RE: George Brandt 128 VOSSLAND DR Map Parcel: Zoning Classification:

12904001300 RS20

Council District: 23

Appeal Case 2023-137

ORDER

This matter came to be heard in public hearing on 12/7/2023, before the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals, upon application for a variance in street setback requirements to apply the 90' platted setback instead of the 113' calculated contextual setback. The appellant is seeking to construct a detached garage.

Based upon the entire record as recorded on the video recording and contained in the file, from all of which the Board finds that:

- (1) Proper legal and written notice of the public hearing had been complied with as set forth in Section 17.40.720 of the Metropolitan Code.
- (2) The appellant sought this permit under Section 17.40.180 B of the Metropolitan Code.
- (3) The appellant HAS satisfied all of the standards for a variance under Section 17.40.370 of the Metropolitan Code.

It is therefore, ORDERED by the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals that the appellant's request shall be GRANTED.

UPON MOTION BY: Mr. Bradford

Seconded By: Mr. Lawless

Result: (7-0)

Ayes: Members Bradford, Cole, Davis, Karpynec, Lawless, Pepper, and Ransom Nays:

Abstaining:

Absent:

Scott McAnally

RE:

Appeal Case 2023-138

5501 MEADOWCREST LNMap Parcel:10Zoning Classification:RS

10307005600 RS7.5

Council District: 24

<u>ORDER</u>

This matter came to be heard in public hearing on 12/7/2023, before the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals, upon application for variances to reduce the side street/garage (15') and rear setback (16') requirements. The appellant is seeking to construct an addition to the existing home.

Based upon the entire record as recorded on the video recording and contained in the file, from all of which the Board finds that:

- (1) Proper legal and written notice of the public hearing had been complied with as set forth in Section 17.40.720 of the Metropolitan Code.
- (2) The appellant sought this permit under Section 17.40.180 B of the Metropolitan Code.
- (3) The appellant HAS satisfied all of the standards for a variance under Section 17.40.370 of the Metropolitan Code.

It is therefore, ORDERED by the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals that the appellant's request shall be GRANTED.

UPON MOTION BY: Mr. Bradford

Seconded By: Mr. Lawless

Result: (7-0)

Ayes: Members Bradford, Cole, Davis, Karpynec, Lawless, Pepper, and Ransom Nays: Abstaining:

Absent:

RE:	Renewed by You, Inc. 3598, 3600 CLARKSVILLE PIKE		Appeal Case 2023-139	
	Map Parcel:	06912006600		
	Zoning Classification:	OR20 & R10/OV-CDO	Council District:	2

ORDER

This matter came to be heard in public hearing on 12/7/2023, before the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals, upon application for a special exception. The appellant is seeking to construct a new daycare for up to 75 children.

Based upon the entire record as recorded on the video recording and contained in the file, from all of which the Board finds that:

- (1) Proper legal and written notice of the public hearing had been complied with as set forth in Section 17.40.720 of the Metropolitan Code.
- (2) The appellant sought this permit under Section 17.40.180 C of the Metropolitan Code.
- (3) The appellant HAS satisfied all of the conditions for a special exception under Section 17.16.150 and Section 17.16.170 C.

It is therefore, ORDERED by the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals that the appellant's request shall be GRANTED.

UPON MOTION BY: Mr. Bradford

Seconded By: Mr. Lawless

Result: (7-0)

Ayes: Members Bradford, Cole, Davis, Karpynec, Lawless, Pepper, and Ransom Nays:

Abstaining:

Absent:

RE: Yating Hu

Appeal Case 2023-141

Map Parcel: Zoning Classification:

806 CHEROKEE AVE

07112034200 RM15-A-NS

Council District: 5

ORDER

This matter came to be heard in public hearing on 12/7/2023, before the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals, upon application for variances to reduce side setbacks (to 5') and driveway width (to 15'). The appellant is seeking to construct four dwelling units.

Based upon the entire record as recorded on the video recording and contained in the file, from all of which the Board finds that:

- (1) Proper legal and written notice of the public hearing had been complied with as set forth in Section 17.40.720 of the Metropolitan Code.
- (2) The appellant sought this permit under Section 17.40.180 B of the Metropolitan Code.
- (3) The appellant has failed to establish a hardship which justifies the request.

It is therefore, ORDERED by the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals that the appellant's request shall be DENIED.

UPON MOTION to DENY by Mr. Pepper

Seconded By: Mr. Lawless

Result: (4-2) Ayes: Members Cole, Lawless, Pepper, and Ransom Nays: Members Bradford and Karpynec Abstaining: Ms. Davis Absent:

RE: Adam Guth & Khrystyne Baltodano Appeal Case 2023-143 4701 LOVEWOOD DR Map Parcel: 06108008700 Zoning Classification: RS10 Council District: 7

<u>ORDER</u>

This matter came to be heard in public hearing on 12/7/2023, before the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals, upon application for a variance in setback and visibility requirements. The appellant is seeking to allow for a 48' enclosed fence around the perimeter of the front yard.

Based upon the entire record as recorded on the video recording and contained in the file, from all of which the Board finds that:

- (1) Proper legal and written notice of the public hearing had been complied with as set forth in Section 17.40.720 of the Metropolitan Code.
- (2) The appellant sought this permit under Section 17.40.180 B of the Metropolitan Code.
- (3) The appellant failed to establish a hardship which would justify the request, and safety concerns were substantiated by testimony and comments submitted by neighbors, the Council Member, and NDOT due to visibility obstruction caused by the height, enclosure rate, and location of the fence.

It is therefore, ORDERED by the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals that the appellant's request shall be DENIED.

UPON MOTION to DENY by Ms. Karpynec

Seconded By: Mr. Cole

Result: (5-2) Ayes: Members Bradford, Cole, Karpynec, Pepper, and Ransom Nays: Members Davis and Lawless Abstaining: Absent:

Martin Quinn

RE:

Appeal Case 2023-144

911 WINSTON PL Map Parcel:

Zoning Classification:

11814001400 RS10/OV-COD

Council District: 25

ORDER

This matter came to be heard in public hearing on 12/7/2023, before the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals, upon application for a variance in setback requirements from 10' to 0.5'. The appellant is seeking to allow the use of a storage building shed.

Based upon the entire record as recorded on the video recording and contained in the file, from all of which the Board finds that:

- (1) Proper legal and written notice of the public hearing had been complied with as set forth in Section 17.40.720 of the Metropolitan Code.
- (2) The appellant sought this permit under Section 17.40.180 B of the Metropolitan Code.
- (3) The appellant HAS satisfied all of the standards for a variance under Section 17.40.370 of the Metropolitan Code.

It is therefore, ORDERED by the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals that the appellant's request shall be GRANTED.

UPON MOTION BY: Mr. Bradford

Seconded By: Mr. Lawless

Result: (7-0)

Ayes: Members Bradford, Cole, Davis, Karpynec, Lawless, Pepper, and Ransom Nays: Abstaining:

Absent:

Dean Patel

RE:

Appeal Case 2023-145

12

631 (and unnumbered) OLD LEBANON DIRT RDMap Parcel:08600022800 & 08600004200Zoning Classification:RS15Council District:

ORDER

This matter came to be heard in public hearing on 12/7/2023, before the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals, upon application for a special exception. The appellant is seeking to construct a cultural center.

Based upon the entire record as recorded on the video recording and contained in the file, from all of which the Board finds that:

- (1) Proper legal and written notice of the public hearing had been complied with as set forth in Section 17.40.720 of the Metropolitan Code.
- (2) The appellant sought this permit under Section 17.40.180 C of the Metropolitan Code.
- (3) The appellant HAS satisfied all of the conditions for a special exception under Section 17.16.150 and Section 17.16.170 B.

It is therefore, ORDERED by the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals that the appellant's request shall be GRANTED, subject to the following conditions: subject to NDOT's approval of supplemental traffic study.

UPON MOTION BY: Mr. Bradford

Seconded By: Mr. Lawless

Result: (7-0) Ayes: Members Bradford, Cole, Davis, Karpynec, Lawless, Pepper, and Ransom Nays: Abstaining: Absent: