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1.0 SITE DESCRIPTION & PURPOSE

Geographically, the Brentwood Town Center is defined by Old Hickory Boulevard on the North, I-65 on the East, Church Street on the South and properties fronting Franklin Rd. The Williamson and Davidson County line bisect the area. The Metro Brentwood Town Center UDO (yellow area in Figure 1.0) addresses the eight properties located within Davidson County and Brentwood’s C-4 Town Center District address the properties within the City of Brentwood (grey area in Figure 1.0). The purpose of this UDO is to provide a consistent development pattern within the Brentwood Town Center Area.

The UDO area is located in Subarea 10 and consists of properties fronting Wilson Pike, Fierson St. and Franklin Road on the southern side of Old Hickory Boulevard (Fig 1.0). The eight parcels included in the UDO comprise a total of 7.38 acres. The existing context includes a collection of various retail/office buildings, a post office and a gas station.
2.0 VISION
The vision for the area within the Urban Design Overlay is to create a compact economically viable and vibrant mixed-use development pattern that reinforces and respects the public realm. It further envisioned that the area will seamlessly develop with the Brentwood C-4 district and complement future development within the Brentwood Town Center. The UDO is guided by the following goals:

1. Create a development pattern that provides a cohesive experience between the Brentwood C-4 zoning district and the UDO located in Nashville.
2. Promote a viable mix of uses that promote living, working, and playing in the town center.
3. Establish a Pedestrian-Friendly Environment

(1) Goal: It is the goal of the UDO to provide a development pattern that provides a cohesive experience between the Brentwood C-4 zoning district and the UDO.

Objectives:
1. Adopt similar design guidelines to the C-4 zoning district.
2. Maintain communication between municipalities during development process.
3. Coordinate private infrastructure development between two governments

(2) Goal: It is the goal of the UDO to promote a viable mix of uses that promote living, working, and playing in the town center.

Objectives:
1. Rezone the property from CS to MUL in order to provide the opportunity for residential development.
2. Promote a mix of uses through parking incentives and density bonuses

(3) Goal: It is the goal of the UDO to establish a pedestrian-friendly environment.

Objectives:
1. Provide an active edge at the street by encouraging buildings to be built up to the street
2. Create a well designed streetscape
3. Provide safe crosswalks at potential conflict points between people and vehicles. Access to properties should be limited and shared where it is appropriate in order to reduce these potential conflicts.
3.0 CONCEPT PLAN.

The concept plan extends the town center development pattern established in Brentwood’s C-4 zoning district along each of the existing roadways into Nashville-Davison County. Specific plan elements include the following:

1. The Town Center utilizes the existing street network.
2. Building typology allows for a mix of uses where the ground floor is occupied by retail/office uses while the upper floors allow for the opportunity for residential uses.
3. All buildings address the streets.
4. Street tree plantings, sidewalks, buffer strips, decorative lighting, and street furnishings (i.e. benches, trash receptacles, bike racks) enhance the street and help delineate the public realm.
5. On-site parking is located on the side or behind the buildings. Parallel parking is encouraged along Wilson Pike and Friedson Street. It is also encouraged on a limited basis along Franklin Road during non-peak hours.
6. The building massing and locations emphasize the corners and entry points to the area.
4.0 TRANSPORTATION NETWORK PLAN.

The transportation network plan utilizes the existing street infrastructure and does not propose any changes with the exception of future parallel parking on Wilson Pike, Frierson St. and on a limited basis on Franklin Road during non-peak hours. All streets and parallel parking arrangements shall be coordinated between each respective municipality.

To establish a safe, convenient and attractive pedestrian oriented environment within the town center district, the developer of any property shall be responsible for upgrading any existing substandard streets and other improvements within the existing designated public right-of-way or the primary private access easement fronting the lot if such street or easement is not built to the design standards of the district. The construction standards for the district shall be incorporated in the subdivision regulations of the city and, where applicable, the developer shall be required to dedicate right-of-way abutting the lot to the centerline of the road.

The location and design of all driveways and accesses that allow vehicles to enter public streets from any lot developed in this zoning district shall comply with Public Works’ vehicle access control; however, in recognition of a more compact arrangement of mixed use development in the district, Public Works may grant exceptions to the technical standards related to minimum distances of driveways from intersections and property lines and minimum radius of driveway curves. The use of shared driveways and rear service lanes to access public streets shall be encouraged to the greatest extent feasible and practical. Service entrances and overhead doors shall not be permitted to face or access a public street directly.

Figure 4.0: Illustrative Concept Plan
5.0 BUILDING REGULATING PLAN.

Due to the existing characteristics, goals of the UDO, and the limited properties involved in the UDO, a single district has been established to guide the development. The characteristics of the district are intended to reinforce the goals. The regulations specify the following:

1. Massing Floor Area Ratio (FAR) and Location of Architecture
2. Access and Parking
3. Allowable Percentage of Impervious Area
4. Streetscape Design Standards
5. Signage Standards

The building standards will insure the appropriate design and implementation of the architecture within the community. The standards are the basis of the Urban Design Overlay, however if certain requirements have not been addressed to the satisfaction of Metro Planning then the requirements of the base zoning.

The following is the overall framework of the UDO:

**Base Zoning:** MUL

**Permitted Uses:** Per Land Use Table Found in Section 17.08.030 of the Metro Nashville Zoning Code

**Acreage:** 7.38 acres

Application of standards: The standards in the UDO shall apply to plans for the following projects:

a) Additions/Modifications: On a lot with one or more existing buildings, final construction plans are for additions or modifications that front a public right-of-way with a gross floor area that exceeds 25% of the gross floor area of the existing building being modified must comply with the UDO.

b) New Construction: On a vacant or cleared lot, or portion thereof, final construction plans are for new construction.

---

Figure 5.0: Regulating Plan
COMMERCIAL/ MIXED-USE DISTRICT

Built Regulations:
- Setbacks:
  - Front: Build-to-Line 3ft-12ft Behind Public Sidewalk/ 8-12ft on Franklin Road
  - Side: 0ft Min.
  - Rear: 10ft Min.
- Max. Height: Min. 2 Stories, 4 Stories Max., 56ft. excluding mechanical and elevator penthouse enclosures

Encroachment:
- Awning: 3ft., Subject to Metro Public Works Mandatory Referral Process
- Balcony: 3ft.

Parking Loading & Access
- Parking Requirements: Per Section 17.20.030, Reduced by 30% if any development comprises a mix of retail, office, and residential uses with each comprising at least 20 percent of the overall development square footage
- Location: Structured, Rear, Underground or Side

Pervious Surfaces
- Required Open Space: 10 percent minimum, may include pervious paving materials

Additional Standards:
1. Residential uses can not occupy the first floor
2. Minimum sill height is 18”, from highest point of the particular sill.
3. Sidewalks shall be constructed in the right-of-way on both sides of the streets serving the Town Center District with a minimum width of 13 to 18 feet. A minimum usable sidewalk width of 18 feet shall be required for all Franklin Road right-of-way and a minimum usable sidewalk width of 13 feet shall be required for all other street right-of-way within the Town Center District. A pedestrian access easement shall be required for the section of sidewalk that extends beyond the right-of-way.
6.0 SITE DESIGN STANDARDS

For all new development or redevelopment within the UDO, the following technical standards shall apply:

1. Standard width of building at designed frontage shall be a minimum of 60 percent of lot width with a minimum required building width of 25 feet. In cases where a 60 percent minimum lot frontage would not allow for vehicular ingress/egress on the site, required on-site parking, and/or pedestrian sidewalk access from the public sidewalk, the minimum frontage may be reduced by the planning staff. Building facades shall be delineated to reduce the apparent bulk of the building and to eliminate the appearance of a continuous façade, using a 1:1.5 ratio of height to façade. For example if a building has a height of 30 feet, then the façade would be broken up in segments no longer than 45 feet in length. Standard width of building at designated frontage; minimum—25 feet, maximum—150 feet (Photo 6.1–6.2, Figure 6.1). Buildings with frontage greater than 150 feet may be approved by the planning staff, provided the building is configured and designed architecturally to appear as more than one distinct building.

2. Build-to line, three to twelve feet behind the public sidewalk area located in the right-of-way. At least 70 percent of the building façade shall be maintained on the chosen build-to line (Figures 6.2–6.3).

3. Minimum required rear setback yard, ten feet (intended for use as public utility and drainage easements.)

4. Minimum required side setback yard, zero. In order to achieve a continuous front building edge in the district, side yards between adjoining buildings shall be minimized to the greatest extent possible.

5. Building height, a minimum of two stories and maximum of four stories, with an overall limitation of 56 feet excluding mechanical and elevator penthouse enclosures (Figure 6.4).

6. Maximum lot coverage:
   a. Without the use of parking incentives provided in sub-section b. below, standard floor area ratio (FAR) for each lot shall not exceed .60, or an equivalent of 26,136 square feet of occupied floor space per acre, or the FAR for existing occupied floor space on the lot if such amount is greater.
   b. The maximum adjusted FAR may be increased to .75 FAR, or an equivalent of 32,670 square feet of occupied floor space per acre, if the additional square footage above the standard FAR (in sub-section a. above) and/or adjusted FAR (in sub-section b. above) is allocated for residential units.

7. Pervious Area:
   a. A minimum of ten percent of the lot shall be pervious. The location of this area may include, private sidewalks, open patios and outdoor seating areas that are constructed with pervious pavements. In addition, land in the district that is dedicated to the city for public parks or plazas areas may count toward this requirement. (Photo 6.1, Figure 6.1)
b. The open space shall not include any area on the lot used for surface parking, driveways and alleys or located at the rear or less visible areas of the building; however, areas of significant natural vegetation located anywhere on the lot may be used to meet this requirement, provided the planning staff determines that such preservation will provide overall benefit to the lot and district.

8. Height variations. All buildings that are attached or adjacent within a block should be similar in height to the greatest extent feasible (Photo 6.2). The planning staff may require the upper stories of a building that will be taller than the average building height on a block to be recessed further back from the front build-to-line (Figure 6.2). The above height limitations and restrictions do not prohibit the use of an architectural feature such as a tower, cupola, etc., located above the roof line, provided the feature is in character with the architecture of the building and area; the total height of the building and feature does not exceed four stories (plus mechanical/elevator penthouse); and the feature is not designed or used for placement of elevated wall signs.

9. Scale/massing. Individual buildings should use human-scaled/pedestrian oriented architectural features. Individual buildings should clearly articulate the first story and primary entrances, with display windows encouraged for retail stores (Photo 6.4). The ground floor should be clearly delineated from the upper stories and the upper floors from the top of the front facade roof line. Large blank walls in pedestrian areas greater than 35 feet in length and large monolithic box-like structures should be avoided (Photo 6.4). Larger buildings should be designed to divide the mass of the facility to create a visual impression of a series of smaller buildings or sections. (Photo 6.4) Windows, doors, shutters, columns, masonry detailing, and variations in the front roof line, building wall recesses and variations in colors and materials should be used to break up the mass of a single building.

---

Figure 6.1—Public plazas and outdoor seating may count toward the 10 percent open space requirements.

Photo 6.2—To create the appearance of uniform building height along a street, upper floors may be stepped back from the build-to-line.

Photo 6.3—The large blank wall and signage of this facade are not in keeping with the design intent of the UDO. Large, monolithic, box-like structures should be avoided.

Photo 6.4—Although this building has contiguous frontage along the street edge, it is articulated to create the impression of a series of smaller buildings.
7.0 PARKING:

a. On-site parking. The number, size and design of all parking spaces and internal access ways shall comply with the off-street parking requirements set forth in Section 17.20.030 of the Zoning Code, except as follows:

1. On-site parking lots and loading areas shall be located to the rear or sides of buildings. (Figure 7.1 & Photo 7.1). In cases where the building fronts more than one street, the developer shall determine the principal frontage of the building subject to the approval of the planning staff.

2. For any development that provides a mix of retail, office, and residential uses with each use occupying a minimum of 20 percent of the overall development square footage, the standard off-street parking requirements set forth in Section 17.20.030 of the Zoning Code may be reduced by 30 percent.

3. The maximum grade in the parking and driveway areas shall be five percent.

4. The minimum pavement width of any driveway shall be 24 feet for two-way traffic and 15 feet for one-way traffic with angled parking spaces.

5. With the exception of designated passenger drop off areas and loading and unloading spaces, the minimum distance between any building and any internal driveway shall be ten feet, while the minimum distance between any building and any parking space shall be five feet.

6. No surface parking lot should abut a street intersection. (Photo 7.2).

7. On-site deck parking structures are encouraged where the topography is advantageous, provided the exterior finishes of the structure are compatible with the building. (Photo 7.3).

8. Shared parking lots and joint access driveways that serve multiple businesses and land uses are encouraged and shall be located at the rear and/or side of the developments, with common access to the public streets. In such arrangements, the individual businesses may not reserve specific spaces within the lot by signs or other methods. (Figure 7.2).

9. Designated parking spaces for any residential dwellings shall be located on the same lot with a minimum requirement of one parking space per residence. Such spaces may be reserved by signs or other methods.
b. Parking lot screening. Screening walls shall be built along any section of a surface parking lot that abuts the frontage line of the lot. (Photo 7.4, Figure 7.3) Screening walls shall be between 36 and 42 inches in height above the grade of the abutting sidewalk and shall be faced on both sides with a masonry veneer that is similar to the material on the building. The wall shall have an opening between 42 and 48 inches wide at a location that encourages safe pedestrian movement between the parking area and sidewalk.

c. Green space for parking lots shall meet the requirements set forth in Section 17.24.00 Landscape, Buffering and Tree Placement.

d. Street parking. On-street parallel or angled parking shall be encouraged within the street design and right-of-way for non-arterial roads in the district (Photo 7.5) under the following parameters:

1. A uniform on-street parking plan shall be incorporated for all lots located within the same block or an acceptable length of street.

2. The developer shall be responsible for dedication of additional right-of-way on the lot for the on-street parking spaces and the associated cost for additional pavement and drainage improvements built to Metro Public Works’ standards.

3. On-street parking spaces constructed or funded by the developer shall be counted toward the overall parking requirement for the property.

4. In no event shall more than 20 percent of the required parking spaces for the lot be allocated to on-street parking spaces.
8.0 STREETSCAPE

Sidewalks

a. Sidewalks shall be constructed in the right-of-way on both sides of the streets serving the towne center district with a minimum width of 13 to 18 feet. A minimum usable sidewalk width of 18 feet shall be required for all Franklin Road right-of-way and a minimum usable sidewalk width of 13 feet shall be required for all other street right-of-way within the towne center district. A pedestrian access easement shall be required for the section of sidewalk that extends beyond the right-of-way (Figure 11.1 and Figure 11.2). The sidewalks in the district shall be constructed to a uniform design standard for the district approved by the planning staff.

b. Sidewalk cafes and similar outdoor seating areas may be permitted by the planning staff on the public and private sidewalk areas, subject to the use being incidental to the adjacent indoor restaurant. A minimum five foot wide clearance area shall be maintained at all points on the sidewalk fronting the building to accommodate pedestrian movement and comply with all Metro Health Department Regulations. (Photo 8.1–8.2 and Figure 8.3).
Suggested patterns for new sidewalks in the Metro Brentwood Town Center UDO. Variations of these patterns based on specific site conditions may be approved by the planning staff.

**CONCRETE PAVER “A”**
- Color: Brown
- Finish: Natural
- 2 3/8” for typical sidewalks

**CONCRETE PAVER “B”**
- Color: B92156
- Finish: Tudor
- 2 3/8” for typical sidewalks

Manufacturer: Hanover Pavers
4” x 8”
Pavers shall be butt jointed and secured in accordance with the manufacturer’s recommendations.

**RUBBER EXPANSION JOINT MATERIAL**
- Color: Black
- Manufacturer: Reflex

---

Figure 8.4–Sidewalk Detail Plan—Field paver pattern for sidewalk adjacent to on street parking (permanent or temporary).

Figure 8.5–Sidewalk Detail Plan—Bench layout for sidewalk adjacent to travel lane.

Figure 8.6–Light Pole in Paver Band Detail Plan

---

METRO BRENTWOOD TOWNE CENTER UDO
Lighting

a. Street. To maintain adequate visibility for pedestrians and drivers at night and to provide a distinct identity within the town center district, the developer or property owner shall install ornamental street lighting in the area of the development fronting a public street. The lighting levels shall be a uniform IES design standard for the district approved by the planning staff. The poles shall be no greater than 16 feet in height and located in the sidewalk behind the curb to meet NES standard specifications (Figure 8.6).

b. Lot area. Adequate outside lighting shall be provided to cover private parking areas and other open areas on the rear and side areas of the lot. Such lighting shall be designed for security purposes but shall be arranged to minimize unnecessary glare and reflection on adjacent lots and public streets. The planning staff may require the submission of a lighting plan by a qualified professional engineer to ensure that the illumination as designed and installed meets this objective.

LIGHT SPECIFICATION

Manufacturer: HADCO

Pole: #SP5441J
Single Banner Arm: #SA5441K
Single Tie Down: #SA5441L
Light: S7466-1 (150 Watt) Luminaire
Finish: Black

All lights in the public right-of-way shall meet Nashville Electric Service standard specifications.
Street trees. Trees shall be planted in the sidewalk area in designated tree wells or tree/planting beds in front of the building between the street curb and the travel zone of the sidewalk at a spacing of every 30–40 linear feet. Each street tree shall be planted in topsoil or structural soils with a minimum of one hundred square feet of surface area and a minimum depth of three feet for the root zone. The location and placement of street trees shall be coordinated in a manner as to not interfere with street lighting. Trees which are in the public right-of-way or pedestrian paths of travel shall also comply with any ADA clearance requirements, both at grade and at the tree canopy. The type, caliper and location for the trees shall be determined by the planning staff based on a uniform design standard that is subject to review and recommendations from the tree committee. The trees shall be suitable for urban conditions and provide minimum interference to the operation of businesses, pedestrian use of the sidewalk and the effectiveness of street lighting. A power source shall be provided for seasonal lighting and/or for up-lighting of the trees.

Recommended tree list for the street trees in the Metro Brentwood Town Center UDO district.

LARGE STREET TREES – recommended 3˝ caliper minimum
- Quercus nuttallii, Nuttall Oak
- Quercus phellos Hightower, Willow Oak
- Ulmus americana Princeton, Princeton Elm
- Ulmus parvifolia Bosque, Bosque Elm (or other varieties of Chinese Elm)
- Zelkova serrata Green Vase, Green Vase Zelcore

SMALL STREET TREES – recommended 3˝ caliper minimum
- Acer buergeranum, Trident Maple
- Crataegus phaenopyrum, Washington Hawthorne
- Crataegus viridius ‘Winter King,’ Green Hawthorne
- Nyssa sylvatica, Forum Black Gum

The Metro Urban Forester may authorize additional trees to be added to this list.

All trees should be planted in at least 100 s.f. of soil surface area with a depth of at least 3 ft. that has not been compacted. Alternatively, a structural soil system could be utilized that includes either CU Soil or Expanded Slate Soil Mix.

The CU Soil is a patented soil mix by Cornell University and can be only be installed by licensed contractors. It is a soil mixture made up of crushed stone, clay loam, and a hydrogel stabilizing agent. The mixture creates an interlocking framework of gravel. The cavities created by the framework are filled with soil. This allows for roots, air, nutrients, and water to pass through. The hydrogel is used to provide a consistency to the mix adhering the soil to the gravel. This mixture can be compacted to a sufficient level for pavements while maintaining a root zone for the tree. The Expanded Slate Soil Mix is similar to this system, and can provide similar benefits mentioned above.
Street Furniture

a. Street furniture. The planning staff may require the developer to provide benches, trash receptacles, bollards, and/or bicycle racks to serve the business on the right-of-way abutting the business. The type of street furniture shall meet the uniform design standard for the district as approved by the planning staff.

b. Landscaping. Attractive low maintenance landscaping geared to scale of development in the district and urban conditions shall be incorporated into the designated open space and parking areas of the lot to the greatest extent feasible. Acceptable plantings shall include trees planted in locations with sufficient growing space to reach full maturity, hedges, flower beds, planters, fountains, etc. An irrigation system shall be provided to ensure long-term survival of the plantings.

c. A minimum five foot wide clearance area shall be maintained at all points on the sidewalk fronting the building to accommodate pedestrian movement and comply with all Metro Health Department Regulations. (Photo 8.1–8.2 and Figure 8.3).

STREET FURNITURE SPECIFICATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREET FURNITURE</th>
<th>MANUFACTURER</th>
<th>STYLE</th>
<th>MATERIALS</th>
<th>FINISH</th>
<th>OPTIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BENCH</td>
<td>Landscape Forms</td>
<td>Plainwell, Metal Slates</td>
<td>Black Powder Coated</td>
<td>Add Center Arm Rest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRASH RECEPTACLE</td>
<td>Landscape Forms</td>
<td>Plainwell, Metal Slates</td>
<td>Black Powder Coated</td>
<td>Matching Dome Lid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIKE RACK</td>
<td>Dumor</td>
<td>125 Bike Rack</td>
<td>Black Powder Coated</td>
<td>Embedded Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOLLARD</td>
<td>Landscape Forms</td>
<td>Anapolis 6</td>
<td>Black Powder Coated</td>
<td>No Light</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The planning department staff may approve acceptable alternatives which are reasonably consistent with the design intent of these specifications.
The visual transfer of business advertising and other information through the use of external signs shall be conveyed under the following guidelines:

a. Wall signs shall be mounted flat against the facade of the building facing a public street and installed in locations that do not detract from the design and appearance of the building (Photo 9.1). The location shall be approved by the planning staff as a part of the site plan approval process.

b. For the benefit of pedestrians, an additional sign not to exceed eight square feet shall be permitted. The sign may be suspended from the canopy or balcony, or bracketed from the building over the sidewalk near the main entrance to each business. Such signs shall not be internally illuminated. and shall have a minimum clearance 8 feet from the sidewalk (Photo 9.3–9.4).

c. For any new development or redevelopment of property approved by the planning staff in this district, freestanding monument signs or pole signs shall not be permitted.

d. Temporary display signs such as sandwich boards shall be permitted in conjunction with temporary uses and shall not exceed eight square feet per side. Such signs shall be removed each day at the close of business (Photo 16.4).

| TABLE 9.0 |
| SIGNAGE STANDARDS |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERMANENT ON-PREMISE SIGN TYPES</th>
<th>MIN. HEIGHT</th>
<th>MAX. HEIGHT</th>
<th>MAX. DISPLAY SURFACE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building Sign Projecting</td>
<td>8 ft</td>
<td>14 ft</td>
<td>8 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signage on Awning</td>
<td>9 ft</td>
<td>14 ft</td>
<td>50% of the Surface Area of the Awning on the Same Plazas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signage on Awning-Side</td>
<td>9 ft</td>
<td>14 ft</td>
<td>Counts Toward Projected Building Sign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Wall Mounted</td>
<td>8 ft</td>
<td>1 ft Below the Corridor or Eave Line</td>
<td>50 square feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Photo 9.1–The signage in this image does not meet the size requirements of the sign regulations set forth in the zoning regulations. In addition, this building would not comply with various other design guidelines of the UDO including the use of materials, storefront glazing, and large bays of windowless walls which repeat along the street front.

Photo 9.2–Small signs, less than 8 sq. ft. in size, may be suspended away from the building near the main entrance to each business as shown in photo 9.3 and 9.4.

Photo 9.3–The garage entrance in this image is designed to blend into the rest of the building facade.

Photo 9.4–The vehicular access in this photo is paved in a similar manner to the adjacent sidewalks to create a more pedestrian-friendly and visually appealing environment. The black bollards complement the other street furniture and control the vehicular traffic flow while still allowing the passage of pedestrian traffic across the entire width of sidewalks.
10.0 SCREENING

Ground and roof level electrical transformers, heat and air conditioning equipment, communication equipment and similar facilities shall be screened from public view (Photo 10.1).

Each site shall provide an acceptable method for solid waste storage and disposal either on-site or nearby that is located to the rear of the property if possible and screened from direct public view by durable brick or masonry materials that complement the exterior treatment of the building. When possible, waste storage areas should also have overhead screening or some sort of roof structure that is compatible with the main building structure roof. (Photo 10.2) The area shall be maintained in compliance with county health department regulations.
11.0 ARCHITECTURAL STANDARDS

1. Exterior materials and details. High quality materials which are durable and attractive should be used on all buildings. All publicly visible sides of the building should have a minimum of 75 percent of the exterior facades (excluding windows, trim and doors) covered in a durable, attractive masonry material. The use of non-durable materials such as brick, stone or similar imitation masonry materials, and stucco finishes should be avoided as the main exterior material.

2. Roofing. All new buildings should have flat roofs located behind parapet walls with three-dimensional cornice treatments (Figure 11.3). Simple gable or hipped roofs may also be integrated into the overall roof design.

3. Window/door openings. The first floor facing a public street or park should have windows covering at least 40 percent of the wall area. All upper floors should have windows covering 25% of the wall area. Buildings should have clearly defined and highly visible customer entrances, which should be recessed or framed by a sheltering element such as an overhang, arcade, portico or other roof form (Photo 11.10). Individual framed windows should be provided instead of continuous horizontal “ribbon or band” type windows. Reflective glass, glass curtain walls and other continuous, floor-to-ceiling windows should also be avoided on all floors (Photo 11.12). Windows shall have a minimum sill height of 18 inches off of finished floor (Photo 11.11). The patterns of window openings and details of bays should be used to create a sense of scale and add visual interest to building facades. Wall openings should not span vertically more than one story.
6. Awnings. The design of awnings, including the selection of material and color, should complement the architectural style and character of the building. Large buildings with multiple storefronts should have compatible, though not necessarily identical, awnings. Striping may be allowed on awnings, provided there are no more than 2 colors which should be in keeping with the overall character of the district. Awnings may not be back lit. Awnings should be made of fabric and may project up to three feet into the public right-of-way with the bottom of the canopy at least nine feet above the sidewalk (Figure 11.4). This requires a mandatory referral by Metro Public Works Department.

7. Balconies. Balconies may horizontally extend forward from the upper floors of the building facade up to three feet into the public right-of-way with the bottom of the balcony at least ten feet above the sidewalk (Figure 11.14). Balconies may have roofs but are required to be open air, non-heated and cooled areas of the buildings. Seasonal enclosure of balconies may be permitted from December through March, subject to approval by the planning staff provided such enclosures are removed during the remaining months of the year (Photo 11.13). This space shall not count toward the floor area ratio (FAR) limitations for the lot.
APPENDIX A
TRAFFIC REVIEW LETTER
PREPARED FOR THE CITY OF BRENTWOOD, TN
RPM Transportation Consultants
December 2004
December 22, 2004

Mr. Mike Walker, City Manager
City of Brentwood
P.O. Box 788
Brentwood, TN 37024-0788

Re: Brentwood Towne Center
Brentwood, TN

Dear Mike:

As you already know, we have been working with the City of Brentwood and its consultants, Earl Swenson Architects and Hawkins Partners, to evaluate the traffic impacts of redeveloping the area known as Brentwood Towne Center. In 2003, we conducted a Traffic Impact Study for this project, and we have recently conducted additional analyses based on different development scenarios. A brief summary of our evaluations is provided below.

The Traffic Impact Study for Brentwood Towne Center, dated December, 2003, analyzed the traffic impacts of redeveloping the area bound by Old Hickory Boulevard, Franklin Road, Church Street, and Interstate 65 (I-65). The analyses that were conducted for this study were based on the following assumptions:

1. The floor area ratio (FAR) for the study area would be 0.38.
2. Developments within the study area would consist of 66% retail uses and 34% office uses.
3. A 20% reduction factor could be applied to the trip generation values to account for existing trips within the study area, as well as internal, non-motorized, and transit trips to the future development.
4. One public parking structure would be provided.
5. Restaurants were not considered to be part of the proposed development. Any restaurants that are constructed will increase trip generation values and parking needs.

As presented in the study, the proposed development was expected to generate approximately 10,069 daily trips, 381 AM peak hour trips, and 935 PM peak hour trips. To accommodate the projected traffic volumes in the area, the study identified several roadway improvements that would help to alleviate traffic congestion and provide acceptable levels of service on the area’s roadways. However, the study did indicate that traffic congestion would continue to be a problem for the area.

After completion of the study, the City of Brentwood adopted zoning regulations for the Brentwood Towne Center District. These regulations allow a maximum standard FAR of 0.40 and outlined options for increasing the maximum FAR to 0.60. These regulations also limit developments from reducing parking by more than 20% for off-site public parking lots/structures and from reducing parking by more than 20% for on-street parking.

Recently, the City and its project consultants have considered expanding the limits of Brentwood Towne Center to include some of the properties that are located within the Brentwood House shopping center and along Harpeth Drive. They have also asked us to evaluate the potential impacts of increasing the maximum allowable FAR to 0.75 and allowing residential development within Brentwood Towne Center. We analyzed this scenario for the original study area as follows:

1. Developments within the study area were assumed to include a mix of 34% retail uses, 33% office uses, and 33% residential uses.
2. A 30% reduction factor was applied to the trip generation values to account for existing, internal, non-motorized, and transit trips. This higher trip reduction value was used because of the positive impact residential development will have on shared trips.
3. One public parking structure will be provided.
4. Restaurants were not considered to be part of the proposed development. Any restaurants that are constructed will increase trip generation values and parking needs.

Based on these assumptions and an FAR of 0.75, Brentwood Towne Center is expected to generate approximately 10,915 daily trips, 549 AM peak hour trips, and 1,048 PM peak hour trips. These daily and PM peak hour trip values are slightly higher than the trip generation values that were presented in the original Traffic Impact Study. The AM peak hour trip generation value is approximately 44 percent higher than the value that was presented in the study. These values indicate that traffic operations during the AM peak hour will be worse that what was projected in the study.

We conducted additional analyses for this scenario to determine if adequate parking could be provided for an FAR of 0.75. Based on Brentwood's current parking rates, approximately 2,419 parking spaces will be required. Because the proposed development includes a mix of uses, it is reasonable to assume that many of the uses can share parking spaces. Therefore, we also analyzed the parking needs for the proposed development based on the methodologies presented in Shared Parking, which is a publication that was conducted under the direction of the Urban Land Institute by Barton-Aeschman Associates, Inc. According to the shared parking analysis, approximately 1,961 parking spaces will be needed to serve the proposed development. In order to meet this demand, the City of Brentwood would need to increase the amount of public parking for planned developments within Brentwood Towne Center. At least two public parking structures that provide a total of approximately 1,200 parking spaces should be constructed. Individual developments should provide on-site surface parking, and some developments may need to provide on-site structured parking. The City should also allow on-street parking. The total amount of on-site and on-street parking should be equal to the parking demand (1,961), minus the public structured parking (1,200), which is equal to 661 parking spaces.

Due to the amount of parking that is needed, an FAR of 0.75 may not be realistic for Brentwood Towne Center. Therefore, we also evaluated the proposed development using an FAR of 0.65 (also using the same study area, mix of uses, and trip reduction factor as the previous scenario). Based on an FAR of 0.65, Brentwood Towne Center is expected to generate approximately 9,444 daily trips, 474 AM peak hour trips, and 925 PM peak hour trips. The daily and PM peak
hour trip generation values are similar to those presented in the study and are expected to result in traffic operations that are also similar to what was presented in the study. The AM peak hour trip generation value is approximately 24 percent higher than what was presented in the study. Therefore, traffic operations during the AM peak hour are expected to be more congested than what was presented in the study.

We also evaluated the parking needs for the area based on an FAR of 0.65. According to Brentwood's current parking rates, development at an FAR of 0.65 will require 2,086 parking spaces. Based on the methodologies presented in Shared Parking, at least 1,009 parking spaces should be provided for an FAR of 0.65. To meet this demand, the City of Brentwood would need to increase the amount of public parking for planned developments within Brentwood Towne Center. At least two public parking structures that provide a total of approximately 1,000 parking spaces should be constructed. Individual developments should be required to provide on-site surface parking, and some larger developments may need to provide on-site structured parking. On-street parking should also be provided. The total number of on-site and on-street parking spaces should equal approximately 608, which is the difference between the parking demand and the total number of parking spaces in the public parking structures.

I believe that an FAR of 0.75 is unrealistic for Brentwood Towne Center due to the amount of parking that will be required. An FAR of 0.65 may also be difficult to achieve for the same reason. However, assuming that not all properties within Brentwood Towne Center will develop to this extent, an FAR of 0.65 may be a suitable limit for the maximum allowable FAR for this area. To ensure that adequate parking is provided for this scenario, the City of Brentwood should consider changing its off-site parking restrictions for Brentwood Towne Center to allow developments to use a higher percentage of off-site parking spaces. In addition to providing public parking as described above, the City should carefully review development plans for this area to ensure that adequate on-site parking will be provided for individual developments.

We appreciate the opportunity to assist you on this project. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me.

Respectfully,
Rebecca Brooks, P.E.
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Section 1 Parking Demand

The first section in this study addresses two key issues:

1. Confirm that the type of development envisioned for the Town Center will warrant the construction of parking structures to serve the parking demand; and
2. Confirm the projected number of structured parking spaces needed over and beyond street and on-site surface parking spaces.

Each of these questions is explored further in the discussion below.

Existing Parking Conditions

A review of the current development and parking conditions in the district was undertaken to better understand the base conditions. The following table provides a count of the approximate number of parking spaces that are available for use today within the district, by parcel. This count includes all spaces that are marked for parking plus an estimate of spaces available in other all-weather surface areas that are available for parking when needed.

| Table 1: Current Parking Availability in the Town Center District |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| ID  | RECOGNIZED NAME | OWNER OF RECORD | PRIMARY USE | PARKING IN USE OR AVAILABLE |
| 1   | Am South        | Am South         | Bank       | 36                                |
| 2   | Dr. Sullivan    | Dr. Sullivan     | Dentist    | 28                                |
| 3   | Phillips Dell   | Phillips Dell    | Restaurant | 48                                |
| 4   | Brentwood Vet   | Brentwood Vet    | Veteranian | 17                                |
| 5   | Little's Shell  | Little's Shell   | Gas Station | 18                                |
| 6   | Bank Of America | Bank Of America  | Bank       | 46                                |
| 7   | First Cumberland Prop. | Trent & Owen | Property Management | 8 |
| 8   | Strouds        | Strouds          | Vaughn     | 18                                |
| 9   | Professional Ridges | Callisto Prop. | Professional Offices | 81 |
| 10  | Brentwood House | Brentwood House  | Restaurant & Retail | 120 |
| 11  | Persian Gallery & Retail | Persian Gallery | Restaurant & Retail | 20 |
| 12  | Brentwood Village | Brentwood Village | Insurance & Retail | 79 |
| 13  | Exxon          | Exxon            | Gas Station | 13                                |
| 14  | B & Ace Hardware | Mohammad, S. | Hardware Store | 19                                |
| 15  | Brentwood Auto Sales | Geske, K | Auto Sales | 24                                |
| 16  | Campbell Glass | Campbell Glass   | Arnold Realty | Glass Company | 20-25 |
| 17  | Retail         | Retail           | Dooney, John | Vegetarian & Retail | 30 |
| 18  | Retail         | Retail           | Carolan, Lavada | Hair & Nails | 13                                |
| 19  | Brentwood Pub  | Brentwood Pub    | Hester, Belina | Restaurant | 19                                |
| 20  | Brentwood Shoe Shop | Not specified | Shoe Repair | 12 |
| 21  | Retail         | Retail           | Roger, Bruno | Retail | 23                                |
| 22  | Painter & Engraving Co | Painter & Engraving Co | Painter & Engraving Co | 14 |
| 23  | China Hut      | China Hut        | Norris, Jan | Restaurant | 15                                |
| 24  | Auto Detail    | Auto Detail      | Hester, Belina | Auto Detail | 10                                |
| 25  | Retail         | Retail           | Carl, George | vacant house | 25                                |

Metro Nashville Properties (not included in parking analysis)

- M-1 O'Charley's Lagasse, Randolph Parking Lot IN PARCEL 49
- M-2 Merchants Walk LP Merchants Walk LP Retail IN PARCEL 59
- M-3 Walgreens Walgreens Drug Store 59
- M-4 Cowey's Rackley, William Restaurant 62
- M-5 Shell Station Modges L. Formosa Truck Gas Station 16
- M-6 Shell Station Modges L. Formosa Retail Brentwood Boardwalk 18-20
- M-7 Retail & Restaurant Durham, Paul Retail & Restaurant IN PARCEL 35
- M-8 Post Office U.S. Postal Service Post Office 96
- M-9 Vacant Lot Maysie, B.E. Vacant Lot 19-20
- M-10 Brentwood Lawn Mower Maysie, B.E. Mower Repair 15-20

When the above parking spaces are totaled for the east, middle and west areas of the Town Center district, the current approximate available parking is as follows:

| Table 2: Existing Town Center Parking by Area |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| District Area     | Number of Parcels | Acreage           | Approximate Parking Spaces Available February 2006 |
| East of CSX Railroad to I-65 | 14               | 8.14             | 270 |
| Middle between CSX and Franklin Road | 22               | 11.83            | 450 |
| West of Franklin Road to Eastpark Drive | 14               | 12.91            | 700 |
| Total             | 50               | 32.88            | 1,420 |

Final Report
The above figures do not reflect approximately twenty (20) on-street spaces that are currently available along Town Center Way and Harpeth Drive.

Future Town Center Parking Demand

To assist in estimating future parking demand in the Town Center, the Pattern Book/Design Guidelines for the C-4 Zoning District was reviewed, and meetings were held with area property owners and their representatives to discuss future development concepts. From that process a range of potential development scenarios were developed and discussed with City staff.

Based on the information obtained through these efforts, parking for the Town Center district has been analyzed for three separate and distinct development areas (also shown in Figure 1):

- the “West” Area between Franklin Road west to Eastpark Drive,
- the “Middle” Area between Franklin Road and the CSX gulch, and
- the “East” Area between the CSX gulch east to I-65.

The assumption has been made that the Franklin Road and CSX corridors will establish probable boundaries for consolidated development projects due to challenges associated with driving or walking between each area. It is recognized, however, that the potential does exist for the East and Middle Areas to be connected by additional roadway and/or pedestrian crossings of the CSX gulch. It is also possible that a parking structure could be built or connected across the gulch, thereby linking the two areas through garage circulation. Under these conditions the two districts could possibly develop in a cohesive and coordinated fashion, should adequate properties be assembled and development economics justify these connections.

Table 3 provides specific information on each of these three development areas including an assumed development scenario for each, for the purpose of the Town Center structured parking analysis. Several development density scenarios were considered based on criteria such as public input, existing land ownership patterns, known development concepts, existing land use, and the age and general condition of existing buildings. Based on this information, the following table was developed to represent the assumed future development type and size. These elements are defined for each area:

- the approximate gross square footage of all developable parcels in each area (taken from the Town Center parcel map),
- an assumed future overall FAR for the area,
- the resultant total square footage that would be developed for the area with this FAR, and
- the percentage/development square footage of each land use category by area.

As the table indicates, with the suggested FARs the Town Center will achieve approximately 790,000 square feet of mixed use development. This assumes that approximately 23,000 square feet (10% of the development area) will be used for arts or cultural purposes in the East Area. These figures do not include development for any parcels outside the designated Brentwood Town Center district, including those in Davidson County immediately to the north.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Selected Future Development Scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Area SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor-Area Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail-Restaurant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Some numbers rounded

Structured Parking Space Demand

Based on the assumed type, location and amount of development in each area as indicated in the previous table, the total number of parking spaces necessary to adequately serve individual land uses in each development area was calculated. The following table identifies this total parking demand, by district, for the selected land use scenario described above. The parking demand rates for the individual land uses have been estimated based on the ITE Parking Generation Manual, current City parking requirements, and the rates used in the December, 2004 RPR parking analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4: Parking Demand by Land Use by Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail-Restaurant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the above analysis, approximately 3,000 parking spaces are potentially needed in the Town Center district to accommodate the needs of the assumed land use types and densities assumed. However, due to the higher assumed land use densities in the Middle and East Areas, shared parking between land uses is anticipated to occur. Generally speaking, higher land use density yields higher amounts of shared parking reductions.

For this analysis, shared parking reductions were assumed to be 20% for retail and restaurant uses, 25% for office and residential uses, and 5% for arts. With these reductions, total parking demand is reduced to approximately 2,400 spaces. After consideration of the land available for on-street and off-
Street surface parking, given the assumed land use densities, approximately 1,140 structured parking spaces are estimated to be needed in the Middle and East Areas. It should be noted that no structured parking is assumed to be necessary in the West Area with the relatively low (0.40) land use density that has been assumed.