

#### **Notice of Intent to Award**

Solicitation Number	393385	Award Date	10/3/2025   12:54 PM CDT
Solicitation Title	IDIQ for A&E Services for ND	ОТ	
Buyer Name	Daniel Drumwright	Buyer Email	daniel.drumwright@nashville.gov
BAO Rep	Sierra Washington	BAO Email	sierra.washington@nashville.gov

#### Awarded Supplier(s)

In reference to the above solicitation and contingent upon successful contract negotiation, it is the intent of the Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County to award to the following suppliers:

- AECOM Technical Services, Inc
- Alfred Benesch & Company
- Alta Planning + Design, Inc
- Arcadis US Inc
- Barge Design Solutions, Inc
- Borra Consulting
- CDM Smith Inc
- Collier Engineering Co. Inc
- Consor North America, In
- Crawford, Murphy & Tilly Inc (CMT)
- Gresham Smith
- GRW Engineers Inc
- H.W. Lochner, Inc
- HDR Engineering Inc.
- Jacobs Engineering Group Inc

- Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson Inc
- KCI Technologies. Inc
- Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
- Lamar Dunn & Associates, Inc. dba LDA Engineering
- Lose & Associates Inc dba Lose Design
- Neel-Schaffer, Inc
- Nelson Nygaard Consulting Associates Inc
- Orchard, Hiltz & McCliment, Inc. dba OHM Advisors
- Parsons Transportation Group, Inc
- Ragan-Smith-Associates LLC
- Smith Seckman Reid Inc
- Stantec Consulting Services Inc
- Tanisha J. Hall dba Fairpointe Planning, LLC
- Volkert Inc
- WSP USA Inc

#### **Certificate of Insurance**

The awarded supplier(s) must submit a certificate of insurance (COI) indicating all applicable coverage required by the referenced solicitation. The COI should be emailed to the referenced buyer no more than 15 days after the referenced award date.

#### **Equal Business Opportunity Program**

Where applicable, the awarded supplier(s) must submit a signed copy of the letter of intent to perform for any and all minority-owned (MBE) or woman-owned (WBE) subcontractors included in the solicitation response. The letter(s) should be emailed to the referenced business assistance office (BAO) rep no more than two business days after the referenced award date.

<b>/</b>	Yes, the EBO Program is applicable.	No, the EBO Program is not applicable.
	_ ,	

#### **Monthly Reporting**

Where applicable, the awarded supplier(s) will be required monthly to submit evidence of participation and payment to all small (SBE), minority-owned (MBE), women-owned (WBE), LGBT-owned (LGBTBE), and service-disabled veteran owned (SDV) subcontractors. Sufficient evidence may include, but is not necessarily limited to copies of subcontracts, purchase orders, applications for payment, invoices, and cancelled checks. Questions related to contract compliance may be directed to the referenced BAO rep.

<b>✓</b>	_Yes, monthly reporting is applicable.	No, monthly reporting is not applicable.

#### **Public Information and Records Retention**

Solicitation and award documentation are available upon request. Please email the referenced buyer to arrange. A copy of this notice will be placed in the solicitation file and sent to all offerors.

#### **Right to Protest**

Per MCL 4.36.010 – any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract may protest to the purchasing agent. The protest shall be submitted in writing within ten (10) days after such aggrieved person knows or should have known of the facts giving rise thereto.

A valid protest must demonstrate that the purchasing agent did not follow the law, the regulations, or the dictates of the solicitation. Protests based upon subjective scoring are not appropriate and may be grounds for placing the actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor on the list of suspended or debarred persons.

Dennis Rowland (by ZAK)

Purchasing Agent & Chief Procurement Officer

Offeror/Evaluation Criteria	Relevant Project Experience (50 points)	Team Structure and Capacity to Perform (20 Points)	Team Qualifications (20 points)	Management Plan and Approach (10 points)	Totals (100)
AECOM Technical Services, Inc	35	11	14	7	67
Alfred Benesch & Company	42	17	12	7	78
Alta Planning + Design, Inc	40	17	16	8	81
Arcadis US Inc	43	16	15	9	83
Barge Design Solutions, Inc	42	15	16	9	82
Borra Consulting	29	13	12	6	60
CDM Smith Inc	50	16	17	9	92
Collier Engineering Co. Inc.	42	13	18	9	82
Consor North America, In	43	17	18	9	87
Crawford, Murphy & Tilly Inc (CMT)	42	15	13	7	77
Gresham Smith	41	13	17	8	79
GRW Engineers Inc	37	18	15	7	77
H.W. Lochner, Inc	30	13	12	6	61
HDR Engineering Inc	45	15	17	8	85
Jacobs Engineering Group Inc	46	17	18	7	88
Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson Inc	29	13	12	6	60
KCI Technologies, Inc	46	19	18	7	90
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc	48	16	18	8	90
Lamar Dunn & Associates, Inc. dba LDA Engineering	44	17	17	6	84
Lose & Associates Inc dba Lose Design	30	13	12	6	61
Neel-Schaffer, Inc	38	14	13	8	73
Nelson Nygaard Consulting Associates Inc	45	17	15	7	84
Orchard, Hiltz & McCliment, Inc. dba OHM Advisors	49	18	17	7	91
Parsons Transportation Group, Inc	35	13	12	8	68
Ragan-Smith-Associates LLC	36	15	13	6	70
SMITH SECKMAN REID INC	37	13	16	6	72
Stantec Consulting Services Inc	45	16	16	8	85
Tanisha J. Hall dba Fairpointe Planning, LLC	30	14	18	6	68
Volkert Inc	32	13	12	6	63
WSP USA Inc	42	17	15	7	81

AECOM Technical Services, Inc					
Strengths	Weaknesses				
LiDAR Services and Utility Relocation projects provided relevant	Some referenced projects failed to display direct experience.				
detail and experience.					
	TDM project examples lacked relevance and did not meet				
Documented key team members' responsibilities from the scope of	expectations.				
services.					
	Referenced project information for Structural Design failed to				
Documented applicable project type for key team members.	detail qualifications and specialized expertise.				
Dravided requested information for key toom members	No direct project experience on CEL with Construction projects				
Provided requested information for key team members.	No direct project experience on CEI with Construction projects.				
Provided resumes for identified key team members. Prime and sub	   Referenced projects displayed a lack of program management				
expertise was provided.	experience and did not meet expectations.				
Detailed firm's understanding of scope. Provided a custom	Resumes did not reflect key personnel for Development Services,				
management plan to meet Metro's needs.	CAD, GIS, or Survey related projects.				
Detailed approach to transportation projects.	Unclear on all services being provided in firm's response to				
	understanding and approach to meeting the scope requirements.				
Detailed how firm would assist NDOT in managing risks, risk					
mitigation, and safety compliance.	Lack of detail provided for multimodal projects.				
Detailed approach to controls management and how firm will	Lack of detail on proposed approach to the 50+ project types				
manage schedule, budget and ensure a successful project.	identified in scope				
manago somedate, budget and ensure a successfut project.	lacitation in scope				

Alfred Benesch & Company				
Strengths	Weaknesses			
Provided in detail firm's experience executing all project types noted in the solicitation.	Lack of clarity on all services excluded from firm's offering.  No direct project experience for CEI related projects.			
Provided applicable examples of projects in compliance with the 19 agencies listed in the Scope Details.	Some referenced project projects convey limited direct project experience.			

Provided detailed project example for Community Engagement project of a similar size and scope.

Lack of clarity related to the Organizational Chart and the information it presented.

Provided an acknowledgement of all services proposed for project. Defined organizational structure in Organizational Chart and highlighted key personnel.

Generic acknowledgement and details related to adherence of regulations and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.

Detailed the capacity of the proposed team member to meet the identified scope of services.

Resumes for key personnel lacked details related to role in the project.

Provided detailed acknowledgement of qualifications to provide applicable 50+ services identified in the scope.

Did not include all 19 agencies in how the firm will maintain processes, regulations, and procedures.

Detailed firm's understanding of the scope and approach to meeting the scope requirements.

Lack of detail on approach to transportation/multimodal projects.

Detailed approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.

Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing risks, risk mitigation, and safety compliance.

Detailed proposed approach to the project types they submitted on.

Alta Planning + Design, Inc				
Strengths	Weaknesses			
Provided a list of services firm is proposing.	Didn't provide a Structural Design project.			
Provided detailed project examples for TDM Planning and Program Support of a similar size and scope.	Project example displayed a lack of information for Intelligent Transportation Systems and did not meet expectations.			

Provided	detailed	project	examples	for	Program	Management
project.						

Provided in detail firm's experience executing project types noted in the solicitation.

Provided applicable examples of projects in compliance with the 19 agencies listed in the Scope Details.

Provided an acknowledgement of most services proposed for project.

Detailed the capacity of the proposed team member to meet the identified scope of services.

Provided an acknowledgement of qualifications providing.

Provided requested information for key team members.

Detailed the qualifications the firm provides.

Detailed qualifications of firm related to adherence of regulations and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.

Provided resumes for key team members.

Provided applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.

Planning project example of a similar size, scope, and complexity and met expectation.

Detailed firm's understanding of the scope requirements.

Referenced projects conveyed a lack of detail related to CEI during construction and did not meet expectations.

Lack of clarity related to the Organizational Chart and expertise of some key personnel.

Lack of detail on non-planning services providing in referenced projects.

Lack of detail on resumes related to service expertise for key personnel.

Unclear on all services being provided in firm's response to understanding and approach to meeting the scope requirements.

Lack of detail on approach to transportation/multimodal projects.

Team members on proposed approach to the 50+ project types not identified in firm's response.

Detailed approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.	
Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing risks, risk mitigation, and safety compliance.	
Detailed proposed approach to the project types identified and listed their exclusions.	

Arcadis US Inc	
Strengths	Weaknesses
Provided services firm is proposing as well as exceptions.	Did not provide a Utility Relocation project, LiDAR Project, or Structural project.
Provided detailed project examples for TDM projects.	
	Lack of detail on project examples.
Provided in detail firm's experience executing project types noted in	
the solicitation.	Lack of clarity related to the Organizational Chart and high capacity stated for some key personnel.
Provided applicable examples of projects in compliance with the 19	
agencies listed in the Scope Details.	Lack of detail on planning services for referenced projects.
Defined organizational structure in Organizational Chart and highlighted key personnel.	Lack of detail on community engagement.
	Lack of detail on resumes related to service expertise for key
Detailed the capacity of the proposed team member to meet the identified scope of services.	personnel.
	Agency information lacked details.
Provided detailed acknowledgement of qualifications for services	
identified in the scope.	Unclear on all services being provided in firm's response to understanding and approach to meeting the scope requirements.
Provided requested information for key team members.	
identified scope of services.  Provided detailed acknowledgement of qualifications for services identified in the scope.	personnel.  Agency information lacked details.  Unclear on all services being provided in firm's response.

Detailed the qualifications for firm to provide services identified in	
the scope.	
Detailed qualifications of firm related to adherence of regulations	
and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.	
Provided resumes for key team members.	
Dravided come applicable licenses and/or contitions of low	
Provided some applicable licenses and/or certifications of key	
personnel.	
Detailed firm's understanding of the scope requirements. Detailed	
approach to controls management, managing schedules, and	
budget.	
Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing risks, risk mitigation,	
and safety compliance.	
Detailed proposed approach to the project types providing.	

Barge Design Solutions, Inc				
Strengths	Weaknesses			
Provided table with services firm is proposing.	Some referenced projects displayed a lack of direct involvement.			
Provided detailed project examples for all referenced projects.	Failed to provide relevant and substantial project example for TDM project.			
Provided firm's experience executing all project types noted in the				
solicitation.	Lack of clarity related to the Organizational Chart and expertise of all key personnel.			
Provided applicable examples of projects in compliance with the 19				
agencies listed in the Scope Details.	Concern about long-term capacity of subcontractor.			
Provided an acknowledgement of all services proposed for project.				

Defined organizational structure in Organizational Chart and highlighted key personnel.

Detailed the capacity of the proposed team member to meet the identified scope of services.

Provided acknowledgement of qualifications to provide applicable services identified in the scope.

Detailed the qualifications for firm to provide applicable services identified in the scope.

Provided resumes for key team members.

Detailed the qualifications for firm to provide applicable services identified in the scope.

Provided resumes for key team members.

Detailed firm's understanding of the scope requirements and approach to meeting the scope requirements.

Detailed approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.

Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing risks, risk mitigation, and safety compliance.

 $\label{lem:proposed} Detailed \ proposed \ approach \ to \ the \ project \ types \ identified \ in \ scope.$ 

Lacking details about 19 agencies in firm's response to qualifications knowledge of.

Lack of detail on some resumes related to service expertise for key personnel.

Approach to multimodal focused on community engagement and lacked details.

Borra Consulting	
Strengths	Weaknesses
Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) project was of a similar size, scope, and complexity and was above expectations.	Reference project examples displayed a lack of relevance in relation to scope.
Multimodal Signal] Design project met expectations.	Failed to provide relevant example for Complete Streets project and did not meet expectations.
Public Relations and Community engagement references met expectations.	Lack of information on Operations and Maintenance related projects.
Defined organizational structure in Organizational Chart and highlight key personnel.	Project example conveyed a lack of CEI experience on Construction projects and did not meet expectations.
Detailed the capacity of the proposed team member to meet the identified scope of services.	Lack of detail related to Policies, Strategies and Grants projects and did not meet expectations.
Provided applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.	Lack of detail related to project goals, objectives, and firm's qualifications and specialized expertise.
Provided acknowledgement of intent to perform identified services.	Failed to provide TDM, Program Management, and LiDAR project examples.
Acknowledged firm's understanding of the scope requirements.	Lack of detail related to developing policies and strategies.
Detailed approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.	Lack of clarity on the roles of key personnel.
	Organizational Chart revealed lack of capacity due to personnel vacancies.
	Resumes failed to give descriptions of qualification to address the requirements of the scope.
	Qualifications failed to detail knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies listed in the solicitation.

Unclear on all services being provided in firm's response to understanding and approach to meeting the scope requirements.
Lack of detail related to managing risks, risk mitigation, and safety compliance.
Lack of information related to firm's approach to transportation/multimodal projects and did not meet expectations.
Lack of detail related to proposed approach to the project types identified in the scope.

CDM Smith Inc	
Strengths	Weaknesses
Provided detailed project examples for Traffic Study, Operations,	Lack of clarity on the capacity of the proposed team member to meet
Utility Relocation and Community Engagement, Structural	the identified scope of services.
Design project, TDM Planning and Program Support, Developing	
Strategies were of a similar size and scope. Provide sufficient	Some resumes not clear on key personnel responsibilities.
information related to all 50 services.	
	Lack of detail on approach to transportation/multimodal projects.
Provided in detail firm's experience executing all project types	
noted in the solicitation.	
Dravided applicable everples of projects in compliance with the	
Provided applicable examples of projects in compliance with the 19 agencies listed in the Scope Details.	
13 agencies disted in the Scope Details.	
Provided detailed acknowledgement of qualifications to provide	
applicable 50+ services identified in the scope.	
Defined organizational structure in Organizational Chart and	
highlighted key personnel.	

Detailed the capacity of the proposed team member to meet the identified scope of services.	
Clearly defined team members and the project types applicable to their expertise.	
Detailed the qualifications for firm to provide applicable 50+ services identified in the scope.	
Detailed qualifications of firm related to adherence of regulations and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.	
Provided resumes for key team members and noted expertise.	
Detailed firm's understanding of the scope requirements and approach to meeting the scope requirements.	
Detailed approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.	
Detailed haw firm will assist NDOT in managing risks, risk mitigation, and safety compliance.	
Detailed proposed approach to the 50+ project types identified in scope.	

Collier Engineering Co. Inc.	
Strengths	Weaknesses
Provided all A&E Services firm is proposing.	Some referenced projects displayed limited direct involvement.
Provided detailed project examples for Program Management project.	Lack of detail on project examples for provided services.
Provided in detail firm's experience executing all project types	Lack of information related to Conceptual Planning Project.
noted in the solicitation.	No services identified for key personnel on Organizational Chart.
Provided applicable examples of projects in compliance with the 19 agencies listed in the Scope Details.	Failed to include acknowledgement of services not provided.
	Lack of detail on resumes related to service expertise for key
Defined organizational structure in Organizational Chart and highlight key personnel.	personnel.
	Lack of detail on approach to transportation/multimodal projects.
Detailed the capacity of the proposed team member to meet the identified scope of services.	
Detailed the qualifications for firm to provide applicable 50+ services identified in the scope.	
Detailed qualifications of firm related to adherence of regulations and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.	
Provided resumes for key team members. Provided applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.	
Detailed firm's understanding of the scope and approach to meeting the scope requirements.	

Detailed approach to controls management, managing	
schedules, and budget.	
Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing risks, risk	
mitigation, and safety compliance.	
Detailed proposed approach to the 50+ project types identified	
in scope	

Consor North America, Inc	
Strengths	Weaknesses
Provided all A&E services firm is proposing.	Lack of detail on some project examples.
Provided very detailed project examples for Program Management.	Concerns on capacity to perform work based on utilization percentages provided.
Provided very detailed description, understanding, and experience with	
Transportation System/transportation/multimodal Planning projects.	Failed to provide acknowledgement of qualifications to provide applicable 50+ services identified in the section.
Provided detailed experience executing all project types noted in the	
solicitation.	Lack of detail on planning services for referenced projects in
	resumes.
Provided applicable examples of projects in compliance with the 19 agencies listed in the scope.	
Defined organizational structure in Organizational Chart and highlighted	
key personnel.	
Clearly defined team members and the project types applicable to their expertise.	
Provided requested information for key team members.	

Detailed the qualifications for firm to provide applicable 50+ services
identified in the scope.

Detailed qualifications of firm related to adherence of regulations and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.

Provided resumes for key team members.

Provided applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.

Detailed firm's understanding of the scope and approach to meeting the scope requirements.

Detailed approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.

Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing risks, risk mitigation, and safety compliance.

Detailed proposed approach to the 50+ project types identified in scope.

Crawford, Murphy & Tilly Inc (CMT)	
Strengths	Weaknesses
Provided information regarding services firm is proposing and excluding.	Referenced project display a lack of direct experience.
	Lack of detail on all project references.
Provided firm's experience executing all project types noted in	
the solicitation.	The Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) and Grant and Loan project examples lacked details.
Provided applicable examples of projects in compliance with	
the 19 agencies listed in the Scope Details.	Key Personnel were not identified in Organizational Chart.

Defined organizational structure in Organizational Chart and highlighted key personnel.	Failed to provide information indicating capacity to perform work.
Detailed qualifications to provide some services identified in the scope.	Failed to include adherence of regulations and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.
Detailed team members and the project types from the scope of services they will complete.	Resumes for key personnel conveyed a lack of experience relative to the scope.
Detailed the qualifications for firm to provide some services identified in the scope.	Unclear on all services being provided in firm's response to understanding and approach to meeting the scope requirements.
Provided resumes for key team members and noted expertise.	Lack of detail on approach to transportation/multimodal projects.  Failed to include safety compliance in risk mitigation plan.
Provided applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.	,
Detailed approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.	
Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing risk and risk mitigation.	
Detailed proposed approach to the project types identified in scope.	

Gresham Smith	
Strengths	Weaknesses
Provided all A&E Services firm is proposing.	Some referenced project failed to display direct experience.
Detailed firm's experience executing all project types noted in the solicitation.	Transportation System / transportation/multimodal Master Planning not of a similar size and scope.

Provided applicable examples of projects in compliance with the 19 agencies listed in the Scope Details.	Lack of detail related to design and construction stages of projects.  Vague and unclear information provided on Organizational Chart.
Defined organizational structure in Organizational Chart and highlighted key personnel.	
Defined team members and project types.	Failed to provide acknowledgement of qualifications to provide proposed services identified in the scope.
Listed some qualifications of firm related to adherence of regulations and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.	Some resumes lacked relevant details.
Provided resumes for key team members and noted expertise.	Lack of detail on approach to transportation/multimodal projects.
Provided applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.	Lack of detail on managing safety compliance.
Detailed firm's understanding of the scope and approach to meeting the scope requirements.	
Detailed approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.	
Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing risk and risk mitigation.	
Detailed proposed approach to the 50+ project types identified in scope	

GRW Engineers Inc							
Strengths	Weakn	esses					
Complete Streets and Utility Relocation projects provided was of	Some	referenced	projects	conveyed	limited	direct	project
a similar size, scope, and complexity and was above expectations.	experie	nce.					

Provided services firm is proposing. Provided a detailed project example for all project references.

Provided firm's experience executing all project types noted in the solicitation.

Provided applicable examples of projects in compliance with the 19 agencies.

Provided an acknowledgement of all services proposed for project.

Detailed qualifications to provide applicable 50+ services identified in the scope.

Defined organizational structure in Organizational Chart and highlighted personnel.

Detailed the capacity of the proposed team member to meet the identified scope of services.

Clearly defined team members and the project types applicable to their expertise.

Detailed the qualifications for firm to provide applicable 50+ services identified in the scope.

Provided resumes for key team members. Detailed the qualifications for firm to provide applicable 50+ services identified in the scope. Provided resumes for key team members.

Detailed firm's understanding of the scope requirements.

Provided services firm is proposing. Provided a detailed project | Some projects of a smaller scale and not of a similar size as Metro.

Lack of detail related to Cost/Benefit analysis on Conceptual Planning Project.

Failed to identify key personnel in proposal.

Resumes not clear on identifying key personnel and description of qualifications.

Failed to include all 19 agencies.

Lack of detail on approach to transportation/multimodal projects.

Lack of detail related to managing safety compliance.

Lack of detail on proposed approach to the proposed project types identified in scope.

Detailed	approach	to	controls	management,	managing
schedules, and budget.					

Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing risks, risk mitigation, and safety compliance. Included all 19 agencies in how the firm will maintain processes, regulations, and procedures.

H.W. Lochner, Inc			
Strengths	Weaknesses		
Conceptual Planning project was of a similar size, scope, and complexity and met expectations.	Reference project examples displayed a lack of relevance in relation to scope.		
Included project goals, objectives, and firm's qualifications and specialized expertise.	Some referenced project failed to display direct experience.		
Defined organizational structure in Organizational Chart and included personnel.	Vague information related to the description of referenced projects' scope.		
Provided applicable licenses and/or certifications of key	Failed to provide TDM, Program Management, and LiDAR project examples.		
personnel.	Lack of detail related to developing policies and strategies.		
Provided acknowledgement of intent.	Lack of clarity on the roles and identifying of key personnel.		
Detailed firm's understanding of the scope requirements.	Failed to include acknowledgement of services the firm intends to		
Detailed plan for managing risks, risk mitigation, and safety compliance.	provide provides.		
computation.	Organizational Chart revealed lack of capacity due to personnel vacancies.		
	Resumes conveyed a lack of experience addressing the requirements of the scope.		

Failed to include all proposed services in the qualifications of firm related to adherence of regulations and knowledge of processes.
Failed to provide firm's approach to transportation/multimodal projects.
Failed to provide proposed approach to the firm's proposed services identified in the scope.
Approach to controls management and how firm will manage projects was vague.

HDR Engineering Inc			
Strengths	Weaknesses		
Provided all A&E Services firm is proposing.	Lack of detail provided for some project references.		
Provided detailed project example for Program Management and Utility Relocation project and was above expectation.	Lack of capacity to perform work based on utilization percentages provided.		
Provided firm's experience executing all project types noted in the solicitation.	Failed to provide acknowledgement of qualifications to provide applicable 50+ services identified in the scope.		
Provided applicable examples of projects in compliance with the 19 agencies listed in the Scope Details.	Resumes for key personnel lacked details related to role in the project and capacity to provide services.		
Defined organizational structure in Organizational Chart and highlighted key personnel.	Vague information provided for approach to the 50+ project types identified in scope. Multimodal approach lacked details.		
Detailed team members and the project types from the scope of services they will complete.			
Detailed the qualifications for firm to provide applicable 50+ services identified in the scope.			

Detailed qualifications of firm related to adherence of regulations
and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.

Provided resumes for key team members. Provided applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.

Detailed firm's understanding of the scope requirements.

Detailed approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.

Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing risks, risk mitigation, and safety compliance.

Jacobs Engineering Group Inc				
Strengths	Weaknesses			
Provided all A&E Services firm is proposing.	Lack of detail provided for some project examples.			
Provided in detail firm's experience executing all project types noted in the solicitation. Majority of project examples of a similar size and scope.	Lack of capacity to perform work based on percentages provided. Information on Organizational Chart unclear and difficult to cross-reference.			
Provided applicable examples of projects in compliance with the 19 agencies listed in the Scope Details.	Conveyed a lack of knowledge of the 19 agencies referenced in the scope.			
Provided detailed acknowledgement of qualifications to provide applicable 50+ services identified in the scope.	Did not include all services being provided in how the firm will maintain processes, regulations, and procedures.			
Provided requested information for each key team member.	Lack of detail on approach to transportation/multimodal projects.			
Detailed the qualifications for firm to provide applicable 50+ services identified in the scope.				

Detailed qualifications of firm related to adherence of regulations for the 19 agencies.

Provided resumes for key team members.

Provided applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.

Detailed firm's understanding of the scope requirements.

Detailed approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.

Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing risks, risk mitigation, and safety compliance.

Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson Inc			
Strengths	Weaknesses		
Structural project example was of a similar size, scope, and complexity and was above expectations.	Some referenced project failed to display direct experience.		
	Failed to indicate services excluded from proposed offerings.		
Right-of-Way project experience was of a similar size, scope,			
and complexity and was above expectations.	Lack of detail on Utility Relocation project examples did not meet expectations.		
Provided Organizational Chart highlighting personnel.			
	Lack of clarity on scope of work completed on the Complete Street		
Provided resumes and some applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.	project and did not meet expectations.		
	Lack of clarity on Program Management project example.		
Approach to the project types was provided.			
	No direct experience on CEI with Construction.		
Acknowledged firm's understanding of the scope requirements.			

	Lack of relevance for the TDM project example and did not
Detailed approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.	expectations.
constants, and sauget.	Failed to include capacity of personnel to provide services.
	Failed to identify which services that firm and personnel would be providing.
	Lack of detail related to proposed approach to the proposed project types identified in the scope.
	All services proposed by firm not represented in resumes.
	Lack of detail related to the qualifications of your firm/team related to adherence of regulations and knowledge of the processes of services being provided.
	Failed to identify services excluded from firm's offerings.
	Failed to include approach to transportation/multimodal projects.
	Failed to include approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.
	Lack of information for how the firm will maintain processes, regulations, and procedures for services being provided

KCI Technologies, Inc		
Strengths	Weaknesses	
Provided most of services and listed exceptions.	Lack of detail on some project examples.	
Provided detailed project example for Conceptual Planning project.		

Provided detailed project example for Community Engagement project and was above expectations.

Provided in detail firm's experience executing all project types noted in the solicitation and met expectations.

Provided applicable examples of projects in compliance with the 19 agencies listed in the Scope Details.

Provided an acknowledgement of all services proposed for project.

Detailed the qualifications for firm to provide applicable services.

Detailed qualifications of firm related to adherence of regulations and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.

Provided resumes for key team members.

Provided applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.

Detailed qualifications to provide applicable services.

Detailed the capacity of the proposed team member to meet the identified scope of services.

Detailed the qualifications for firm to provide applicable services.

Detailed qualifications of firm related to adherence of regulations and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.

Provided resumes for key team members.

Provided applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.

Organizational Chart unclear on number of key personnel and roles.

Some resumes lacked relative details related to the key personnel expertise.

Lack of detail on managing safety compliance.

Failed to list the exceptions to the 50+ project types in this section.

Firm's response addressed everything we requested in the RFP except for the weaknesses noted below.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc			
Strengths	Weaknesses		
Provided all A&E Services firm is proposing.	Reference project examples displayed some irrelevant details related to each project type.		
Provided in detail firm's experience executing all project types noted in the solicitation.	Key Personnel were not identified by expertise in Organizational Chart.		
Provided applicable examples of projects in compliance with the 19 agencies listed in the Scope Details.	Capacity concerns to perform work based on utilization percentages provided.		
Defined organizational structure in Organizational Chart and highlight key personnel. Clearly defined team members and the	Some resumes lacked relative details related to the project examples.		
project types applicable to their expertise.	Lack of detail on approach to transportation/multimodal projects.		
Detailed the qualifications for firm to provide applicable 50+ services identified in the scope.			
Detailed qualifications of firm related to adherence of regulations and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.			
Provided applicable licenses or certifications.			
Detailed approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.			
Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing risks, risk mitigation, and safety compliance.			

Lamar Dunn & Associates, Inc. dba LDA Engineering	
Strengths	Weaknesses
Provided all A&E Services firm is proposing.	Some referenced projects display a lack of detail on direct involvement.
Provided some detailed project examples for reference.	
Provided firm's experience executing all project types noted in the solicitation.	Lack of capacity to perform work based on utilization percentages provided.
Provided applicable examples of projects in compliance with the 19 agencies listed in the Scope Details.	Lack of detail on resumes related to service expertise.
	Did not confirm the ability to perform applicable services for Traffic
Defined organizational structure in Organizational Chart and highlighted key personnel. Clearly defined team members and	Simulation projects.
the project types applicable to their expertise.	Failed to include approach to transportation/multimodal projects.
Detailed the qualifications for firm to provide applicable 50+ services identified in the scope.	Did not include the approach to 50+ project types identified in scope.
Detailed qualifications of firm related to adherence of regulations and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.	
Provided resumes for key team members.	
Provided some applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.	
Detailed firm's understanding of the scope and approach to meeting the scope requirements.	
personnel.  Detailed firm's understanding of the scope and approach to	

Detailed	approach	to	controls	management,	managing
schedule	s, and budge	et.			

Detailed haw firm will assist NDOT in managing risks, risk mitigation, and safety compliance.

Lose & Associates Inc dba Lose Design Strengths	Weaknesses
Provided some applicable examples of projects.	Some referenced projects displayed limited direct experience.
Sufficient information related to project examples for all other project offerings.	Lack of detail on Grant related project and did not meet expectations.
Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Planning project of a similar size, scope and met expectations.	Project example for Complete Streets displayed a lack of relevance in relation to scope and did not meet expectations.
Provided an Organizational Chart detailing company structure.	Lack of clarity on key personnel responsibilities and description of qualifications.
Detailed the capacity of the proposed team member to meet the identified scope of services.	Lack of information on the qualifications and intent of firm to provide 50+ services identified in the scope of services.
Provided resumes for key team members.	Lack of relevance on resumes for qualifications to address the requirements of the scope.
Detailed approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.	Resumes not clear on key personnel responsibilities and description of qualifications.
Detailed approach to controls management, managir schedules, and budget.	Failed to detail the qualifications of firm related to adherence of regulations and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.
	Failed to detail qualifications of firm to provide 50+ services identified in the scope of services.

	Failed to identify services excluded from firm's offerings.	
	Lack of detail on approach to transportation/multimodal projects.	
	Lack of detail on proposed approach to the firm's proposed services identified in scope.	
	Failed to include approach to safety compliance in risk mitigation plan.	

Neel-Schaffer, Inc					
Strengths	Weaknesses				
Provided all A&E Services firm is proposing.	All reference project examples displayed a lack of detail in regard to the scope of project.				
Provided applicable examples of projects in compliance with					
the 19 agencies listed in the Scope Details.	All referenced projects were of shared services and did not convey level of experience based on Metro's need.				
Provided an Organizational Chart detailing company structure.					
	Lack of detail on Grant related project.				
Detailed the capacity of the proposed team member to meet					
the identified scope of services.	Lack of information related to Cost/Benefit analysis on Conceptual				
	Planning project and did not meet expectations.				
Provided resumes for key team members.					
	Lack of clarity and conflicting information on number of key personnel.				
Provided applicable licenses and/or certifications of key					
personnel.	Failed to include statement of services that the firm provides.				
Detailed firm's understanding of the scope and approach to	Resumes not clear on key personnel responsibilities and description				
meeting the scope requirements.	of qualifications.				
Detailed annually to controls are an in-	Following deposit also provide actions of firms policied to the control of the co				
Detailed approach to controls management, managing	Failed to detail the qualifications of firm related to adherence of				
schedules, and budget.	regulations and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.				

Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing risks, risk mitigation, and safety compliance.

Lack of detail in the qualifications of firm to provide proposed services identified in the scope of services.

Did not include all 19 agencies in how the firm will maintain processes, regulations, and procedures.

Lack of detail on the approach to transportation/multimodal projects.

Weaknesses
Project examples for Intelligent Transportation System and
Structural lacked detail and did not meet expectations.
Lack of clarity on services that firm will provide and exclude.
Lack of clarity on Organizational Chart related to legend and color coding.
Service expertise not identified for key personnel.
Failed to include acknowledgement of services not provided.
Lack of detail on qualifications for firm to provide proposed services identified in the scope.
Lack of detail for qualifications of firm related to adherence of
regulations for the 19 agencies.
Resumes did not convey experience with all services firm stated they would provide.

Provided applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.	Did not include all services being provided in how the firm will maintain processes, regulations, and procedures.
Detailed firm's understanding of the scope requirements and approach to meeting the scope requirements.	Failed to include approach to safety compliance in risk mitigation plan.
Detailed approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.	Safety was not addressed.
Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing and mitigating risk.	

Orchard, Hiltz & McCliment, Inc. dba OHM Advisors	
Strengths	Weaknesses
Provided detailed project examples for Complete Streets and	Lack of detail related to some example projects.
CEI project.	
	Lack of clarity of some key personnel expertise in Organizational
Provided in detail firm's experience executing all project types noted in their proposal.	Chart.
	Lack of clarity of some key personnel expertise in resumes.
Provided applicable example projects in compliance with the	
19 agencies listed in the Scope Details.	Lack of detail on proposed approach to the proposed project types identified in scope.
Detailed collaboration of prime and subs.	
	Did not include all services being provided in how the firm will maintain
Detailed qualifications to provide applicable services identified	processes, regulations, and procedures.
in the scope.	
	Lack of detail on approach to transportation/multimodal projects.
Detailed the capacity of the proposed team member to meet	
the identified scope of services.	
Clearly defined team members and the project types applicable	
to their expertise.	

Detailed	the	qualifications	for	firm	to	provide	applicable
services identified in the scope.							

Detailed qualifications of firm related to adherence of regulations and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.

Provided applicable licenses or certifications.

Detailed firm's understanding of the scope and meeting project requirements.

Detailed approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.

Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing risks, risk mitigation, and safety compliance.

Parsons Transportation Group, Inc	
Strengths	Weaknesses
Provided all A&E Services firm is proposing.	Failed to provide details on TDM related project. No direct experience on CEI for Construction project.
Utility Relocation project provided relevant details and	
experience and was above expectations.	Referenced project failed to convey direct experience.
Provided detailed project examples for TDM project and was above expectations.	Details for LiDAR project reference were more relevant to an Asset Management project and did not meet expectations.
Provided an Organizational Chart detailing company structure.	Failed to provide information on Grant related project.
Provided applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.	Organizational Chart did not display all services identified by firm.
Provided resumes for key team members.	

	All services of expertise for key personnel not identified on
Detailed firm's understanding of the scope and approach to	Organizational Chart.
meeting the scope requirements.	Look of consoity to perform work board on utilization percentages
Detailed approach to controls management, managing	Lack of capacity to perform work based on utilization percentages provided.
schedules, and budget.	provided.
	Qualifications failed to detail knowledge of the processes of the 19
Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing risks, risk	agencies listed in the solicitation.
mitigation, and safety compliance.	
	Lack of detail provided for qualifications of firm to provide applicable
	50+ services identified in the scope.
	Lack of detail on resumes related to services and expertise. Only one
	key personnel is locally available.
	Lack of detail on approach to transportation/multimodal projects.
	Didnik identify all have managed in the annuage to 50, musicat toward
	Didn't identify all key personnel in the approach to 50+ project types identified in scope.
	identified in scope.

Ragan-Smith-Associates LLC			
Strengths	Weaknesses		
Provided firm's experience executing all project types noted.	Some project examples lack significance to the scope. Some projects failed to display direct experience.		
Provided examples of projects in compliance with the 19			
agencies listed in the Scope Details.	Lack of detail to Cost/Benefit analysis on Conceptual Planning project were not of a similar size and complexity and did not meet		
Provided organizational chart detailing firm's structure.	expectations.		
Detailed the capacity of the proposed team member to meet	Lack of clarity of Organizational Chart on team members and the		
the identified scope of services.	project types from the scope of services they will complete.		
Provided resumes for key team members.			

applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.	Qualifications failed to detail knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies listed in the solicitation.
Detailed firm's understanding of the scope requirements and approach to meeting the scope requirements.	Lack of detail provided for qualifications of firm to provide applicable 50+ services identified in the scope.
Detailed approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.	Lack of detail on resumes related to services and expertise.
Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing and mitigating risk.	Firm's comments are centered around CHYM and fail to reflect other related work.
	Lack of detail on approach to transportation/multimodal projects.

SMITH SECKMAN REID INC	
Strengths	Weaknesses
Provided referenced projects that indicate prior experience working with Metro.	Some referenced project failed to display direct experience.
	Vague information related to the description of referenced projects' scope.
TDM Planning and Program Support projects were of a similar size and scope and were above expectations.	Failed to provide statement of services firm will provide.
	Lack of information related to Cost/Benefit analysis on Conceptual
Detailed capacity of the proposed team member to meet the identified scope of services.	Planning Project and did not meet expectations.
Provided requested information for key team members.	Program Management project displayed a lack of relevance related to scope and did not meet expectations.
Detailed the qualifications for firm to provide applicable 50+ services identified in the scope.	All services of expertise for key personnel not identified on Organizational Chart.
Detailed qualifications of firm related to adherence of regulations and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.	Firm did not list any project exclusion and did not include all project types that they were asked to identify.

Provided resumes for key team members.	Failed to provide statement of qualifications to provide proposed services identified in the scope.
Provided applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.	Resumes for key personnel lacked details related to role in the project.
Detailed firm's understanding of the scope requirements.	Failed to include details on managing safety compliance.
Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing and mitigating risk.	Lack of detail on approach to transportation/transportation/multimodal projects.
	Failed to provide approach to firm's proposed project types identified in scope.

Stantec Consulting Services Inc		
Strengths	Weaknesses	
Provided all A&E Services firm is proposing.	Lack of detail on some project examples.	
Provided in detail firm's experience executing all project types noted in the solicitation.	Lack of capacity to perform work based on utilization percentages provided.	
Provided applicable examples of projects in compliance with the 19 agencies listed in the Scope Details.	Unclear information provided on Organizational Chart.	
	Resumes for key personnel lacked details related to role in the project.	
Detailed team members and the project types from the scope of services they will complete.	Lack of detail on approach to transportation/multimodal projects.	
Provided detailed statement of qualifications to provide applicable 50+ services identified in the scope.	Conflicting information on whether there are services excluded from firm's offerings.	
Included statement identifying all services firm will provide.		
Provided applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.		

Detailed the qualifications for firm to provide applicable 50+
services identified in the scope.

Detailed qualifications of firm related to adherence of regulations and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.

Detailed firm's understanding of the scope requirements.

Detailed approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.

Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing risks, risk mitigation, and safety compliance.

Detailed proposed approach to the 50+ project types identified in scope.

Tanisha J. Hall dba Fairpointe Planning, LLC		
Strengths	Weaknesses	
Provided all A&E Services firm is proposing. Provided applicable	Referenced project failed to display direct experience.	
examples of projects in compliance with the 19 agencies listed		
in the Scope Details.	Some projects displayed a lack of detail related to project goals,	
	objectives, and firm's qualifications.	
Provided a TDM project of similar size, scope, and complexity		
and was above expectations.	Failed to provide LiDAR project example.	
Defined and instituted about the Control of Control	Faile day was ide Operation and in the control of	
Defined organizational structure in Organizational Chart and	Failed to provide Construction project example.	
highlighted team personnel.	Failed to provide Utility Relocation project example.	
Detailed the capacity of the proposed team member to meet	railed to provide office netocation project example.	
the identified scope of services.	Failed to provide Intelligent Transportation System project example.	
the facilities scope of scivices.	Tailed to provide intettigent transportation system project example.	

Detailed the qualifications for firm to provide applicable 50+ services identified in the scope.	Failed to include acknowledgement of services the firm provides.
	All services of expertise for key personnel not identified.
Detailed qualifications of firm related to adherence of	
regulations and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.	Failed to provide applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.
Provided resumes for key team members and details related to	
the key personnel expertise.	Failed to identify services that firm would and would not provide.
Provided exclusions to the 50+ services listed.	Lack of detail on approach to transportation/multimodal projects.
	Lack of clarity on how the firm will maintain processes, regulations, and procedures for all 19 agencies.
	Lack of details related to proposed approach to the firm's proposed services.
	Firm's comments are centered around CHYM and fail to reflect other NDOT related work types identified in scope of this solicitation.

Volkert Inc	
Strengths	Weaknesses
Listed A&E Services in the scope details that firm proposes.	Failed to adhere to formatting requirements.
Provided applicable examples of projects in compliance with the 19 agencies listed in the Scope Details.	Vague information related to the description of some referenced projects' scope.
Detailed project information for Community Engagement project example.	Lack of detail related to project goals, objectives, and firm's qualifications and specialized expertise.
Detailed project information for Complete Streets project example.	Failed to provide transportation/multimodal project example.

Provided an organizational chart and highlighted team personnel.

Detailed the capacity of the proposed team member to meet the identified scope of services.

Detailed the qualifications for firm to provide applicable 50+ services identified in the scope.

Provided resumes for key team members.

Provided applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.

Provided services that firm would provide and exclude.

Failed to provide a TDM project example.

Failed to provide Conceptual Planning project.

Failed to provide Intelligent Transportation System project example project example. Failed to provide LiDAR project example.

Lack of detail related to developing policies and strategies.

Failed to adhere to formatting requirements.

Organizational Chart did not display all services identified by firm

Did not include all proposed services in approach to how the firm will maintain processes, regulations, and procedures.

Resumes lacked relevance to services being offered.

Lacked details for the approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.

Lack of detail in approach to safety compliance in risk mitigation plan.

Failed to include approach to transportation/multimodal projects.

Failed to adhere to formatting requirements.

WSP USA Inc		
Strengths	Weaknesses	
Provided all A&E Services firm is proposing.	Lack of clarity on the project types of the shared projects noted in proposal.	

Provided in detail firm's experience executing all project types noted in | Lack of detail on some referenced project examples. the solicitation.

Detailed project examples of a similar size and scope.

Provided applicable examples of projects in compliance with the 19 agencies listed in the Scope Details.

Provided an organizational chart and highlighted key personnel. Detailed the capacity of the proposed team member to meet the identified scope of services.

Detailed team members and the project types from the scope of services they will complete.

Detailed capacity of the proposed team member to meet the identified scope of services.

Detailed qualifications of firm related to adherence of regulations and knowledge of the processes of the 19 agencies.

Detailed the capacity of the proposed team member to meet the identified scope of services. Provided resumes for key team members and noted expertise.

Detailed firm's qualifications to provide applicable 50+ services identified in the scope.

Detailed firm's understanding of the scope requirements.

Detailed approach to controls management, managing schedules, and budget.

Displayed a lack of collaboration with subcontractors.

Failed to include statement of services the firm provides. Organizational Chart unclear on role of key personnel on some projects.

Failed to provide applicable licenses and/or certifications of key personnel.

Resumes are unclear whether all proposed services are covered.

Failed to include approach to transportation/multimodal projects.

Lack of clarity on how the firm will maintain processes, regulations, and procedures for all 19 agencies.

Detailed how firm will assist NDOT in managing risks, risk mitigation,	
and safety compliance.	
Detailed firm's approach to transportation/transportation/multimodal	
projects.	



#### **Certificate Of Completion**

Envelope Id: 33271C8A-543B-4535-A5F3-EB0304324D1A

Subject: Here is your signed document: RFQ 393385 - Intent to Award.pdf

Source Envelope:

Document Pages: 38 Signatures: 1 Envelope Originator:

Certificate Pages: 2 Initials: 0 Zak Kelley

AutoNav: Disabled

730 2nd Ave. South 1st Floor Envelopeld Stamping: Disabled Nashville, TN 37219

Time Zone: (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada) Zak.Kelley@Nashville.gov IP Address: 170.190.198.185

**Record Tracking** 

Status: Original Holder: Zak Kelley Location: DocuSign

10/3/2025 12:52:27 PM Zak.Kelley@Nashville.gov

Security Appliance Status: Connected Pool: StateLocal

Storage Appliance Status: Connected Pool: Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Location: Docusign

**Davidson County** 

**Signer Events** Signature **Timestamp** 

Zerky

Zak Kelley

Zak.Kelley@Nashville.gov

Finance Manager

Metro Nashville Government

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication

(None)

Signature Adoption: Uploaded Signature Image

Using IP Address: 170.190.198.185

Sent: 10/3/2025 12:53:14 PM Viewed: 10/3/2025 12:53:29 PM Signed: 10/3/2025 12:54:27 PM

Sent: 10/3/2025 12:54:28 PM

Resent: 10/3/2025 12:54:29 PM

Viewed: 10/3/2025 12:54:48 PM

Freeform Signing

Status: Completed

**Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:** 

Not Offered via Docusign

In Person Signer Events	Signature	Timestamp
Editor Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Agent Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Intermediary Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Certified Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp

**Carbon Copy Events Status Timestamp** 

COPIED

Zak Kelley

zak.kelley@nashville.gov

Finance Manager

Metro Nashville Government

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication

(None)

Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure: Not Offered via Docusign		
Witness Events	Signature	Timestamp

Notary Events	Signature	Timestamp
Envelope Summary Events	Status	Timestamps
Envelope Sent	Hashed/Encrypted	10/3/2025 12:53:14 PM
Certified Delivered	Security Checked	10/3/2025 12:53:29 PM

Envelope Summary Events	Status	Timestamps
Signing Complete	Security Checked	10/3/2025 12:54:27 PM
Completed	Security Checked	10/3/2025 12:54:28 PM
Payment Events	Status	Timestamps