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As we come together this year to celebrate "Metro at 60," the Human Relations Commission is pleased to release the third iteration of the InluCivics report. Our city was born 60 years ago during the Civil Rights movement. As a nation and as a city, we both continue to make advancements and continue to struggle through myriad connected equity issues. This report looks at the Metro workforce. It is even more important today in light of national and local issues regarding race, gender and public policy.

The analysis in the following pages provides an in-depth look at gender, race, salary, and geographic location of nearly 10,000 employees across 51 departments. You will see some areas where we have made strides forward. You will also see areas the data suggest we still have a ways to go. Our intent is to provide an overall look at our workforce that will raise questions to move the conversation toward positive policy decisions.

We are grateful to the Metro Human Resources department for providing the data and their work that has contributed toward achieving some of the improvements laid out in this report. Likewise, we are appreciative to the staff of the Office of Diversity, Equity and Inclusion which was only established in the last few years. It is clear that Metro leaders, under Mayor Cooper's administration, have taken major steps in addressing DEI in an impactful, meaningful and tangible way.

MHRC Commissioners and staff remain committed partners as we work together toward our aspirational goals of becoming a more just and equitable city. We stand ready to assist, facilitate and advise on how we bring these values and practices to build, sustain and care for our workforce.

We welcome your comments and critique around this report. We look forward to continuing this work with the next administration and our community partners.


Davie Tucker, Jr., Executive Director
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In 2015 the Metro Human Relations Commission published IncluCivics, an analysis of demographic data on Metro employees to assess how representative employees are of the city demographics, by race and gender, along with salary equity. This updated report was created to assess for any significant changes and to examine trends over the 2015-2022 period. Some salient findings include:

## Employee Race and Ethnicity

- The majority of Metro employees are White, followed by Black or African American employees. The percentages of White and Black employees are representative of the county's overall racial composition, but we lag behind in hiring Hispanic or Latinx, Asian or Pacific Islander, and bi/multiracial employees.
- Salaries have increased for everyone, but White employees are consistently paid higher average salaries than employees of all other races, with the largest pay gaps between White $(\$ 70,703)$ and Black $(\$ 59,546)$ or Hispanic $(\$ 58,588)$ workers.
- White employees are over-represented in higher income brackets and Black employees are over-represented in lower income brackets.


## Employee Gender

- Employees are disproportionally male ( $60 \%$ to $40 \%$ ), but new employee hiring is moving toward greater gender parity ( $55 \%$ to $45 \%$ ).
- Starting salaries are roughly equal between males and females, but males earn significantly higher average salaries overall $(\$ 69,104$ to $\$ 63,473$ ) and are overrepresented in higher income brackets.


## Departments and EEO Categories



- 33 of 51 departments are majority female. The largest departments are majority male.
- Departments whose missions include social-welfare services and the court system have greater percentages of non-White employees and more female employees.
- Departments with executive, administrative and regulatory authorities have higher percentages of White employees and are those with the highest average salaries.
- Officials and Administrators are majority White, majority male and earn the highest salaries. The lowest paid classifications (Administrative Support, Para-Professionals, and Service Maintenance) are overrepresented by females and Black and Hispanic employees.


## County Residence

- The percentage of employees who live in Davidson County is declining across race and income. $53 \%$ of employees live in the county.
- Black (73\%) and Hispanic (52\%) employees live in Davidson County at higher percentages than White (44\%) employees.
- Employees with the lowest incomes live in Davidson County at the highest percentages, and those with the highest incomes have the steepest decline of employees living in the county.

These and other trends are explored throughout the report, along with detailed department profiles. We end with areas for further inquiry and action, including a need for greater efforts toward hiring Hispanic or Latinx employees, analysis of attrition and promotion, more inclusive gender and racial identity data collection, and attention to the impacts of more employees living outside Davidson County.

## Data and Methods

We use existing data provided by Metro Human Resources and the American Community Survey of the U.S. Census.

## Metro Data

The primary data source for this report is the "General Government Employees Demographics" dataset, drawn from Metro payroll records and publicly available on the Nashville Open Data Portal. The data portal is updated once per quarter and each dataset represents employees on the date of each upload within the defined quarter (using a calendar year, Quarter 1= January to March, Q2= April to June, Q3= July to September, and Q4= October to December). Quarter four data from each year were standardized and merged to create the final dataset. Quarter four data were selected to represent each year based the assumption that departmental hiring or staffing changes due to the annual budget process are most likely to be in place by this period.

The dataset provides the following information for each de-identified employee: pay grade/step, annual salary, employee classification, title, department, employment status, job category, gender, race/ethnicity, year of birth, date started (in Metro, not specific to the current position), FSLA exempt status, and county of residence (beginning in 2017). These data were used to create the following additional variables: whether the employee is an elected official, salary range (in $\$ 10,000$ intervals), estimated years of employment, and estimated age in years. Table 1 lists the variables used in this report, including the categorical choices noted in parenthesis. We note that data collected on gender identity are limited to binary male and female selections, which likely are not inclusive of other gender identities employees may identify as, such as transgender, nonbinary or others. Likewise, race and ethnicity data are restricted to one selection of the six options as listed (White, not of Hispanic origin; Black or African American; Hispanic or Latino of any race [the reminader of this report uses the term Latinx]; Asian or Pacific Islander; American Indian or Alaskan Native; and two or more races). Table 2 includes departments included in the analyses. There are several departments that operate independently from Metro General Government and are therefore not included in this report, including the Metropolitan Development and Housing Agency, Metro Nashville Public Schools, Metro Transit Authority/WeGo, the Airport Authority, Nashville Electric Service, and the Nashville Convention and Visitor Corporation.

## Table 1. Variables

| Year (2015-2022) | Employment Status (Full time, Part time, <br> Temporary, Seasonal, Pool, Elected) | Gender (Male, Female) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Annual Salary | EEO Category (Administrative Support; <br> Officials/Administrators; Para-Professionals; | Year of Birth |
| Salary Range | Professionals; Protective Service Workers; <br> Service Maintenance; Skilled Craft Workers; | Years of Employment |
| Title | Technicians) |  |
| Department (see Table 2) | Race/Ethnicity (White, not of Hispanic Origin; <br> Black or African American; Hispanic or Latino <br> ofany race; Asian or Pacific Islander; American <br> Indian orAlaskan Native; 2 or more races) | Age (For 2022 employees, estimated <br> by [2023 -- Year of Birth]) |
| Elected Official (Yes/No) | County of Residence |  |

## Census Data

We used supplemental Census data to make comparisons of employees' demographics to the overall population of Nashville-Davidson County. The American Community Survey is an ongoing survey of the U.S. Census Bureau that collects community-level data on demographics, housing and other information. We used 1-year estimates from the 2021 American Community Survey for points of reference.

## Analysis

Most of our analyses present descriptive statistics that provide an understanding of gender and racial diversity on a whole, within departments and as otherwise described. At times, we use statistical models to assess for significant differences in salaries between specific demographics. Unless otherwise noted, we included full time, part time and seasonal employees for the purpose of describing overall demographics and department sizes; but only full time employees are considered when reporting on salaries. Elected officials are excluded from all analyses.

Table 2. Metro General Government Departments

| Agricultural Extension | Fire | Municipal Auditorium |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Arts Commission | General Services | Office of Emergency Management |
| Assessor of Property | General Sessions Court | Office of Family Safety |
| Beer Board | Health | Parks |
| Circuit Court Clerk | Historical Commission | Planning Commission |
| Clerk and Master | Human Relations Commission | Police |
| Codes Administration | Human Resources | Public Defender |
| Community Oversight Board | Information Technology Services | Public Library |
| Convention Center Authority | Internal Audit | Public Works |
| County Clerk | Justice Integration Services | Register of Deeds |
| Criminal Court Clerk | Juvenile Court | Sheriff |
| Criminal Justice Planning Unit | Juvenile Court Clerk | Social Services |
| District Attorney | Law | Sports Authority |
| Election Commission | Mayor's Office | State Fair Board |
| Emergency Communications | Metro Action Commission | State Trial Courts |
| Farmer's Market | Metropolitan Clerk | Trustee |
| Finance | Metropolitan Council | Water Services |

The 51 department names represent current departments in 2022. Some departments dissolved or were absorbed into others during the 2015-2022 study period, including the Nashville Career Advancement Center, Soil and Water Conservation and the Community Education Commission. The data from the employees of those departments are still included in their respective years of operation. The Circuit Court Clerk and Circuit Court Satellite were combined because they are a single department for hiring and promotion purposes. The Nashville Department of Transportation (NDOT) was established in 2021, however, for payroll and administrative purposes NDOT is situated within Public Works.

Figure 1. All Employees, 2022
Total \# of Employees: 9,952


## A Snapshot View of Today's Workforce

The figure on the left represents the gender and racial breakdown of all 9,952 employees in 2022. Each icon represents one percent of the workforce, which is majority male ( $60 \%$ ) and majority White ( $64 \%$ ) followed by Black or African American (29\%). Hispanic or Latinx employees make up $3 \%$ of the workforce, followed by smaller percentages of employees who are two or more races (2.5\%), Asian or Pacific Islander (1\%), and American Indian or Alaskan Native (less than 1\%).

Employee ages, stratified by gender, are shown in the distribution below. The average age is 44.9 years old. The youngest employee is 15 and the oldest is 93 . The most common age ranges are 30-34 and 35-39 years old, which together make up $25 \%$ of all employees. $71 \%$ of employees are between 30 and 59 years old. There are more males than females in all but one age category.

Figure 2. Age Distribution by Gender, 2022



This section includes several analyses that examine the race and ethnicity of employees, including:


Data Limitations. Race and ethnicity are often collected as two separate data points, often with the option to choose multiple responses. This dataset includes race and ethnicity (specifically, Hispanic or non-Hispanic) as one category, with all responses as mutually exclusive options; meaning each employee is identified as one of the six race categories. The six race/ethnicity categories are: White (not of Hispanic origin), Black or African American, Hispanic or Latinx of any race, Asian or Pacific Islander, American Indian or Alaskan Native and two or more races.


At times we compare the race and ethnicity of employees to the Nashville-Davidson County population, based on the 2021
 American Community Survey, 1-year estimates of the U.S. Census. The figure below shows the percentages of the county population by race.


Note: Percentages exceed $100 \%$ total because the options are not mutually exclusive.

Analyses that consider salaries include only fulltime employees. Unless otherwise noted, other analyses include employees of all statuses, including part time, seasonal and pool workers. Elected officials are always excluded. The breakdown of 2022 employees by status is shown below.

Employees, 2022

| Full time | 8,804 | $88 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Part time | 753 | $8 \%$ |
| Seasonal | 161 | $2 \%$ |
| Pool | 234 | $2 \%$ |

All Employees 9,952

Figures 3 shows the race and ethnicity of all employees from 2015 to 2022. The dotted top line shows Metro's overall growth - there are approximately 1,000 more employees in 2022 than in 2015. The overall percentage of White employees has decreased over time while Black/African American, Hispanic/Latinx, and employees of two or more races have increased. The greatest increase is the number of Black/African American employees, from 2,388 to 2,913. While the number of Hispanic/Latinx employees has grown from 184 to 315 , they still only account for just over three percent of the total workforce.

Figure 3. Race of All Employees, 2015-2022


We can look specifically at new employee hiring in the last eight years to better understand these changes. Figure 4 shows the race/ethnicity of fulltime employees hired each year from 2015 to 2022. The far left bar in each racial category represents employees hired in 2015, followed by those in 2016 and so fourth to the far right bar representing hires in 2022. The cumulative employee count for each racial category is indicated under each label (e.g., there were 3,356 White employees hired during these years). The horizontal lines show the race/ethnicity of the population in Nashville-Davidson County. When the county measurement is higher than the percentage of employees in a specific demographic, it indicates that race is underrepresented in the workforce compared to the county population. Employee counts that exceed the county population indicate overrepresentation.

Figure 4. Race of Fulltime Employees Hired each Year, 2015-2022


Overall, the percentage of new hires who are White is consistently decreasing and new hires who are Black/African American are consistently increasing. Hispanic hires have increased, although growth appears to have stagnated in the last five years. Hispanic/Latinx, Asian/Pacific Islander, and employees of two or more races are underrepresented compared to the general population, both in new hires (Figure 4) and in the overall workforce (Figure 3). Table 3 below provides the number of new fulltime hires in 2022, with reference to all fulltime employees.

Table 3. Fulltime Employee Counts by Race, 2022

|  | White | Black or <br> African <br> American <br> New Fulltime Hires | Hispanic or <br> Latinx | Asian or <br> Pacific <br> Islander <br> Total: 1,011 | American <br> Indian or <br> Alaskan Native | Two or More <br> Races |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Fulltime Employees <br> Total: 8,804 | 544 | 549 | 023 | 44 |  |  |

Next we look at salaries and differences in pay by race and ethnicity. As shown in Figure 5, the average salary of fulltime White employees is the highest of all, followed by Asian/Pacific Islanders, Native American/Alaskan Natives and employees of two or more races. There is a substantial gap, with Black/African American and Hispanic/Latinx employees' average salaries trailing by more than $\$ 10,000$ from the average of White employees. While all employees have seen steady increases year after year, the differences by race remain consistent.

Figure 5. Average Salary of Fulltime Employees by Race, 2015-2022


We conducted an analysis on average salaries in 2022 to see if differences by race are statistically significant - meaning the differences are not due to sampling error or random chance. Shown in Table 4, Black/African American, Hispanic/Latinx and employees of two or more races make significantly lower salaries than White employees ( $p<0.01$ ). There is no significant difference in salaries between White workers and Asian/Pacific Islander or White and American Indian/Alaskan Native employees. The table provides two measures of central tendency-the median and the average salary. When the median and average are close in value, it indicates a symmetrical distribution of salaries from low to high values. When the average is higher than the median, it indicates there are more employees with salaries at the lower end of the distribution and fewer at the higher end - though those at the high end are likely to include outliers with substantially higher salaries than the majority (which are below the average).

Table 4. Salary Differences of Fulltime Employees by Race, 2022

|  | Median | Average | \# of <br> Employees | Average Years <br> of Employment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| White | $\$ 67,004.05$ | $\$ 70,703.73$ | 5,682 | 12.2 |
| Black or African American | $\$ 53,605.58$ | $\$ 59,546.80^{*}$ | 2,488 | 10.7 |
| Hispanic or Latinx | $\$ 53,651.42$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 8 , 5 8 8 . 5 9 *}$ | 296 | 8 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | $\$ 69,097.75$ | $\$ 69,266.24$ | 101 | 10.2 |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | $\$ 68,740.65$ | $\$ 67,401.11$ | 16 | 13.6 |
| Two or more Races | $\$ 65,729.43$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 6 , 0 1 0 . 7 5 *}$ | 221 | 10.2 |

Note: Asterisks and bold labels indicate statistically significant differences in the average salary of one racial group compared to White employees, at p-values $<0.01$. A full statistical table is in Appendix 2.

Figure 6 shows the race of each income bracket of fulltime employees in 2022. The parenthetical number after each bracket is the number of employees. We can determine over- and underrepresentation in each bracket by comparing each level to the racial breakdown of all employees, shown in the top boxed bar. For example, White employees are $64.5 \%$ of all fulltime employees, but make up 72 percent or more in the top six incomes. On the other hand, Black employees are 28.3\% of all fulltime employees but are over-represented in the bottom three income brackets.

Figure 6. Race of each Income Bracket of Fulltime Employees, 2022


| Race of All Fulltime Employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 64.5 |  |  | 28.33 .4 | 2.5 |
| ${ }_{10}^{10}$ | 20 | 10 | 10 | 50 | 1 | 70 | ${ }_{80}$ | ${ }_{90}$ | ${ }_{10}$ |
| \$125,000+ (264) |  |  |  | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 74.2 |  | 21.6 |  |


| $\$ 100,000-\$ 124,999(445)$ | 80.9 | 15.7 | 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 90,000-\$ 99,999(467)$ | 80.1 | 15.2 | 9 |  |




Figure 7. Average Fulltime Starting Salary by Race, 2015-2022


Lastly, we look at starting salaries by race. Figure 7 shows the average starting salary of fulltime employees each year from 2015 (the far left bar) to 2022 (far right bar) for each group. We estimated starting salary by using the annual salary of employees who started in the same year of the dataset, i.e., the starting salary for White employees in 2015 is the average salary of White employees who started in 2015 in the 2015 dataset. The cumulative employee count for each category is under each label (e.g., 3,356 White employees hired during these years). The average starting salary for 2022 is labelled, except for American Indian/Alaskan Natives, as there were no new hires in 2022. Starting salaries trend upward for all employees. Black employees have significantly lower starting salaries compared to White employees (shown in Table 5). Although there are significantly different salaries when comparing salaries of all fulltime White employees to all fulltime Hispanic employees and all employees of two or more races (shown previously in Table 4), those differences are not significant in starting salaries. In other words, salaries are more equal when looking at new employees, and become unequal when looking at all employees.

Table 5. Differences in Average Starting Salary of
Fulltime Employees by Race, 2022

|  | Average | \# of <br> Employees |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| White | $\$ 52,301.90$ | 543 |
| Black or African American | $\$ 46,941.18 \star$ | 349 |
| Hispanic or Latinx | $\$ 50,947.48$ | 50 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | $\$ 64,402.12$ | 23 |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | n/a | 0 |
| Two or More Races | $\$ 47,150.76$ | 44 |

This section considers similar measures we explored via race and ethnicity but now for gender. Our analyses include:


Data Limitations. The data are limited to the binary, mutually exclusive options of male or female, without more inclusive gender identities.

Gender of Nashville-Davidson Co.
At times we compare the gender of employees to the Nashville-Davidson County population, based on the 2021 American Community Survey, 1-year estimates of the U.S. Census. Shown here, the county is majority female, at 48.2\% male and 51.8\% female.


Figure 8 shows the gender breakdown of employees from 2015 to 2022. The majority of Metro employees are male (60\%), though the gap in these eight years has narrowed by about 5.6\%.

Figure 8. Gender of All Employees, 2015-2022


Figure 9 shows the gender of new fulltime employees hired each year from 2015 to 2022 (read left to right in each cluster of bars). More males than females are consistently hired each year and are overrepresented in comparison to the gender composition of the county (48.2\%, shown by the horizontal line). Female employees are underrepresented every year compared to the county population. Although males comprise $60 \%$ of the workforce compared to female's 40\% overall (Figure 8), the trend suggests new hiring is beginning to move toward greater gender parity. In 2022, males were 55\% of new hires to females being 45\%.

Figure 10. Average Salary of FT Employees by Gender, 2015-2022


Figure 11. Average FT Starting Salary by Gender, 2015-2022


Figure 10 shows average male salaries to be consistently higher than females. The bottom line shows the gender pay gap (the difference between male and female), which has slightly increased from an annual difference of \$5,300 in 2015 to $\$ 5,700$ in 2022. Figure 11 looks at the average starting salary by gender. Here the differences are much smaller, with most years being within $\$ 1,000$, and approximately $\$ 500$ difference between new hires in 2022. Together, these figures show that starting pay is roughly the same across gender, but overtime, males earn salaries that become higher than females. This is also shown in Table 6 -- a statistically significant difference in average overall salaries, but no significant difference in starting salaries.

Table 6. Salary Differences by Gender, 2022

|  | Median | Average | \# of <br> Employees | Years of <br> Employment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All fulltime males, 2022 | $\$ 65,259.19$ | $\$ 69,104.17$ | 5,506 | 12.2 |
| All fulltime females, 2022 | $\$ 56,950.75$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 3 , 4 7 3 . 1 3 *}$ | 3,283 | 10.5 |
| Males hired in 2022 | $\$ 45,044.65$ | $\$ 50,647.32$ | 553 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Females hired in 2022 | $\$ 43,646.56$ | $\$ 50,170.37$ | 456 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

Note: Asterisks and the bold font indicate statistically significant differences in the average salary between all female and all male employees, with p-value <0.01. A full statistical stable is listed in Appendix 2.

Figure 12 shows the gender breakdown of each income bracket of fulltime employees in 2022.
The top boxed bar shows the gender composition of all fulltime employees, and the parenthetical number following each income bracket is the number of employees in each category. Males are $60.6 \%$ of fulltime employees. Income brackets in which they are less than $60 \%$ indicates underrepresentation, and those where they are more than $60 \%$ indicate overrepresentation; and likewise for females (at 39.4\%). Male employees are over-represented in income brackets between $\$ 60,000$ to $\$ 124,999$. Females are over-represented in the bottom two income brackets of $\$ 30,000$ to $\$ 49,999$. The top income level is reflective of the overall workforce (that is disproportionally male) at 59.5\% male and $40.5 \%$ female.

Figure 12. Gender of each Income Bracket of Fulltime Employees, 2022


This section describes the race and gender composition of each department and salaries across departments. Specific measures include:


Figure 13 shows the number of employees in each department in 2022. The largest departments are the agencies traditionally considered as public safety - Police, Fire and Sheriff - with more than 1,000 employees each. A number of departments have fewer than 10 employees.

Figure 14 shows the racial makeup of each department and Figure 15 shows gender. The number of employees per department is noted after each department name. Departments with missions to provide social-welfare services and to serve traditionally marginalized or minority communities are among those that are staffed with higher percentages of non-White employees, including Social Services, Metro Action Commission, the Office of Family Safety, as well as the courts and Community Oversight Board. Departments with greater executive decision, regulatory and administrative powers, and traditional public safety, are among those that are majority White, including Codes, Emergency Management, Planning, Metro Council, Law, Election Commission, Internal Audit, and Police and Fire.

Figure 15 shows that a majority of departments (33 of 51) have more female employees than male. However, some of the largest departments - Fire, Water, Police, Sheriff, Public Works - are majority male.

Figure 16 shows the median salary of fulltime employees in each department. Departments whose mission focuses on social-welfare services, courts and public facilities are among the lowest paid.
 Departments with executive, regulatory and professional roles are among the highest paid.

Table 7 provides additional department salary measures, including the highest and lowest salaries in each department. Detailed department profiles, including age distribution, salary distribution, employee residence, director profiles and more are provided in Appendix 3.


Figure 14. Employee Race by Department


Figure 15. Employee Gender by Department, 2022


Figure 16. Median Salary of Fulltime Employees by Department, 2022


The table below provides additional salary measures of fulltime employees in each department, including median, average, lowest and highest salary. Red shading is applied to indicate which salaries are below the average in the given measure, and green indicates which salaries are above average. For example, the Juvenile Court Clerk (first row) salaries are below average for all four measures, whereas salaries in Human Resources (first row of all green shading) are above average in all four measures.
Table 7: Additional Department Salary Measures, 2022

| Department (\# FT Employees) | Median Salary |  | Average Salary |  | Lowest Salary |  | Highest Salary |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Juvenile Court Clerk (30) | S | 40,387 | S | 48,930 | S | 37,925 | S | 101,293 |
| Metro Action Commission (288) | S | 44,072 | \$ | 50,329 | S | 37,440 | \$ | 221,448 |
| Convention Center Auth (146) | S | 45,521 | S | 58,269 | S | 31,200 | S | 369,514 |
| Public Library (330) | S | 46,164 | S | 53,371 | S | 37,925 | S | 144,151 |
| County Clerk (70) | S | 47,606 | 5 | 52,407 | S | 38,143 | S | 108,699 |
| State Fair Board (16) | S | 49,227 | S | 58,178 | \$ | 38,013 | S | 122,985 |
| Public Works (301) | S | 49,568 | S | 58,775 | S | 38,013 | S | 233,349 |
| Clerk and Master (14) | S | 49,844 | S | 61,914 | S | 43,488 | S | 117,610 |
| Criminal Court Clerk (86) | S | 50,308 | S | 56,552 | S | 38,000 | S | 138,000 |
| Trustee (19) | S | 50,921 | S | 56,584 | \$ | 42,819 | S | 121,041 |
| Office of Family Safety (39) | S | 52,250 | S | 59,348 | S | 45,000 | S | 136,520 |
| Office of Emergency Mgmt (13) | S | 53,602 | S | 52,889 | S | 41,216 | S | 80,851 |
| Social Services (65) | S | 53,943 | S | 64,166 | S | 38,013 | \$ | 202,264 |
| Parks (364) | S | 53,943 | S | 60,026 | S | 36,702 | S | 164,545 |
| Sheriff (907) | S | 53,943 | S | 58,300 | S | 37,925 | S | 199,938 |
| Health (393) | S | 54,327 | S | 63,346 | S | 37,925 | S | 252,942 |
| Assessor of Property (74) | S | 54,657 | 5 | 64,174 | S | 40,387 | \$ | 134,547 |
| Water Services (892) | S | 55,530 | S | 62,506 | S | 38,013 | 5 | 273,051 |
| General Sessions Court (103) | S | 56,474 | S | 64,463 | S | 36,702 | S | 141,357 |
| Circuit Court Clerk (82) | S | 56,740 | S | 58,747 | S | 38,143 | S | 125,064 |
| Municipal Auditorium (8) | S | 56,844 | S | 65,219 | \$ | 43,647 | \$ | 91,490 |
| Juvenile Court (102) | S | 56,881 | S | 64,922 | S | 42,819 | S | 146,872 |
| Codes Administration (117) | S | 57,116 | S | 64,536 | S | 37,925 | S | 165,774 |
| Emergency Communications (191) | S | 57,831 | S | 59,922 | \$ | 40,024 | S | 153,334 |
| Election Commission (23) | S | 58,830 | \$ | 65,244 | S | 38,143 | \$ | 141,816 |
| General Services (100) | S | 59,153 | S | 68,500 | S | 37,925 | S | 175,681 |
| Beer Board (5) | 5 | 60,461 | S | 75,874 | S | 45,101 | S | 138,545 |
| District Attorney (92) | S | 63,683 | S | 74,631 | S | 40,024 | S | 180,724 |
| Metropolitan Clerk (7) | S | 64,086 | S | 67,505 | S | 48,436 | S | 109,623 |
| Register of Deeds (22) | S | 64,341 | S | 71,185 | \$ | 48,500 | 5 | 118,421 |
| Human Relations Commission (4) | S | 67,528 | S | 80,876 | S | 62,000 | S | 126,445 |
| Farmer's Market (6) | S | 70,000 | S | 69,195 | S | 38,013 | \$ | 101,888 |
| State Trial Courts (130) | S | 71,202 | S | 70,634 | S | 34,617 | S | 183,826 |
| Community Oversight Board (8) | S | 70,829 | S | 82,102 | S | 47,597 | S | 159,816 |
| Police (1,814) | 5 | 71,226 | 5 | 74,273 | S | 37,925 | S | 243,257 |
| Human Resources (63) | 5 | 71,671 | S | 78,804 | S | 45,101 | S | 175,046 |
| Fire ( 1,334 ) | S | 71,698 | S | 73,486 | S | 40,024 | S | 224,882 |
| Historical Commission (12) | S | 72,125 | S | 76,434 | S | 57,306 | S | 119,887 |
| Finance (111) | 5 | 73,419 | 5 | 86,239 | 5 | 43,647 | S | 227,288 |
| Arts Commission (8) | S | 74,162 | \$ | 81,505 | S | 40,024 | S | 165,000 |
| Public Defender (86) | S | 74,979 | S | 78,203 | S | 43,647 | S | 143,182 |
| Sports Authority (4) | S | 78,474 | S | 88,950 | S | 52,575 | S | 146,276 |
| Planning Commission (55) | S | 82,575 | S | 90,789 | S | 46,694 | S | 230,363 |
| Internal Audit (10) | S | 87,313 | S | 93,358 | S | 54,620 | S | 179,837 |
| Information Technology (138) | 5 | 89,587 | S | 95,153 | S | 47,597 | S | 209,076 |
| Mayor's Office (30) | S | 100,392 | S | 114,907 | S | 38,563 | 5 | 193,108 |
| Criminal Justice Planning Unit (4) | S | 100,504 | S | 103,834 | S | 67,542 | S | 146,785 |
| Metropolitan Council (10) | 5 | 101,782 | S | 97,056 | S | 50,500 | S | 198,550 |
| Justice Integration Services (20) | S | 103,491 | S | 100,649 | S | 57,895 | S | 148,294 |
| Law (53) | 5 | 107,394 | 5 | 108,483 | S | 50,921 | 5 | 211,339 |



Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) categories are standardized classifications according to the duties of a specific job. Because departments are composed of many types of roles, it is instructive to look at the race, gender and salaries within these classifications. This section includes the following:


Employee Count by EEO Category, 2022

Race of each EEO Category, 2022

Gender of each EEO Category, 2022

Average Salary of Fulltime Employees in each EEO Category, 2022

The lists below provides the most common job titles for each of the eight EEO categories in 2022. The abbreviations are those as used in the original data.

## Administrative Support

Office Support Spec, Emer
Telecommunications Off, Teacher Asst, Office
Support Rep, Admin Asst, Police Operations
Coord, Concessions Clerk, Deputy Criminal Ct
Clerk, Sheriff Prisoner Processor
37 departments have this classification
Officials and Administrators
Admin Svcs Mgr, Information Systems
Advisor, Finance Mgr, Program Mgr, Appraiser, Finance Admin, Property Stan Insp, Fire Inspector, Director
47 departments have this classification
Para-Professionals
Recreation Leader, Circulation Assistant, Library Associate, Application Tech, Library Page, PF\& Comm Engagement Adv, Paralegal 15 departments have this classification

## Professionals

Fire Captain, Technical Specialist, Admin Svcs Officer, Public Health Nurse, Police Lieutenant, DCSO Facility Officer, Program Spec, Fire District Chief, Headstart Teacher, Assistance Public Defender, Probation Officer

Protective Service Workers
Police Officer, Fire Fighter, Correctional Officer, School Crossing Guard, Police Officer Trainee, Security Officer, Judicial Asst, Fire Recruit, Warrant Officer
14 departments have this classification

## Service Maintenance

Maintenance \& Repair Worker, Equipment Operator, Seasonal Worker, Custodian, Sports official, Sanitation Worker, Bus Driver, Customer Service Field Rep 22 departments have this classification

## Skilled Craft Workers

Treatment Plant Tech, Indust Tech Master, Indust Mechanic, Treatment Plan Shift Operator, Equip Mechanic
11 departments have this classification

## Technicians

Police Sergeant, Paramedic, Fire Engineer, Advanced Emergency Med Tech, Fire
Fighter/Paramedic, Utility Maintenance Tech, Utility Field Tech, Utility Maintenance Tech Sr 13 departments have this classification

Figure 17. Employee Count by EEO Categories, 2022


Figure 17 shows the number of employees in each EEO category in 2022. The largest, Professionals, accounts for about 27\% of the workforce and has employees across 50 departments. The second largest, Protective Service Workers, are in 14 departments and account for just under $25 \%$ of employees. Technicians, Administrative Support and Service Maintenance are the next largest groups. Officials and Administrators only make up about 8.5\% of employees, but those roles are present in 47 departments.

Figures 18 and 19 compare the race and gender composition of each category. Three categories are overrepresented by both White and male employees -- Officials and Administrators, Skilled Craft Workers and Technicians. Males are over-represented in Protective Service Workers and Service Maintenance categories. Females are overrepresented in Administrative Support, ParaProfessionals, and Professionals categories. Black of African American employees are overrepresented in Administrative Support, Para-Professionals, and Service Maintenance roles. Hispanic or Latinx employees are most represented in Administrative Support, ParaProfessionals, and Skilled Craft Worker roles.

Lastly, Figure 20 compares the annual average salary of full time employees across these eight categories. Officials and Administrators significantly outpace others at $\$ 105,810$, followed by Professionals at $\$ 72,634$ and Technicians at $\$ 70,479$. Administrative Support, Para-Professionals and Service Maintenance employees have the lowest average salaries, with all three between $\$ 45,000$ and $\$ 50,000$ annually.

## Figure 18. Race of each EEO Category, 2022



## Race of All Employees



Figure 19. Gender of each EEO Category, 2022
Gender of All Employees



Figure 20. Average Salary of Fulltime Employees of EEO Category, 2022



Employees' county of residence is available from 2017-2022. We provide a county level map of the state to show a spatial representation of where employees live in the region. Only Tennessee is included, although there were employees who live out of state in each year the data are available. Other analyses label residents as "Non-Davidson County," which includes any location that is not Davidson County.

This section includes the following:


The map below shows where the 9,952 employees declare their county of residence in 2022. The darker the color, the greater number of employees live in that county. There are 5,254 employees who live in Davidson County, or just over half (53\%). The series of charts that follow look at how this has changed over time as a whole and by race and salary.

Figure 21. Employee Residence by County, 2022


1-19 employees
20-99 employees 100-249 employees 250-499 employees 500-999 employees 1000+ employees

Figure 22. Change in Davidson County Residency over Time by Race, 2017-2022

Davidson County Residents
Non-Davidson County Residents



White Employees


Hispanic Employees


Figure 22 shows trends for all employees and specifically for White, Black, and Hispanic employees. The percentage of employees who live in Davidson County has declined each year, from 59.6\% in 2017 to $52.8 \%$ in 2022. Greater percentages of Black and Hispanic employees live in the county compared to White employees, but those overall percentages are declining as well. The number of employees is noted below each year. It is beyond the scope of this analysis to determine how factors like new hiring, attrition, and/or employees who move in or out of the county interact to result in these changes.

Figure 23 shows change of fulltime employees by salary. Employees with salaries under \$50,000 live in Davidson County at higher percentages, although it is overall declining. While we do see an unusual increase in Davidson County residency from 2021 to 2022, the number of employees in this income category dropped by 500, which may explain the change in that year. In-county residency among employees who earn between $\$ 50,000-\$ 99,999$ is steadily declining, and has the highest percentages of non-Davidson County residents each year. The most dramatic change is among employees with salaries over $\$ 100,000$, down from $69.5 \%$ in-county in 2017 to $49.5 \%$ in 2022. The number of employees in this category has more than doubled.
Figure 23. Change in Davidson County Residency over Time by Salary, 2017-2022

Davidson County Residents
All Fulltime Employees


Fulltime Employees, $\mathbf{\$ 5 0}, \mathbf{0 0 0} \mathbf{\$ 9 9 , 9 9 9}$


Fulltime Employees, $\mathbf{\leq} \mathbf{\$ 5 0 , 0 0 0}$


Fulltime Employees, $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0 +}$


IncluCivics 2023 provides a detailed look at the Metro General Government workforce, inclusive of 51 departments and nearly 10,000 employees. Eight years of data show increases in the number of some gender and racial minorities over time and general increased salaries for all, but there are stark differences that show gender and racial pay inequities. We pose the following as areas as those we believe require further research, deliberation and action in order for Metro to continuously make progress toward setting an example and standard as an equitable major employer in the community.

The number of Hispanic and Latinx employees is not increasing proportionate to the city's growth. This is the fastest growing demographic in the city, yet only $3 \%$ of our employees are Hispanic or Latinx. Inquiry as to the causes -- be it issues of language access, hiring bias, work authorization, knowledge of opportunities or other factors -- should direct action toward hiring for greater representation.

Analysis of attrition and promotion may provide important insights. It is not possible to analyze employee turnover or promotion from the currently available open datasets. Analyses of employees who leave, whether voluntary or involuntary, may show patterns about certain demographic groups who have different trajectories, employment tenure and/or experiences. Data showing employee changes via movement along pay scales and/or titles and promotions are also important to capture in determining why some groups do better than others.

Data collection should be inclusive of more gender, race and ethnic identities. The gender binary of male or female is not representative of the gender identities of all employees, including transgender males, transgender females, non-binary individuals and others. Similarly, the limited options of six race/ethnicity categories are likely missing a diversity of racial identities. It is possible that we are overinflating the number of White and Black/African American employees without having more options. For example, people of Middle Eastern descent may be classified as White, or Black immigrants may be classified as a singular "Black or African American" category. Since our analysis is based on only one longitudinal dataset, we acknowledge that HR may have other data on gender and racial identity in other formats, and if so, we encourage open discussion to follow up on this. This data collection and transparency is important in making policy decisions that best support the workforce (i.e., healthcare and benefits policy, training needs, etc.) and toward monitoring Metro's commitment to representation and equity in all practices.

Fewer employees are living in Davidson County. What is the significance of this for our workforce and city? Driven by possible combinations of increased costs of living, displacement, flexible work accommodations, hiring practices and individual choices to leave the county, employees are living in nearby counties at higher percentages. As the rise of living outside Davidson County continues, what impacts might this have on employees and Metro services?

Metro's leaders and policy makers have made commitments to diversity, equity and inclusion in everything we do. We hope this IncluCivics update, these areas for inquiry and future conversations help continuously move policy, programmatic and other actions toward improving the working conditions and diversity of our workforce.

Appendices: 1) Elected officials, 2) Statistical tables, 3) Detailed department profiles

## Appendix 1: Elected Officials Excluded from Analyses

The following Metro employees who hold elected office were excluded from this report, given they were elected through a democratic voting process and not hired through traditional hiring practices. The departments, titles and a simple demographic table are below.

| Department |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Assessor of Property | Assessor of Property |
| Circuit Court Clerk | Circuit Court Clerk |
| Clerk and Master | Clerk and Master |
| County Clerk | County Court Clerk |
| Criminal Court Clerk | Criminal Court Clerk |
| District Attorney | District Attorney General |
| General Sessions Court | General Sessions Judge |
| Juvenile Court | Juvenile Court Judge |
| Juvenile Court Clerk | Juvenile Court Clerk |
| Mayor's Office | Mayor |
| Metropolitan Council | Council Member <br>  <br> Public Defender Mayor <br> Register of Deeds Public Defender |
| Sheriff | Register |
| Trustee | Sheriff |


| Elected Officials (n=64) | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Race |  |  |
| White | 38 | 59.4 |
| Black or African American | 23 | 35.9 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 2 | 3.1 |
| Two or more races | 1 | 1.6 |
| Gender |  |  |
| Male | 32 | 50 |
| Female | 32 | 50 |
| Age |  |  |
| 30-34 years | 2 | 3.1 |
| $35-39$ years | 4 | 6.3 |
| 40-44 years | 7 | 10.9 |
| $45-49$ years | 8 | 12.5 |
| 50-54 years | 11 | 17.2 |
| 55-59 years | 11 | 17.2 |
| 60-64 years | 6 | 9.4 |
| 70-69 years | 11 | 17.2 |
| 75-74 years | 3 | 4.7 |
| years | 1 | 1.6 |

## Appendix 2: Statistical Tables

## Differences in Salaries of All Fulltime Employees by Race, 2022

| t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Equal Variances |
| :--- |
|   Black or African <br> American <br> Mean 70703.73401 59546.80069 <br> Variance 630984119.1 512579894.6 <br> Observations 5670 2486 <br> Pooled Variance 594899437  <br> Hypothesized Mean Difference 0  <br> df 8154  <br> t Stat 19.01632284  <br> P(T<=t) one-tail $3.13701 \mathrm{E}-79$  <br> t Critical one-tail 1.645040522  <br> $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ two-tail $6.27402 \mathrm{E}-79$  <br> t Critical two-tail 1.96025496  |

t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Equal Variances

|  | White | Asian or Pacific <br> Islander |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean | 70703.73401 | 69266.2401 |
| Variance | 630984119.1 | 592341532.9 |
| Observations | 5670 | 101 |
| Pooled Variance | 630314287.5 |  |
| Hypothesized Mean Difference | 0 |  |
| df | 5769 |  |
| t Stat | 0.570366642 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ one-tail | 0.284225631 |  |
| t Critical one-tail | 1.6451178 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ two-tail | 0.568451262 |  |
| t Critical two-tail | 1.960375279 |  |

t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Equal Variances

|  | White | Hispanic or Latino |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean | 70703.73401 | 58588.59197 |
| Variance | 630984119.1 | 355351742.9 |
| Observations | 5670 | 295 |
| Pooled Variance | 617394328.9 |  |
| Hypothesized Mean Difference | 0 |  |
| df | 5963 |  |
| t Stat | 8.164780353 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ one-tail | $1.94792 \mathrm{E}-16$ |  |
| t Critical one-tail | 1.645109204 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ two-tail | $3.89583 \mathrm{E}-16$ |  |
| t Critical two-tail | 1.960361896 |  |

t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Equal Variances

|  | White | Two or More Races |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean | 70703.73401 | 66010.74706 |
| Variance | 630984119.1 | 398901616.5 |
| Observations | 5670 | 221 |
| Pooled Variance | 622314030.7 |  |
| Hypothesized Mean Difference | 0 |  |
| df | 5889 |  |
| t Stat | 2.743706837 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ one-tail | 0.003046685 |  |
| t Critical one-tail | 1.645112416 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ two-tail | 0.006093369 |  |
| t Critical two-tail | 1.960366897 |  |

t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Equal Variances

|  |  | American Indian or <br> Alaskan Native |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean | White | 70703.73401 |
| 67401.11313 |  |  |
| Variance | 630984119.1 | 156401095.9 |
| Observations | 5670 | 16 |
| Pooled Variance | 629731700.8 |  |
| Hypothesized Mean Difference | 0 |  |
| df | 5684 |  |
| t Stat | 0.52568918 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ one-tail | 0.299562345 |  |
| t Critical one-tail | 1.645121751 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ two-tail | 0.59912469 |  |
| t Critical two-tail | 1.960381431 |  |

Differences in Starting Salaries of All Fulltime Employees by Race, 2022

| t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Equal Variances |
| :--- |
|  White Black or African <br> American <br> Mean 52301.8992 46941.17808 <br> Variance 365343768 251645011.6 <br> Observations 543 349 <br> Pooled Variance 320886277  <br> Hypothesized Mean Difference 0  <br> df 890  <br> t Stat 4.36192149  <br> $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ one-tail $7.2004 \mathrm{E}-06$  <br> t Critical one-tail 1.64656752  <br> $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ two-tail $1.4401 \mathrm{E}-05$  <br> t Critical two-tail 1.96263302  |

t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Equal Variances

|  | White | Hispanic or Latino |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean | 52301.8992 | 50947.4832 |
| Variance | 365343768 | 139267367.9 |
| Observations | 543 | 50 |
| Pooled Variance | 346599701 |  |
| Hypothesized Mean Difference | 0 |  |
| df | 591 |  |
| t Stat | 0.49226114 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ one-tail | 0.31135878 |  |
| t Critical one-tail | 1.64743599 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ two-tail | 0.62271755 |  |
| t Critical two-tail | 1.96398607 |  |

t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Unequal Variances

|  | White | Asian or Pacific <br> Islander |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean | 52301.8992 | 64402.1287 |
| Variance | 365343768 | 881972988.3 |
| Observations | 543 | 23 |
| Hypothesized Mean Difference | 0 |  |
| df | 23 |  |
| t Stat | -1.93710378 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ one-tail | 0.03255498 |  |
| t Critical one-tail | 1.71387153 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ two-tail | 0.06510996 |  |
| t Critical two-tail | 2.06865761 |  |


| t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Equal Variances |
| :--- |$|$|  | White | Two or More Races |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean | 52301.8992 | 47150.75886 |
| Variance | 365343768 | 68776621.3 |
| Observations | 543 | 44 |
| Pooled Variance | 343544816 |  |
| Hypothesized Mean Difference | 0 |  |
| df | 585 |  |
| t Stat | 1.77304144 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ one-tail | 0.03837105 |  |
| t Critical one-tail | 1.64746252 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ two-tail | 0.07674211 |  |
| t Critical two-tail | 1.96402741 |  |

Differences in Salaries of all Fulltime Employees by Gender, 2022
t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Equal Variances

|  | Males, all | Females, all |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean | 69104.16951 | 63473.12788 |
| Variance | 581214449.9 | 632939640.3 |
| Observations | 5506 | 3283 |
| Pooled Variance | 600534135.2 |  |
| Hypothesized Mean Difference | 0 |  |
| df | 8787 |  |
| t Stat | 10.42086337 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ one-tail | $1.39734 \mathrm{E}-25$ |  |
| t Critical one-tail | 1.645027057 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ two-tail | $2.79468 \mathrm{E}-25$ |  |
| t Critical two-tail | 1.960233996 |  |

t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Equal Variances

|  | Males, Starting <br> Salary | Females, <br> Starting Salary |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean | 50647.32354 | 50170.37064 |
| Variance | 340421571.3 | 303145922.6 |
| Observations | 553 | 456 |
| Pooled Variance | 323579048.8 |  |
| Hypothesized Mean Difference | 0 |  |
| df | 1007 |  |
| t Stat | 0.419164707 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ one-tail | 0.33759258 |  |
| t Critical one-tail | 1.646368205 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<=\mathrm{t})$ two-tail | 0.675185159 |  |
| t Critical two-tail | 1.962322551 |  |

## Appendix 3: Department Profiles

The remaining pages provide individual profiles for each department in 2022. They include the following:

- Number and percent of male and female employees
- Average years of employment for fulltime employees by gender
- Race of employees
- Director profile including: title, race, gender, age range, years of employment and annual salary. Directors are identified by employees with DP ("Director Pay") on the pay scale and/or an Executive Director title.
- Number of employees by status (full time, part time, seasonal, and/or pool)
- Age distribution by gender
- Salary distribution
- County of residence map


## AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION, 2022

| $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ Male (2) |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ Female (3) |
| $\mathbf{7 . 5}$Average years of <br> employment <br> fulltime malle |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$Average years of <br> employment, <br> fulltime female |



## Salary Distribution

A salary distribution is not provided for Agricultural Extension employees because all salaries in this department are significant subsidized be a state agency, and therefore do not fit these analyses for comparative purposes.

Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence

ARTS COMMISSION, 2022

| $\mathbf{4 4 \%}$ Male (4) |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{5 6 \%}$ Female (5) |
| $\mathbf{8 . 3}$ | | Average years of |
| :--- |
| employment, |
| fulltime male |, | Average years of |
| :--- |
| employment, |
| fulltime female |

## Director

- Executive Director
- Asian/Pacific Islander
- Male
- Age: 50-54

| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 8 |
| Part Time | 1 |
| TOTAL | 9 |

- Years of Employment: 1
- Salary: $\$ 165,000$

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


## County Residence



## ASSESSOR OF PROPERTY, 2022

| $\frac{53 \% \text { Male (48) }}{47 \% \text { Female (42) }}$ |
| :--- |
| $\frac{10.9}{}$Average years of <br> employment of <br> fultime male |
| 11.8Average years of <br> employment, <br> fultime female |


| Status | $\#$ of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 74 |
| Part Time | 6 |
| Seasonal | 10 |
| TOTAL | 90 |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


BEER BOARD, 2022

| $\mathbf{8 3 \%}$ Male (5) |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ Female (1) |
| $\mathbf{9 . 3}$Average years of <br> employment. <br> fulltime male |
| $\mathbf{5}$Average years of <br> employment, <br> fultime female |



## Director

- Executive Director
- White
- Male
- Age: 40-44
- Years of

| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 5 |
| Part Time | 1 |
| TOTAL | 6 |

Employment: 6

- Salary: $\$ 138,545$

Salary Distribution, FT Employees
 count is fewer than six data points.

## CIRCUIT COURT CLERK, 2022

| $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ Male (27) |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{6 7 \%}$ Female (55) |
| $\mathbf{1 6 . 5}$Average years of <br> employment, <br> fulltime male |
| Average years of <br> employment, <br> fulltime female |

Hispanic/Latinx Asian/Pacific Islander


| Director |
| :--- |
| Elected Official |
| • Circuit Court |
| Clerk |
| 2 or more races |
| : Male |
| Age: 44-49 |
| - Years of |
| Employment: 15 |
| - Salary: $\$ 172,530$ |


| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 82 |
| TOTAL | 82 |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence

$\frac{14 \% \text { Male (2) }}{\mathbf{8 6 \%} \text { Female (12) }}$


## Director

Elected Official

- Clerk and Master
- White
- Female
- Age: 60-64
- Years of

Employment: 8

- Salary: $\$ 157,573$

| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 14 |
| TOTAL | 14 |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


## CODES ADMINISTRATION, 2022

| $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ 10 Male (84) |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 9 . 7}$Average years of <br> employment, <br> fulltime male |
| $\mathbf{1 1 . 4 5 )}$Average years of <br> employment, <br> fulltime female |



Salary Distribution, FT Employees


## COMMUNITY OVERSIGHT BOARD, 2022

| $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ Male (3) |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{6 3 \%}$ Female (5) |
| $\mathbf{6 . 4}$Average years of <br> employment, <br> fulltime male |
| Average years of <br> employment, <br> fulltime female |

Asian/Pacific
Islander
Black/
African
American

Salary Distribution, FT Employees

160,000


County Residence


## CONVENTION CENTER AUTHORITY, 2022

$\frac{\mathbf{6 4 \%} \text { Male (94) }}{\mathbf{3 6 \%} \text { Female (54) }}$

Asian/Pacific Islander


## Director

- President/CEO
- White
- Male
- Age: 60-64
- Years of Employment: 18

| Status | $\#$ of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 146 |
| Part Time | 2 |
| TOTAL | 148 |

- Salary: $\$ 369,513$



Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


## COUNTY CLERK, 2022

31\% Male (26)

69\% Female (57)
6.3

Average years of employment, fulltime male
11.8

Average years of employment, fulltime female


## Director

Elected Official

- Clerk and Master
- Black/African American
- Female
- Age: 65-69
- Years of Employment: 11
- Salary: \$157,573

| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 70 |
| Part Time | 12 |
| Seasonal | 1 |
| TOTAL | 83 |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


CRIMINAL COURT CLERK, 2022
$\frac{41 \% \text { Male (35) }}{\text { M9\% }}$ Female (51)

$\frac{10.6}{$|  Average years of  |
| :---: |
|  employment  |
|  fultime male  |}

13.1 | Average years of |
| :---: |
| employment, of |
| fultime female |



## Director

Elected Official

- Criminal Court Clerk
- Black/African American
- Male
- Age: 70-74
- Years of Employment: 12
- Salary: $\$ 172,530$

| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 86 |
| TOTAL | 86 |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


## CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING UNIT, 2022



Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


DISTRICT ATTORNEY, 2022

| $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ Male (35) |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{6 4 \%}$ Female (63) |
| $\mathbf{1 1 . 1}$Average years of <br> employment <br> fultime male |
| Average years of <br> employments <br> fultime female |

## Asian/Pacific Islander



| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 92 |
| Part Time | 6 |
| TOTAL | 98 |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


County Residence


## ELECTION COMMISSION, 2022

| 33\% Male (10) |  | Director | Status | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 67\% Female (20) |  | - Admin of Elections <br> - White <br> - Male | Full Time Part Time | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ |
| 9.5 Average years of employment, fulltime male |  | - Age: 65-69 <br> - Years of Employment: 7 | TOTAL | 30 |
| Average years of employment, fulltime female |  | - Salary: $\$ 141,815$ |  |  |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS, 2022
$\frac{\mathbf{2 8 \%} \text { Male (54) }}{\mathbf{7 2 \%} \text { Female (137) }}$


## Director

- Emergency Communications Director
- White

| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 191 |
| TOTAL | 191 |

- Male
- Age: 40-44
- Years of

Employment: 3

- Salary: $\$ 153,333$



County Residence


| $\mathbf{6 7 \%}$ Male (4) |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ Female (2) |
| $\mathbf{2}$Average years of <br> employment, <br> fulltime male |
| Average years of <br> employment, <br> fulltime female |



## Director

- Director of Farmer's Market
- White
- Male

| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 6 |
| TOTAL | 6 |

- Age: 60-64
- Years of Employment: 1
- Salary: $\$ 101,887$

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


FINANCE, 2022


Director

- Finance Director
- White
- Female
- Age: 45-49
- Years of Employment: 2

| Status | $\#$ of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 111 |
| Part Time | 4 |
| TOTAL | 115 |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


FIRE, 2022



Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


## GENERAL SERVICES, 2022



Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


## County Residence



## GENERAL SESSIONS COURT, 2022



Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


HEALTH, 2022


Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


## HISTORICAL COMMISSION, 2022

|  | Black/ African American |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36\% Male (5) |  | Director | Status | \# of Employees |
| 64\% Female (9) |  | - Executive Director <br> - White | Full Time Part Time | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| 15.3 Average years of employment, fulltime male |  | - Age: 60-64 <br> - Years of Employment: 27 | TOTAL | 14 |
| 9.1 Average years of employment, fulltime female | White | - Salary: $\$ 119,886$ |  |  |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees

spread, focused on proximity to the middle. Outliers are points on the high or low end that are outside what would be considered "normal."


Age Distribution by Gender


## HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION, 2022

| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ Male (2) |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ Female (2) |
| $\mathbf{5 . 5}$Average years of <br> employment <br> fulltime male |
| Average years of <br> employment, <br> fultime female |



Director

- Human Relations Director
- Black/African American
- Male
- Age: 60-64
- Years of Employment: 1
- Salary: $\$ 126,445$

| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 4 |
| TOTAL | 4 |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


Number of Employees
County Residence


## HUMAN RESOURCES, 2022



Salary Distribution, FT Employees

*Box plots are used to visualize how data points are spread, focused on proximity to the middle. Outliers are points on the high or low end that are outside what would be considered "normal."

## Age Distribution by Gender



County Residence


## INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICES, 2022

| $\mathbf{7 3 \%}$ Male (101) |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ Female (37) |
| $\mathbf{1 4 . 5}$Average years of <br> employment, <br> fulltime male |
| Average years of <br> employment, <br> fulltime female |



## Director

- Chief Info Officer
- White
- Male
- Age: 55-59

| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 138 |
| TOTAL | 138 |

- Years of

Employment: 14

- Salary: \$209,075

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


## INTERNAL AUDIT, 2022

|  |  | Director |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40\% Male (4) |  |  | Status | \# of Employees |
| 60\% Female (6) |  | - Metropolitan Auditor | Full Time | 10 |
|  |  | - Female | TOTAL | 10 |
| 11 Average years of employment, fulltime male |  | - Age: 40-44 <br> - Years of Employment: 5 |  |  |
| 5.3 Average years of employment, fulltime female |  | - Salary: \$179,837 |  |  |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


## JUSTICE INTEGRATION SERVICES, 2022

76\% Male (16)

## 24\% Female (5)

14.1

Average years of employment, fulltime male
20.5 Average years of employment, fulltime female


## Director

- Justice Info Systems Director
- White
- Female
- Age: 50-54
- Years of Employment: 22
- Salary: $\$ 148,294$

| Status | $\#$ of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 20 |
| Part Time | 1 |
| TOTAL | 21 |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees

$\$ 50,000{ }^{*}$ Box plots are used to visualize how data points are spread, focused on proximity to the middle. Outliers are points on the high or low end that are outside what would be considered "normal."

## Age Distribution by Gender



County Residence


## JUVENILE COURT, 2022

| $\frac{\mathbf{3 6 \%}}{\mathbf{6 4 \%} \text { Male (37) }}$ Female (67) |
| :--- |
| $\frac{13.8}{$ Average years of  <br>  employment  <br>  fultime male } |
| 13.9Average years of <br> employment, <br> fulltime female |




| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 102 |
| Part Time | 2 |
| TOTAL | 104 |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


## JUVENILE COURT CLERK, 2022

| 27\% Male (8) | 2+ races <br> Hispanic/ Latino | Director |  | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Status |  |
| 73\% Female (22) |  | - Juvenile Court <br> Clerk | Full Time | 30 |
|  |  | - Black/African American | TOTAL | 30 |
| 12.8 <br> Average years of employment, fulltime male | African <br> American | - Male <br> - Age: $40-44$ |  |  |
| 12.3 Average years of employment, fulltime female | - | - Years of Employment: 8 <br> - Salary: $\$ 157,573$ |  |  |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


LAW, 2022

| 36\% Male (19) | Hispanic/Latinx ${ }^{2+}$ races |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | Director | Status | \# of Employees |
| 64\% Female (34) |  | - Metropolitan Attorney | Full Time | 53 |
|  |  | - Male | TOTAL | 53 |
| 9.9 <br> Average years of employment, fulltime male |  | - Age: 65-69 <br> - Years of Employment: 2 |  |  |
| 9.3 <br> Average years of employment, fulltime female |  | - Salary: \$211,339 |  |  |

Age Distribution by Gender


*Box plots are used to visualize how data points are spread, focused on proximity to the middle. Outliers are points on the high or low end that are outside what would be considered "normal."

MAYOR'S OFFICE, 2022


$|$| Director |
| :--- |
| Elected Official |
| •Mayor |
| : White |
| : Agee: $65-69$ |
| - Years of |
| Employment: 4 |
| - Salary: $\$ 180,000$ |


| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 30 |
| Part Time | 3 |
| TOTAL | 33 |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


METRO ACTION COMMISSION, 2022


Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


## METROPOLITAN CLERK, 2022

| $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ Male (3) |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{6 3 \%}$ Female (5) |
| $\mathbf{4 . 7}$Average years of <br> employment, <br> fulltime male |
| Average years of <br> employment, <br> fulltime female |



## Director

- Metropolitan Clerk
- White
- Male
- Age: 40-44
- Years of Employment: 11
- Salary: \$109,623

| Status | $\#$ of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 7 |
| Part Time | 1 |
| TOTAL | 8 |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


## County Residence



METROPOLITAN COUNCIL, 2022

| 27\% Male (3) |  | Director |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Status | \# of Employees |
| 73\% Female (8) |  | - Director/Special Council <br> - White <br> - Female | Full Time Part Time | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3.7 Average years of employment, fulltime male |  | - Age: 50-54 <br> - Years of Employment: 22 | TOTAL | 11 |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees

$\$ 40,000$ ]
*Box plots are used to visualize how data points are spread, focused on proximity to the middle. Outliers are points on the high or low end that are outside what would be considered "normal."

Age Distribution by Gender


## County Residence



## MUNICIPAL AUDITORIUM, 2022

$\frac{\mathbf{7 5 \%}}{\mathbf{2 5 \%} \text { Male (6) }}$ Female (2)

$\frac{\mathbf{4 . 3}$|  Average years of  |
| :--- |
|  employment  |
|  fultime male  |}{10.5} | Average years of |
| :--- |
| employment, of |
| fultime female |



## Director

It is unclear from the data which employee serves in a Director role.

| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 8 |
| TOTAL | 8 |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees

*Box plots are used to visualize how data points are spread, focused on proximity to the middle. Outliers are points on the high or low end that are outside what would be considered "normal."

## OFFICE OF EMEREGNCY MANAGEMENT, 2022

| $\frac{\mathbf{7 3} \% \text { Male (11) }}{\mathbf{2 7 \%} \text { Female (4) }}$ |
| :--- |
| $\frac{\mathbf{7 . 7}}{\substack{\text { Average years of } \\ \text { employment } \\ \text { fultime male }}}$ |
| 13.5Average years of <br> employment, of <br> fultime female |


| Status | $\#$ of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 13 |
| Part Time | 2 |
| TOTAL | 15 |

- Black/African American
- Male
- Age: 55-59
- Years of Employment: 28
- Salary: $\$ 224,882$

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


| $\mathbf{8 \%} \%$ Male (3) |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{9 2 \%}$ Female (36) |
| $\mathbf{3 . 3}$Average years of <br> employment, <br> fulltime male |
| $\mathbf{4 . 1}$Average years of <br> employment, <br> fultime female |

Asian/Pacific Islander


## Director

- Director
- White
- Female
- Age: 55-59
- Years of

Employment: 9

- Salary: $\$ 136,519$

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


## PARKS DEPARTMENT, 2022



## Director



- Parks \& Recreation Dir
- Black/African American
- Female
- Age: 50-54
- Years of Employment: 21
- Salary: $\$ 164,545$

| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :--- | :---: |
| Full Time | 364 |
| Part Time | 127 |
| Pool | 161 |
| Seasonal | 194 |
| TOTAL | 846 |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees
 arde. Outiers what would be considered "normal."

Age Distribution by Gender


County of Residence


## PLANNING COMMISSION, 2022

| 46\% Male (26) |  | Director |  | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Status |  |
| 54\% Female (30) |  | - Executive Director <br> - White <br> - Female | Full Time Part Time <br> Part Time | 55 <br> 1 |
| 7.1 Average years of employment, fulltime male |  | - Age: 45-49 <br> - Years of Employment: 7 | TOTAL | 56 |
| 7 Average years of employment, fulltime female |  | Salary: \$210,257 |  |  |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


POLICE, 2022


Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


## PUBLIC DEFENDER, 2022

| 30\% Male (27) |  | Director <br> Elected Official <br> - Public Defender <br> - Black/African American <br> - Female <br> - Age: 40-44 <br> - Years of Employment: 14 <br> - Salary: $\$ 202,130$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Status | \# of Employees |
| 70\% Female (63) |  |  | Full Time Part Time | 86 <br> 4 |
| 8 Average years of employment, fulltime male |  |  | TOTAL | 90 |
| 9.3 Average years of employment, fulltime female |  |  |  |  |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence



## Director

- Library Services Director
- White
- Female
- Age: 65-69
- Years of

Employment: 4

- Salary: \$144,151

| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :--- | :---: |
| Full Time | 330 |
| Part Time | 23 |
| TOTAL | 353 |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


## PUBLIC WORKS, 2022

|  |  | Director |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 88\% Male (266) |  |  | Status | \# of Employees |
| 13\% Female (38) |  | - Director <br> - White <br> - Female <br> - Age: 55-59 | Full Time <br> Part Time | $\begin{gathered} 301 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |
| 12.5 <br> Average years of employment, fulltime male |  | - Years of Employment: 1 <br> - Salary: $\$ 233,348$ | TOTAL | 304 |
| 9.5 Average years of employment, fulltime female |  |  |  |  |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


REGISTER OF DEEDS, 2022


Salary Distribution, FT Employees

spread, focused on proximity to the middle. Outliers are points on the high or low end that are outside what would be considered "normal."

Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


SHERIFF, 2022


Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


SOCIAL SERVICES, 2022


Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


## SPORTS AUTHORITY, 2022

$\frac{\mathbf{4 0 \%}}{\mathbf{6 0 \%} \text { Male (2) }}$ Female (3)


## Director

- Executive Director
- Black/African American
- Female
- Age: 45-49

| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 4 |
| Part Time | 1 |
| TOTAL | 5 |

- Years of Employment: 21
- Salary: $\$ 146,275$

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


## STATE FAIR BOARD, 2022

40\%
60\% Female (37)
12.4 Average years of employment, fulltime male
5.4

Average years of employment, fulltime female


## Director

- Fair Director
- White
- Female
- Age: 50-54
- Years of Employment: 7
- Salary: $\$ 122,985$

| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 16 |
| Part Time | 24 |
| Seasonal | 22 |
| TOTAL | 62 |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence



Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence

12.7 Average years of employment, fulltime female
Hispanic/Latinx

| $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ Male (8) |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{5 4 . 8}$ Female (14) |
| $\mathbf{l}$Average years of <br> employment, <br> fulltime male |
| $\mathbf{1 2 . 7}$Average years of <br> employment, <br> fulltime female |

## Director

Elected Official

- Trustee
- Black/African American
- Female
- Age: $45-49$
- Years of Employment: 3
- Salary: $\$ 157,573$

| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 19 |
| Part Time | 2 |
| Seasonal | 1 |
| TOTAL | 22 |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


## WATER SERVICES, 2022



## Director

- Water Services Director
- White
- Male
- Age: 55-59
- Years of Employment: 22
- Salary: \$273,050

| Status | \# of <br> Employees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Full Time | 892 |
| Part Time | 10 |
| TOTAL | 902 |

Salary Distribution, FT Employees


Age Distribution by Gender


County Residence


