RFQ# 168233 Environmental & Hazardous Inspection and Testing Services								
Review Board Score Sheet								
Offeror	ECS Southeast, LLP	KS Ware & Assoc. LLC	QTS Group LLC	Stantec	TTL, Inc.	Terracon		
Contract Acceptance	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Qualifications and Capacity to Perform (50 Points)	44.00	50.00	30.00	45.00	48.00	48.00		
Project Approach (50 Points)	28.00	50.00	18.00	45.00	49.00	50.00		
Total Review Scores	72.00	100.00	48.00	90.00	97.00	98.00		

# **Review Comments**

# **ECS Southeast, LLP**

## Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience providing the scope of work services of phase I and II. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience in Radon management. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

#### Weaknesses

Firm's organization chart was unclear. Firm's proposal failed to clarify proposed team for Metro projects. Firm's company overview lacked detail. Firm's proposed project approach lacked detail for project management. Firm failed to respond to numbers 2 & 3 of the project approach section - Environmentally friendly practices & Reporting on ACM and RMS.

## KS Ware & Assoc. LLC

#### Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience providing scope of work services. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm's proposed project approach provided detailed information to include individual project examples. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

#### Weaknesses

None noted.

# **QTS Group LLC**

## Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

#### Weaknesses

Firm's proposal demonstrated limited scope of work experience. Firm's proposal failed to demonstrate firm's capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm's company information lacked detail. Firm's proposal failed to address part of number 1, all of numbers 2, 3 and 5 of the project approach section. - Approach to managing the project; Environmentally friendly practices; Sustainability practices; Reporting on ACM and RMS. Firm failed to provide copies of training certificates as required per the solicitation.

#### **Stantec**

## Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's on-call services experience. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience providing the scope of work services. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm provided a detailed organizational chart. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm/team members have up-to-date training. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience in sample collection. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm has knowledge of and follows proper industry guidelines. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

#### Weaknesses

Firm's proposal lacked detailed for experience description for decontamination and remediation management. Firm's proposal lacked detail for key member roles. Firm failed to address Reporting on ACM and RMS.

# TTL, Inc.

#### Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience in providing scope of work services. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm's proposal provided detailed subcontractor information. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's project management experience. Firm provided a detailed project approach. Firm provided detailed reference projects. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

#### Weaknesses

Firm's overview of company's description of prior experience lacked detail. Firm's point of contact for Metro projects was unclear in firm's proposed project approach.

## **Terracon**

### Strengths

Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's experience in providing scope of work services. Firm's proposal demonstrated capacity to perform scope of work services. Firm provided a detailed project approach. Firm provided detailed reference projects to include team members that worked on the projects and their certifications. Firm's proposal demonstrated and provided all other required information with the exception of the weaknesses noted below.

#### Weaknesses

Firm's HazMat testing lacked detail. Firm's organizational chart was unclear. Firm's "PDR" staff was unclear.

Enter Solicitation Title & Number Below	
Environmental & Hazardous Inspection & Testing Services (A&E);	Deemed
RFQ 168233	Responsive
Offeror's Name	
ECS Southeast LLP	Yes
KS Ware & Assoc LLC	Yes
QTS Group LLC	Yes
TTL Inc.	Yes
Terracon	Yes
Stantec	Yes

# **BAO SBE Assessment Sheet**

BAO Specialist: Sierra Washington

Contract Specialist: Christina Alexander

Date: 12/22/2021

**Department Name: General Services** 

RFP/ITB Number: 168233

Project Name: Environmental and Hazardous Inspection and Testing Services (A&E) (7% SBE/SDV Requirement)

Primary Contractor:	SBE/SDV Requirement Acknowledged	Comments
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.		Proposer acknowledged 7% participation requirement of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. Proposed to utilize the following SBE subcontractors: Wilmot, Inc. for sustainability and waste reduction consulting, Civil Infrastructure Associates for surveying and title reviews, and AAT Environmental for hazardous material inspections.

DocuSign Envelope ID: 018B5994-F1BD-4D	47-A253-CF4D87B4DAB7								
		tatement of	f M/WBE Ut	ilization A	&E ONLY				
Proposer's/Firm's Name: Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.					Proposer's Phone #: 615-499-7163				
Solicitation Title: Environmental & Hazardous Ir					Proposer's Email Address: greg.brubaker@stantec.com				
Solicitation #: 168233					Total Bid Amount: NA				
EBO Goal (%): 5.00 MBE% 12 WB	E%				EBO Goal Met? (Y/N) Yes				
The following MWBE* subcontractor(s)/supplier(s)	will be utilized for the performance of this	project:							
MBE/WBE Firm Name	MBE/WBE Firm Address	Phone/E-Mail	Certificate Type (MBE or WBE)	* MBE/WBE Group Type *	Code # UNSPS/NAICS		Description	of Mark	
					· ·	04-11.:114	•		[4]
Wilmot, Inc	3811 Central Ave, Nashville, TN 37205	615-533-0696	VVBE	1	81100000, 77000000, 77100000, 76120(	Sustainabilit	stainability and Waste Reduction Consul		
<sub>2</sub> DLZ National, Inc	6121 Huntley Rd, Columbus, OH 43229	248-996-2447	MBE	1	81000000, 81101703	Hazardous Mate	azardous Materials Inspections, and Environmental Const		
Civil Infrastructure Associates	307 Hickerson Drive, Murfreesboro, TN 37129	615-516-2852	WBE	1	81101526, 81101524, 81101512	Surveyir	Surveying and Title Research		rch
4			Select	Select					
5			Select	Select					
6			Select	Select					
7			Select	Select					
I am the duly authorized representative and certify	the facts and representations contained in	this form and suppor	ting documents are tro	ue and correct.					
Authorized Representative (Printed Name/Title	e/Signature)						Da	ite	
Gregory W Brubaker, Senior Associate							11/0	1/2021	
*Note: MWBE is defined as business enterprise maintaining a signific	ant business prescience in the Program Area & performir	ng a commercial useful funct	ion that is owned by one or m	ore of the following: (1)	African Americans (2) Native Ame	ricans, (3) Hispanic Ame	ricans, (4) Asian Americ	ans, and (5) Women.	
Has Prime Acknowledged EBO Goals Acheivement?	Yes	For Inter	nternal Office Use ONLY  If No, Good Faith Efforts Met?  BAO Only						
BAO Representative: Sie	erra Washington		Metro Buyer:	Christin	na Alexande	<u>r</u>			
Project Manager: Ava	Elsaghir		Date: 12/2	22/21					

# BAO Notes:

Prime acknowledges they can achieve 5% MBE 12%WBE subcontracting goals. Consistent with the procurement code actual dollar amounts and percentages will be confirmed during contract negotiations.