pattern and rhythm of existing buildings, both on the same and opposite sides of a street, the dominance of that pattern and rhythm must be respected and not disrupted.
7. New construction should be consistent with existing buildings along a street in terms of height, scale, setback, and rhythm; relationship of materials, texture, details, and color; roof shape; orientation; and proportion and rhythm of openings.

The setback from front and side yard property lines established by adjacent historic buildings must be maintained. When a definite rhythm along a street is established by uniform lot and building width, infill new buildings should maintain that rhythm.

The Commission has the ability to reduce building setbacks and extend height limitations of the required underlying base zoning for new construction, additions and accessory structures (ordinance no. 17.40.410).

Appropriate setback reductions will be determined based on:

- The existing setback of the contributing primary buildings and accessory structures found in the immediate vicinity;*
- Setbacks of like structures historically found on the site as determined by historic maps, site plans or photographs;*
- Shape of lot;*
- Alley access or lack thereof;*
- Proximity of adjoining structures; and*
- Property lines.*

Appropriate height limitations will be based on:

- Heights of historic buildings in the immediate vicinity*
- Existing or planned slope and grade*

Infill construction on the 1400 - 1600 blocks of Boscobel Street may have widths up to 40'.

4. Relationship of Materials, Textures, Details, and Material Colors

The relationship and use of materials, textures, details, and material color of a new building's public facades shall be visually compatible with and similar to those of adjacent buildings, or shall not contrast conspicuously.

T-1-11- type building panels, "permastone", E.F.I.S. and other artificial siding materials are generally not appropriate. However, pre-cast stone and cement fiberboard siding are approvable cladding materials for new construction; but pre-cast stone should be of a compatible color and texture to existing historic stone clad structures in the district; and cement fiberboard siding, when used for lapped siding, should be smooth and not stamped or embossed and have a maximum of a 5" reveal. The reveal for lap siding should not exceed 5". Larger reveals may be possible but should not exceed 8" and shall have mitered corners.

Shingle siding should exhibit a straight-line course pattern and exhibit a maximum exposure of seven inches (7").

Four inch (4") nominal corner boards are required at the face of each exposed corner.

Stud wall lumber and embossed wood grain are prohibited.

Belt courses or a change in materials from one story to another are often encouraged for large two-story buildings to break up the massing.

When different materials are used, it is most appropriate to have the change happen at floor lines. Clapboard sided chimneys are generally not appropriate. Masonry or stucco is appropriate. Texture and tooling of mortar on new construction should be similar to historic examples. Asphalt shingle is an appropriate roof material for most buildings. Generally, roofing should not have strong simulated shadows in the granule colors which results in a rough, pitted appearance; faux shadow lines; strongly variegated colors; colors that are too light (e.g.: tan, white, light green); wavy or deep color/texture used to simulate split shake shingles or slate; excessive flared form in the shingle tabs; uneven or sculpted bottom edges that emphasize tab width or edges, unless matching the original roof. Primary entrances should be 1/2 to full-light doors. Faux leaded glass is inappropriate. Generally front doors should be 1/2 to full-light. Faux leaded glass is inappropriate.

5. Roof Shape

The roofs of new buildings shall be visually compatible, by not contrasting greatly, with the roof shape and orientation of surrounding buildings.

Roof pitches should be similar to the pitches found in the district. Historic roofs are generally between 6/12 and 12/12.

Roof pitches for porch roofs are typically less steep, approximately in the 3-4/12 range.

Generally, two-story residential buildings have hipped roofs.

Generally, dormers should be located on the roof. Wall dormers are not typical in the historic context and accentuate height so they should be used minimally and generally only on secondary facades. When they are appropriate they should be no wider than the typical window openings and should not project beyond the main wall.

Infill construction on the 1400 -1600 blocks of Boscobel Street may have flat roofs or roofs with a minimal slope.

6. Orientation

The site orientation of new buildings shall be consistent with that of adjacent buildings and shall be visually compatible. Directional expression shall be compatible with surrounding buildings, whether that expression is vertical, horizontal, or non-directional.

Porches

New buildings should incorporate at least one front street-related porch that is accessible from the front street.

Side porches or porte cocheres may also be appropriate as a secondary entrance, but the primary entrance should address the front.

Front porches generally should be a minimum of 6' deep, have porch racks that are 1'-3' tall and have posts that include bases and capitals.

Parking areas and Driveways

Generally, curb cuts should not be added.

Where a new driveway is appropriate it should be two concrete strips with a central grassy median.

Shared driveways should be a single lane, not just two driveways next to each other. Sometimes this may be accomplished with a single lane curb cut that widens to a double lane deeper into the lot.

Duplexes

Infill duplexes shall have one or two doors facing the street, as seen on historic duplexes. In the case of corner lots, an entrance facing the side street is possible as long as it is designed to look like a secondary entrance.

In the case of duplexes, vehicular access for both units should be from the alley, where an alley exists. A new shared curb cut may be added, if no alley and no driveway exists, but the driveway should be no more than 12' wide from the street to the rear of the home. Driveways should use concrete strips where they are typical of the historic context. Front yard parking or driveways which end at the front of the house are not consistent with the character of the historic neighborhoods.

7. Proportion and Rhythm of Openings

The relationship of width to height of windows and doors, and the rhythm of solids (walls) to voids (door and window openings) in a new building shall be compatible, by not contrasting greatly, with surrounding historic buildings.

Window openings on the primary street-related or front façade of new construction should be representative of the window patterns of similarly massed historic structures within the district. In most cases, every 8-13 horizontal feet of flat wall surface should have an opening (window or door) of at least 4 square feet. More leniencies can be given to minimally visible side or rear walls.

Double-hung windows should exhibit a height to width ratio of at least 2:1.

Windows on upper floors should not be taller than windows on the main floor since historically first floors have higher ceilings than upper floors and so windows were typically taller on the first floor.

Single-light sashes are appropriate for new construction. If using multi-light sashes, muntins should be fully simulated and bonded to the glass, and exhibit an interior bar, exterior bar, as well as a spacer between glass panes.

Four inch (nominal) casings are required around doors, windows and vents on non-masonry buildings.

Trim should be thick enough to extend beyond the clapboard. Double or triple windows should have a 4" to 6" mullion in between.

Brick molding is required around doors, windows and vents within masonry walls but is not appropriate on non-masonry buildings.

8. Outbuildings

(Although the MHZC does not review use itself there are additional ordinance requirements for buildings that are or have a Detached Accessory Dwelling Unit (DADU) required by ordinance 17.16.030 that are reviewed by the MHZC. This information is provided for informational purposes only and does not replace ordinance 17.16.030.)

- a. Garages and storage buildings should reflect the character of the existing house and surrounding buildings and should be compatible in terms of height, scale, roof shape, materials, texture, and details.

Outbuildings: Height & Scale

- *On lots less than 10,000 square feet, the footprint of a DADU or outbuilding shall not exceed seven hundred fifty square feet or fifty percent of the first floor area of the principal structure, whichever is less.*
- *On lots 10,000 square feet or greater, the footprint of a DADU or outbuilding shall not exceed one thousand square feet.*
- *The DADU or outbuilding shall maintain a proportional mass, size, and height to ensure it is not taller or wider than the principal structure on the lot. The DADU or outbuilding height shall not exceed the height of the principal structure, with a maximum eave height of 10' for one-story DADU's or outbuildings and 17' for two-story DADUs or outbuildings. The roof ridge height of the DADU or outbuilding must be less than the principal building and shall not exceed 25' feet in height.*

Outbuildings: Character, Materials and Details

- *Historically, outbuildings were either very utilitarian in character, or (particularly with more extravagant houses) they repeated the roof forms and architectural details of the houses to which they related. Generally, either approach is appropriate for new outbuildings. DADUs or out buildings located on corner lots should have similar architectural characteristics, including roof form and pitch, to the existing*

principal structure.

· DADUs or outbuildings with a second story shall enclose the stairs interior to the structure and properly fire rate them per the applicable life safety standards found in the code editions adopted by the Metropolitan Government of Nashville.

Outbuildings: Roof

· Roof slopes on simple, utilitarian buildings do not have to match the roof slopes of the main structure, but generally should maintain at least a 4/12 pitch.
· The DADU or outbuilding may have dormers that relate to the style and proportion of windows on the DADU and shall be subordinate to the roof slope by covering no more than fifty percent of the roof plane and should sit back from the exterior wall by 2'.

Outbuildings: Windows and Doors

· Publicly visible windows should be appropriate to the style of the house.
· Double-hung windows are generally twice as tall as they are wide and of the single-light sash variety.
· Publicly visible pedestrian doors must either be appropriate for the style of house to which the outbuilding relates or be flat with no panels.
· Metal overhead doors are acceptable on garages when they are simple and devoid of overly decorative elements typical on high-style wooden doors. Decorative raised panels on publicly visible garage doors are generally not appropriate.
· For street-facing facades, garages with more than one-bay should have multiple single doors rather than one large door to accommodate more than one bay.

Outbuildings: Siding and Trim

· Brick, weatherboard, and board-and-batten are typical siding materials.
· Exterior siding may match the existing contributing building's original siding; otherwise, siding should be wood or smooth cement-fiberboard lap siding with a maximum exposure of five inches (5"), wood or smooth cement-fiberboard board-and-batten or masonry.
· Four inch (4" nominal) corner-boards are required at the face of each exposed corner.
· Stud wall lumber and embossed wood grain are prohibited.
· Four inch (4" nominal) casings are required around doors, windows, and vents within clapboard walls. Trim should be thick enough to extend beyond the clapboard. Double or triple windows should have a 4" to 6" mullion in between.
Brick molding is required around doors, windows, and vents within masonry walls but is not appropriate on non-masonry clad buildings.

b. Garages, if visible from the street, should be situated on the lot as historically traditional for the neighborhood.

Generally new garages should be placed close to the alley, at the rear of the lot, or in the original location of an historic accessory structure.

Lots without rear alleys may have garages located closer to the primary structure. The appropriate location is one that matches the neighborhood or can be documented by historic maps.

Generally, attached garages are not appropriate; however, instances where they may be are:

- Where they are a typical feature of the neighborhood; or*
- When the location of the attached garage is in the general location of an historic accessory building, the new garage is located in the basement level, and the vehicular access is on the rear elevation.*

Setbacks & Site Requirements.

· To reflect the character of historic outbuildings, new outbuildings for duplexes should not exceed the requirements for outbuildings for the entire lot and should not be doubled. The most appropriate configurations would be two 1-bay buildings with or without parking pads for additional spaces or one 2-bay building.
· A DADU or outbuilding may only be located behind the principal structure in the established rear yard. The DADU or outbuilding is to be subordinate to the principal structure and therefore should be placed to the rear of the lot.

- *There should be a minimum separation of 20' between the principal structure and the DADU or outbuilding.*
- *At least one side setback for a DADU or outbuilding on an interior lot, should generally be similar to the principle dwelling but no closer than 3' from each property line. The rear setback may be up to 3' from the rear property line. For corner lots, the DADU or outbuilding should match the context of homes on the street. If there is no context, the street setback should be a minimum of 10'.*

Driveway Access.

- *On lots with no alley access, the lot shall have no more than one curb-cut from any public street for driveway access to the principal structure as well as the detached accessory dwelling or outbuilding.*
- *On lots with alley access, any additional access shall be from the alley and no new curb cuts shall be provided from public streets.*
- *Parking accessed from any public street shall be limited to one driveway for the lot with a maximum width of twelve feet.*

Additional Requirements for DADUs from Ordinance 17.16.030. See requirements for outbuildings for additional requirements.

- *The lot area on which a DADU is placed shall comply with Table 17.12.020A.*
- *The DADU may not exceed the maximums outlined previously for outbuildings.*
- *No additional accessory structure shall exceed two hundred square feet when there is a DADU on the lot.*

Density.

- *A DADU is not allowed if the maximum number of dwelling units permitted for the lot has been met.*

Ownership.

- *a. No more than one DADU shall be permitted on a single lot in conjunction with the principal structure.*
- *The DADU cannot be divided from the property ownership of the principal dwelling.*
- *The DADU shall be owned by the same person as the principal structure and one of the two dwellings shall be owner-occupied.*
- *Prior to the issuance of a permit, an instrument shall be prepared and recorded with the register's office covenanting that the DADU is being established accessory to a principal structure and may only be used under the conditions listed here.*

Bulk and Massing.

- *The living space of a DADU shall not exceed seven hundred square feet.*

- c. *The location and design of outbuildings should not be visually disruptive to the character of the surrounding buildings.*

9. Appurtenances

Appurtenances related to new buildings, including driveways, sidewalks, lighting, fences, and walls, shall be visually compatible with the environment of the existing buildings and sites to which they relate.

Utilities

Utility connections such as gas meters, electric meters, phone, cable, and HVAC condenser units should be located so as to minimize their visibility from the street.

Generally, utility connections should be placed no closer to the street than the mid point of the structure.

Power lines should be placed underground if they are carried from the street and not from the rear or an alley.

Public Spaces

Landscaping, sidewalks, signage, lighting, street furniture and other work undertaken in public spaces by any individual, group or agency shall be presented to the MHZC for review of compatibility with the character of the district.

Generally, mailboxes should be attached to the front wall of the house or a porch post. In most cases, street-side mailboxes are inappropriate.

10. ADDITIONS

- a. Generally, an addition should be situated at the rear of a building in such a way that it will not disturb either front or side facades.

Placement

Additions should be located at the rear of an existing structure.

Connections to additions should, as much as possible, use existing window and door openings rather than remove significant amounts of rear wall material.

Generally, one-story rear additions should inset one foot, for each story, from the side wall.

Additions should be physically distinguished from the historic building and generally fit within the shadow line of the existing building.

Additions that tie-into the existing roof must be at least 6" below the existing ridge line.

In order to assure that an addition has achieved proper scale, the addition should:

- *No matter its use, an addition should not be larger than the existing house, not including non-historic additions, in order to achieve compatibility in scale. This will allow for the retention of small and medium size homes in the neighborhood. The diversity of housing type and size is a character defining feature of the historic districts.*
- *Additions which are essentially a house-behind-a-house with a long narrow connector are not appropriate, as the form does not exist historically. Short or minimal connections that do not require the removal of the entire back wall of a historic building are preferred.*
- *Additions should generally be shorter and thinner than the existing building. Exceptions may be made when unusual constraints make these parameters unreasonable, such as:*
 - *An extreme grade change*
 - *Atypical lot parcel shape or size*

In these cases, an addition may rise above or extend wider than the existing building; however, generally the addition should not be taller and extend wider.

When an addition needs to be taller:

Whenever possible, additions should not be taller than the historic building; however, when a taller addition is the only option, additions to single story structures may rise as high as 4' above the shadow line of the existing building at a distance of 40' from the front edge of the existing building.

In this instance, the side walls and roof of the addition must set in as is typical for all additions.

The portion of the roof that can be seen should have a hipped, side gable or clipped gable roof to help decrease the visual mass of the addition.

When an addition needs to be wider:

Rear additions that are wider than an existing historic building may be appropriate when the building is narrower than 30' or shifted to one side of the lot. In these instances, a structural alcove or channel must separate the existing building from the new addition. The structural alcove should sit in a minimum of 1' and be at least twice as long as it is deep.

In addition, a rear addition that is wider should not wrap the rear corner.

Ridge raises

Ridge raises are most appropriate for one-story, side-gable buildings, (without clipped gables) and that require more finished height in the attic. The purpose of a ridge raise is to allow for conditioned space in the attic and to discourage large rear or side additions. The raised portion must sit in a minimum of 2' from each side wall and can be raised no more than 2' of total vertical height

within the same plane as the front roof slope.

Sunrooms

Metal framed sunrooms, as a modern interpretation of early green houses, are appropriate if they are mostly glass or use appropriate cladding material for the district, are located at the rear in a minimally visible location, are minimally attached to the existing structure, and follow all other design guidelines for additions.

Foundation

Foundation walls should set in from the existing foundation at the back edge of the existing structure by one foot for each story or half story. Exception: When an addition is a small one-room deep (12' deep or less) addition that spans the width of the structure, and the existing structure is masonry with the addition to be wood (or appropriate substitute siding). The change in material from masonry to wood allows for a minimum of a four inch (4") inset.

Foundation height should match or be lower than the existing structure.

Foundation lines should be visually distinct from the predominant exterior wall material. This is generally accomplished with a change in materials.

Roof

The height of the addition's roof and eaves must be less than or equal to the existing structure.

Visually evident roof slopes should match the roof slopes of the existing structure, and roof planes should set in accordingly for rear additions.

Skylights should not be located on the front-facing slope of the roof. Skylights should be flat (no bubble lenses) with a low profile (no more than six inches tall) and only be installed behind the midpoint of the building).

Dormer additions are appropriate for some historic buildings as they are a traditional way of adding ventilation and light to upper stories.

The addition of a dormer that would require the removal of historic features such as an existing dormer, chimneys, cupolas or decorative feature is not appropriate.

Rear dormers should be inset from the side walls of the building by a minimum of two feet. The top of a rear dormer may attach just below the ridge of the main roof or lower.

Side dormers should be compatible with the scale and design of the building. Generally, this can be accomplished with the following:

- New dormers should be similar in design and scale to an existing dormer on the building.*
- New dormers should be similar in design and scale to an existing dormer on another historic building that is similar in style and massing.*
- The number of dormers and their location and size should be appropriate to the style and design of the building. Sometimes dormer locations relate to the openings below. The symmetry or lack of symmetry within a building design should be used as a guide when placing dormers.*
- Dormers should not be added to secondary roof planes.*
- Eave depth on a dormer should not exceed the eave depth on the main roof.*
- The roof form of the dormer should match the roof form of the building or be appropriate for the style.*
- The roof pitch of the dormer should generally match the roof pitch of the building.*
- The ridge of a side dormer should be at least 2' below the ridge of the existing building; the cheeks should be inset at least 2' from the wall below or adjacent valley; and the front wall of the gable should setback a minimum of 2' from the wall below. (These minimum insets will likely be greater than 2' when following the guidelines for appropriate scale.)*
- Dormers should generally be fully glazed and aprons below the window should be minimal.*
- The exterior material cladding of side dormers should match the primary or secondary material of the main building.*

Side Additions

When a lot width exceeds 60' or the standard lot width on the block, it may be appropriate to add a side

addition to a historic structure. The addition should set back from the face of the historic structure (at or beyond the midpoint of the building) and should be subservient in height, width and massing to the historic structure.

Side additions should be narrower than half of the historic building width and exhibit a height of at least 2' shorter than the historic building.

To deemphasize a side addition, the roofing form should generally be a hip or side-gable roof form.

Commercial buildings that desire a covered open-air side additions generally should not enclose the area with plastic sides. Such applications may be appropriate if: the addition is located on the ground level off a secondary facade, is not located on a street facing side of a building, has a permanent glass wall on the portion of the addition which faces the street, and the front sits back a minimum of three (3') from the front or side wall, depending on placement of the addition.

b. The creation of an addition through enclosure of a front porch is not appropriate.

Side porch additions may be appropriate for corner building lots or lots more than 60' wide.

c. Contemporary designs for additions to existing properties are not discouraged when such additions do not destroy significant historical, architectural, or cultural material; and when such design is compatible, by not contrasting greatly, with the size, scale, color, material, and character of the property, neighborhood, or environment.

d. A new addition should be constructed in such a manner that if the addition were to be removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the original structure would be unimpaired.

Connections should, as much as possible, use existing window and door openings rather than remove significant amounts of rear wall material.

e. Additions should follow the guidelines for new construction.

III.B. Demolition

1. Demolition is not appropriate

a. if a building, or major portion of a building, is of such architectural or historical interest and value that its removal would be detrimental to the public interest; or

b. if a building, or major portion of a building, is of such old or unusual or uncommon design and materials that it could not be reproduced or be reproduced without great difficulty and expense.

2. Demolition is appropriate

a. if a building, or major portion of a building, has irretrievably lost its architectural and historical integrity and significance and its removal will result in a more historically appropriate visual effect on the district;

b. if a building, or major portion of a building, does not contribute to the historical and architectural character and significance of the district and its removal will result in a more historically appropriate visual effect on the district; or

c. if the denial of the demolition will result in an economic hardship on the applicant as determined by the MHZC in accordance with section 17.40.420 (Historic Zoning Regulations), Metropolitan Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance.

Background: 1112 Boscobel is a c. 1900 Folk Victorian house (Figures 1 & 2). The house appears on the 1908 Hopkins Map but does not appear on the earlier 1889 Hopkins Map. The house has been altered in the twentieth century, most notably with the enclosure of the front porch.



Figure 1. 1112 Boscobel




Figure 2. c. 1968 Property Assessor photo of 1112 Boscobel. The porch had been enclosed by this time.

Analysis and Findings: Applicant proposes to construct an addition and a detached accessory dwelling unit (DADU). The application also involves removing non-contributing front and rear portions. The proposed rear addition is no wider than the historic house, but will be approximately three feet (3') from the right side/west property line. Because it does not meet the five foot (5') base zoning setback, it requires a setback determination.

Demolition: The applicant proposes to remove the non-historic walls that enclose the front porch, alter window openings, and remove a non-historic rear addition, all of which is considered partial demolition.

The front porch was enclosed sometime between 1957 and 1968. In the 1957 Sanborn map (Figure 4), the porch is shown as open, but in the c. 1968 photo (Figure 2), the porch is shown as closed. Staff finds that the removal of the front porch enclosure meets the design guidelines because the enclosure is not historic and removing it will restore an historic configuration.



Figures 2 & 3. Figure 2 (left) is the 1914 Sanborn Map and Figure 3 (right) is the 1957 Sanborn map. Both show the front porch as entirely open.

The application also involves removing a rear appendage. Although the rear appendage may be the same area that appears on the Sanborn maps as a partially enclosed area, staff finds that this part of the house does not contribute to the house's historic integrity. It has a lower shed roof form and is located at the rear of the house. In addition, structurally, it has not aged well over the last century. Staff finds that removing this rear appendage will not affect the historic or architectural integrity of the historic house and finds that its removal meets the design guidelines.



Figure 4. The area at the rear to be removed is marked on this aerial photo.




Figure 5. The area at the rear to be removed is marked on this photo.



Figure 6 (Left) shows the existing window configuration, and Figure 7 (Right) shows the new window configuration. The existing two separate windows will become a paired window opening.

On the right side of the house, There are currently two separate window openings (Figure 6). The applicant proposes to make these openings a paired window opening (Figure 7). Staff finds this to meet the design guidelines because the new window opening is similar to that of the existing window openings, and it is located in the back half of the house.

Staff finds that the proposed removal of the front porch walls, the rear appendage, and the window openings on the right side to meet Section III.B.2 for appropriate demolition.

Height & Scale: The existing house is one story. The proposed addition is one-and-a-half stories. The addition's foundation, eave, and ridge height will match those of the historic house. The addition will tie into the historic house's roof at a point approximately six inches (6") below the ridge. The addition will be inset two feet (2') from both back corners of the house for a depth of approximately ten feet (10'). After the inset, the addition will step back out to match the line of the historic house. The addition will add approximately one thousand square feet (1,000 sq. ft.) of footprint to the house, which has a footprint of approximately one thousand, one hundred, and fifty square feet (1,150 sq. ft). Overall staff finds that the addition's height and scale is compatible with the historic house.

Staff finds that the proposed addition's height and scale meets section II.B.1., II.B.2., and II.B.10. of the design guidelines.

Location & Removability: The addition is located entirely behind the historic house, per the design guidelines. The addition's inset, separate roof form, and lower tie in at the rear mean that the addition could be removed in the future without interfering with the historic and architectural integrity of the historic house.

Staff finds that the addition' location and removability to meet Sections II.B.2.a and II.B.2.d. of the design guidelines.

Design: The location of the addition at the rear of the existing building is in accordance with the design guidelines. The addition’s inset, separate roof form, and initial lower height help to distinguish it from the historic house and read as an addition to the house. At the same time, its scale, materials, roof form, and fenestration pattern are all compatible with the historic character of the existing house. The addition is designed so that if the addition were to be removed in the future, the historic character of the house would still be intact.

Staff finds that the project’s design meets Sections II.B.2.a and II.B.2.e. of the design guidelines.

Setback & Rhythm of Spacing: The addition does require a setback determination on the right/west property line. The existing house does not meet the required five foot (5’) side setback on the right/west side. The addition will line up with the historic house and will not be any wider than the historic house. However, because of the placement of the house on the lot, the addition will be approximately three feet, six inches (3’6”) from the right/west side property line at its closest point. Staff finds that this setback determination meets the design guidelines because the addition will be no wider than the historic house and the addition is lining up with the historic house’s side wall, which also does not meet the side setbacks.

On the left/east side, the addition will be approximately eighteen feet from the side property line. It will be over seventy feet (70’) from the rear property line.

Staff finds that the proposed setbacks and setback determination to meet Section II.B.3. and II.B.10. of the design guidelines.

Materials:

	Proposed	Color/Texture/Make/Manufacturer	Approved Previously or Typical of Neighborhood	Requires Additional Review
Foundation	Poured concrete	Smooth	Yes	No
Cladding	Lap siding to match the existing house	Smooth	Yes	No
Roofing	Architectural Shingles	Unknown	Yes	Yes
Trim	Wood or Cement Fiberboard	Smooth faced	Yes	No
Front Porch Posts	Wood	Smooth	Yes	No

Rear Porch floor/steps	Not indicated	Needs final approval	Unknown	Yes
Rear Porch Posts	Wood	Smooth	Yes	No
Windows	Not indicated	Needs final approval	Unknown	Yes
Principle Entrance	¾ glass	Needs final approval	Unknown	Yes
Side/rear doors	Not indicated	Needs final approval	Unknown	Yes
Driveway	Not indicated	Needs final approval	Unknown	Yes

Staff recommends approval of the roof shingle color and texture, all windows and doors, the rear porch floor, and driveway material prior to purchase and installation.

With staff’s approval of all final material choices, staff finds that the known materials meet Sections II.B.4. and II.B.10. of the design guidelines.

Roof form: The roof of the addition ties into the roof of the historic house at a point approximately six inches (6”) below its ridge with an 11/12 gabled connector. The connector piece has a hipped roof dormer on the right side with a 4/12 pitch. The rear section of the addition is a 12/12 gable. The rear slope will have a hipped roof dormer. The rear façade also has a hipped roof porch.

Staff finds that the proposed roof forms are compatible with the historic house’s roof form and meets Sections II.B.5. and II.B.10. of the design guidelines.

Orientation: The re-opening of the front porch will restore the historic orientation of the house towards Boscobel Street with a large wrap-around porch. The addition will otherwise not affect the historic house’s orientation.

Staff finds that the addition’s orientation to meet Section II.B.6. and II.B.10. of the design guidelines.

Proportion and Rhythm of Openings: The change to the historic house’s window openings on the right side was discussed under “Partial Demolition.” The windows on the proposed addition are all generally twice as tall as they are wide, thereby meeting the historic proportions of openings. There are no large expanses of wall space without a window or door opening.

Staff finds the project’s proportion and rhythm of openings to meet Sections II.B.7. and II.B.10. of the design guidelines.

Appurtenances & Utilities: No changes to the site’s appurtenances were indicated on the drawings. The location of the HVAC and other utilities was not noted. Staff recommends that the HVAC be located on the rear façade, or on a side façade beyond the midpoint of the house.

Outbuildings: The applicant proposes to construct a one and one-half story outbuilding at the rear of the property. The outbuilding will include a dwelling unit.

Site Planning & Setbacks:

	MINIMUM	PROPOSED
Building located towards rear of lot	n/a	Yes
Space between principal building and DADU/Garage	20’	44’6”
Rear setback	5’	10’
L side setback**	5’	10’
R side setback**	20’	5’
How is the building accessed?	From the alley or existing curb cut	Alley

The DADU’s site planning and setbacks meet the design guidelines and the DADU ordinance.

Massing Planning:

	Existing conditions (height of historic portion of the home to be measured from finished floor)	Potential maximums (heights to be measured from grade)	Proposed (should be the same or less than the lesser number to the left)
Ridge Height	24’	25’	23’-6”
Eave Height	10’6”	10’	10’

	Lot is less than 10,000 square feet	Proposed footprint
Maximum Square Footage	750 sq. ft.	748 sq. ft.

Staff finds that the massing of the outbuilding meets both the design guidelines and the DADU ordinance.

General requirements for outbuildings and DADUs:

	YES	NO
If there are stairs, are they enclosed?	Yes	
If a corner lot, are the design and materials similar to the principle building?	N/A	
If dormers are used, do they cover less than 50% of the roof plane where they are located as measured from side-to-side?	Yes	
If dormers are used, do they sit back from the wall below by at least 2'?	Yes	
Is the roof pitch at least 4/12?	Yes	
If the building is two-bay and the vehicular doors face the street, are there two different doors rather than one large door?	N/A	
Is the building located towards the rear of the lot?	Yes	

Roof Shape:

Proposed Element	Proposed Form	Typical of district?
Primary form	Side gable	Yes
Primary roof slope	12/12	Yes
Dormer form	Shed	Yes
Dormer roof slope	4/12	Yes

Design Standards: The outbuilding is one and one-half stories and no taller than the historic house. The outbuilding has a simple design that is appropriate for an accessory structure. Staff finds that the proposed design meets Section II.B.8 of the design guidelines.

Materials:

	Proposed	Color/Texture	Approved Previously or Typical of Neighborhood	Requires Additional Review?
Foundation	Concrete Block	Split face	Yes	No
Cladding	Cement Fiberboard lap	Smooth, 5" reveal or less	Yes	No

	siding			
Secondary Cladding	Stucco/Board and batten	Typical	Yes	No
Trim	Wood or Fiber cement trim	Smooth	Yes	No
Roofing	Asphalt Shingles	Unknown	Yes	Yes
Windows	Not indicated	Unknown	TBD	Yes
Pedestrian Door	Not indicated	Unknown	TBD	Yes
Vehicular Doors	Insulated metal door	Unknown	TBD	Yes

With final approval of the roof shingle color, windows and doors, and garage doors, staff finds that the known materials meet Section II.B.8 of the design guidelines.




Roof Form:

Proposed Element	Proposed DADU	Typical of district?
Primary form	Cross Gable	Yes
Primary roof slope	12/12	Yes
Dormer Form	Gable	Yes
Dormer slope	12/12	Yes

Staff finds that the roof form is compatible with the historic house’s roof form and is typical of the district.

Staff finds that the propose DADU’s height, scale, placement, setbacks, materials, roof form, and overall design meet Section II.B.8 of the design guidelines and 17.16.030. G., the DADU Ordinance.

Recommendation: Staff recommends approval with the condition that staff approve the roof shingle color and texture, all windows and doors, the rear porch floor, the driveway, and the HVAC location prior to purchase and installation. With this condition, staff finds that the proposed addition, DADU, and partial demolition meet Sections II.B. and III.B. of the design guidelines and 17.16.030. G., the DADU Ordinance.



S. MITCHELL
HODGE
ARCHITECTURE

1900 Cedar Lane
Nashville, TN 37212
(615)260-0919
mitchhodge@hotmail.com

RENOVATIONS AND ADDITIONS
1112 BOSCOBEL STREET
NASHVILLE, TN 37206

Copyright © 2020 by
S. Mitchell Hodge, AIA. The
information contained in this
Document is intended for
use on this Project only.
Any use beyond this Project
is strictly prohibited and any
consequences of use
beyond this Project are not
the responsibility of the
Architect.

IEWS, SITE PLAN


0

SITE PLAN


PROJECT 2031
DATE 08.31.20

3
0


1" = 20'-0"




3
1 **3-ROOF**
1/8" = 1'-0"



1
1 **2-SECOND FLOOR**
1/8" = 1'-0"



2
1 **1-FIRST FLOOR**
1/8" = 1'-0"



S. MITCHELL
HODGE
ARCHITECTURE

1900 Cedar Lane
Nashville, TN 37212
(615)260-0919
mitchhodge@hotmail.com


RENOVATIONS AND ADDITIONS
1112 BOSCOBEL STREET
NASHVILLE, TN 37206

Copyright © 2020 by
S. Mitchell Hodge, AIA. The
information contained in this
Document is intended for
use on this Project only.
Any use beyond this Project
is strictly prohibited and any
consequences of use
beyond this Project are not
the responsibility of the
Architect.

FLOOR PLANS, ROOF
PLAN

1


PROJECT 2031
DATE 08.31.20



3 DADU - ROOF
2 1/8" = 1'-0"



2 DADU - SECOND FLOOR
2 1/8" = 1'-0"



1 DADU - FIRST FLOOR
2 1/8" = 1'-0"

S. MITCHELL HODGE
 ARCHITECTURE

1900 Cedar Lane
 Nashville, TN 37212
 (615)260-0919
 mitchhodge@hotmail.com


RENOVATIONS AND ADDITIONS
1112 BOSCOBEL STREET
 NASHVILLE, TN 37206

Copyright © 2020 by S. Mitchell Hodge, AIA. The information contained in this Document is intended for use on this Project only. Any use beyond this Project is strictly prohibited and any consequences of use beyond this Project are not the responsibility of the Architect.

DADU PLANS

2

PROJECT 2031
 DATE 08.31.20



EXTERIOR MATERIALS TO MATCH EXISTING HOUSE


2 SIDE A
3
1/8" = 1'-0"



3 BACK
3
1/8" = 1'-0"



1 FRONT
3
1/8" = 1'-0"



S. MITCHELL
HODGE
ARCHITECTURE

1900 Cedar Lane
Nashville, TN 37212
(615)260-0919
mitchhodge@hotmail.com


RENOVATIONS AND ADDITIONS
1112 BOSCOBEL STREET
NASHVILLE, TN 37206

Copyright © 2020 by
S. Mitchell Hodge, AIA. The
information contained in this
Document is intended for
use on this Project only.
Any use beyond this Project
is strictly prohibited and any
consequences of use
beyond this Project are not
the responsibility of the
Architect.

ELEVATIONS

3

PROJECT 2031
DATE 08.31.20



3
4 **SIDE B**
1/8" = 1'-0"



2
4 **DADU- YARD**
1/8" = 1'-0"



1
4 **DADU - ALLEY**
1/8" = 1'-0"

S. MITCHELL
HODGE
ARCHITECTURE

1900 Cedar Lane
Nashville, TN 37212
(615)260-0919
mitchhodge@hotmail.com

RENOVATIONS AND ADDITIONS
1112 BOSCOBEL STREET
NASHVILLE, TN 37206

Copyright © 2020 by S. Mitchell Hodge, AIA. The information contained in this Document is intended for use on this Project only. Any use beyond this Project is strictly prohibited and any consequences of use beyond this Project are not the responsibility of the Architect.

ELEVATIONS

4

PROJECT 2031
DATE 08.31.20