

Notice of Intent to Award

Solicitation Number	399452	Award Date	11/20/2025 9:00 AM CST				
Solicitation Title	General Repair and Maintenance Services						
Buyer Name	Brad Wall	Buyer Email	brad.wall@nashville.gov				
BAO Rep	Evans Cline	BAO Email	evans.cline@nashville.gov				

Awarded Supplier(s)

In reference to the above solicitation and contingent upon successful contract negotiation, it is the intent of the Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County to award to the following supplier(s):

Company Name	Lee Company	Compar	ny Contact	Kristie Reed	
Street Address	4057 Rural Plains Circle				
City	Franklin	State	TN	Zipcode	37064
		•			
Company Name		Compar	ny Contact		
Street Address					
City		State		Zipcode	
		•			
Company Name		Compar	ny Contact		
Street Address					
City		State		Zipcode	
• •	lier(s) must submit a certifi ion. The COI should be emai	•	OI) indicating		•

date.

Equal Business Opportunity Program

the referenced business assistance office (BAO) rep no more than two business days after the referenced award	date.
owned (MBE) or woman-owned (WBE) subcontractors included in the solicitation response. The letter(s) shoul	d be emailed to
Where applicable, the awarded supplier(s) must submit a signed copy of the letter of intent to perform for any a	and all minority-

Yes, the EBO Program is applicable. No, the EBO Program is not applicable.

Monthly Reporting

Where applicable, the awarded supplier(s) will be required monthly to submit evidence of participation and payment to all small (SBE), minority-owned (MBE), women-owned (WBE), LGBT-owned (LGBTBE), and service-disabled veteran owned (SDV) subcontractors. Sufficient evidence may include, but is not necessarily limited to copies of subcontracts, purchase orders, applications for payment, invoices, and cancelled checks.

Questions related to contract compliance may be dire	ected to the referenced BAO rep.
Yes, monthly reporting is applicable.	No, monthly reporting is not applicable.

Public Information and Records Retention

Solicitation and award documentation are available upon request. Please email the referenced buyer to arrange. A copy of this notice will be placed in the solicitation file and sent to all offerors.

Right to Protest

Per MCL 4.36.010 – any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract may protest to the purchasing agent. The protest shall be submitted in writing within ten (10) days after such aggrieved person knows or should have known of the facts giving rise thereto.

A valid protest must demonstrate that the purchasing agent did not follow the law, the regulations, or the dictates of the solicitation. Protests based upon subjective scoring are not appropriate and may be grounds for placing the actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor on the list of suspended or debarred persons.

Vennis Rowland **Dennis Rowland** Purchasing Agent & Chief Procurement Officer



RFQ #399452 – General Repair and Maintenance Services

Company Name	Qualifications and Experience	Methodology and Approach	Cost Criteria	Financial Offer	Contract Exceptions	Total Points
	(30 Points)	(30 Points)	(40 Points)		(Y/N)	(100)
Evolution Maintenance, Inc	23.00	25.00	32.08	\$38,015,500.00	No	80.08
Henderick Inc	17.00	12.00	40.00	\$30,493,012.98	No	69.00
Lee Company	30.00	30.00	23.10	\$52,807,850.25	No	83.10
Leland, Inc	13.00	26.00	17.41	\$70,041,176.51	No	56.41

Evolution Maintenance, Inc	
Strengths	Weaknesses
Offeror demonstrates their years' experience performing general repair and maintenance services and met expectations in this regard.	• In relation to the list of proposed technicians and resumes, three of the full-time dedicated technicians that will be performing general maintenance service and repairs had limited experience and is slightly below expectations in this
Offeror demonstrates their understanding of the contract scope and how they will perform general repair and maintenance services and meet expectations in this regard.	regard. • Two of the offeror's reference project examples were not relevant and of similar size and scope to what Metro is seeking
Offeror provides a narrative describing how the offeror will manage and prioritize responses to Metro calls for service and meet expectations in this regard.	 and is slightly below expectations in this regard. In relation to how the offeror manages the performance and completion of service, offeror's narrative lacks

	technicians only and is slightly below expectations.
Henderick Inc	
Strengths	Weaknesses
Offeror demonstrates their years' experience performing general repair and maintenance services and met	• In relation to the list of proposed technicians and resumes, offeror's list of full-time dedicated technicians that will be
expectations in this regard.	performing general maintenance service and repairs had limited experience and is below expectations in this regard.
Offeror provided only one relevant reference project example of similar size and scope and meets expectations in this	• Several of the offeror's reference project examples were not relevant and of similar scope to what Metro is seeking and

- regard.
 Offeror demonstrates their understanding of the contract scope and how they will perform general repair and maintenance services and meet expectations in this regard.
- Several of the offeror's reference project examples were not relevant and of similar scope to what Metro is seeking and are below expectations in this regard.

understanding that the offeror will use Metro's work order system and provide Metro with dedicated service

- Offeror's narrative describing how they will manage and prioritize responses to Metro calls for service lacks detail and lacks an understanding of Metro's expected response times and is below expectations in this regard.
- In relation to how the offeror manages the performance and completion of service during standard Metro workdays and hours, and weekend/holiday service, the narrative provided lacks detail and is below expectations in this regard.

Lee Company	
Strengths	Weaknesses
 Offeror demonstrates a detailed narrative of their years' experience performing general repair and maintenance services and is above expectations in this regard. Offeror provided 13 full-time dedicated technicians that will be performing general maintenance service and repairs, provided detailed resumes for the proposed technicians, and is above expectations in this regard. Offeror provided relevant reference project examples of similar size and scope and meets expectations in this regard. Offeror demonstrates a detailed understanding of the contract scope and how they will perform general repair and maintenance services and are above expectations in this regard. Offeror provided a detailed narrative describing how they will manage and prioritize responses to Metro calls for service and are above expectations in this regard. 	

• In relation to how the offeror manages the performance and completion of service during standard Metro workdays and hours, weekend/holiday service, service during non-routine hours, and emergency service the narrative provided was detailed and above expectations in this regard.

Leland, Inc

Strengths

- Offeror demonstrates their years' experience performing general repair and maintenance services and met expectations in this regard.
- Offeror demonstrates their understanding of the contract scope and how they will perform general repair and maintenance services and meet expectations in this regard.
- Offeror provides a narrative describing how they will manage and prioritize responses to Metro calls for service and meet expectations in this regard.

Weaknesses

- Offeror failed to provide a specific list of full-time dedicated technicians that will be performing general maintenance service and repairs and resumes of the proposed technicians and is significantly below expectations in this regard.
- Offeror's reference project examples were not relevant and of similar scope to what Metro is seeking and is below expectations in this regard.
- In relation to how the offeror manages the performance and completion of service, offeror referenced they would provide ten (10) dedicated service technicians instead of thirteen (13) technicians as requested by Metro and is slightly below expectations in this regard.

Enter Solicitation Title & Number Below							
General Repair and Maintenance Services; RFQ #399452	Min. SBE/SDV Requirement	Total Cost Points					
	11.00%	40.00					
Offeror's Name	Bids	RFP Cost Points					
Evolution Maintenance, Inc	\$38,015,500.00	32.08					
Henderick Inc	\$30,493,012.98	40.00					
Lee Company	\$52,807,850.25	23.10					
Leland, Inc	\$70,041,176.51	17.41					

Docusign Envelope ID: 152A58B3-B33E-47E	EC-901F-3C03257B16BC									
		Statement (of M/WB	Εl	Jtilizatio	n				
Proposer's/Firm's Name: Lee Company			•		41-		#: 615-948-6613			
Solicitation Title: General Repair and Mai	intenance Services							@leecompany.com		
Solicitation #: ^{399452,3}					Amount Self-	perfo	ormed : N/A			
Proposer's/Firm's Ownership: Non-M/WE					Total Bid Amo	ount:	\$9,973,000.00			
Proposed EBO Goal (%): MBE%	WBE%				EBO Goal Me	t? (Y,	/N)YES ▼			
The following MWBE* subcontractor(s)/supplier(s)	will be utilized for the performance of this	project:	0							
MBE/WBE Firm Name	MBE/WBE Firm Address	Phone/E-Mail	Certificate Type (MBE or WB		* MBE/W		Code # UNSPS/NAICS	Description of Work	MBE/WBE	Percent of Total Contrac
Henderick, Inc	700 8th Ave S, Ste. 203, Nashville, TN 37210	615-668-2213/waddell@henderick	MBE	, 	2	lacksquare	72141700	General Maintenance Services	\$498,650.00	
Material Handling Inc	1121 Elm Hill Pike, Nashville, TN 37210	833-277-9797/mikesain@mhiusa,r	мве	₹	4	•	78121604	Forklift rental and leasing services	\$99,730.00	1%
Eagle Eye Safety and Protection	3214 Cynthia Ln, Nashville, TN 37207	615-920-6945/lwilsoneep@gmail.c	МВЕ	•	2	▼	55121700	Safety Signage	\$99,730.00	1%
Compass Partners, LLC	8205 Maryland Ln, Brentwood, TN 37027	615-429-1783/bridget@compasspa	WBE	•	5	•	80111604, 80111707	Technician staffing needs	\$498,650.00	5%
₅ John Bouchard & Sons Co.	1024 Harrison St, Nashville, TN 37203	615-256-0112/michael.jackson@jb	WBE	\	5	•	72100000	Maintenance and Repair Services	\$99,730.00	1%
Titan Fastener & Supply LLC	935 Rep. John Lewis Way Sln, Nashville, TN 37203	615-734-3451/melissa@titanfaster	WBE	-	5	▼	31162400	Industrial supplies, fasteners	\$99,730.00	1%
, N/A	N/A	N/A	Select		Select		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
I am the duly authorized representative and certify	the facts and representations contained in	this form and suppor	ting documents a	re tr	ue and correct.					
Authorized Representative (Printed Name/Title Taylor Scott Director of In		nt as a PDF and refr	ain from printin	g ar	nd signing it.*				Date	10/17/2025
raylor cock Bircolor or in	ntogration Delivery 1 iii									10, 11, 2020
*Note: MW8E is defined as business enterprise maintaining a signific	ant business prescience in the Program Area & performi					i: (1) /	African Americans (2) Native Ame	ricans, (3) Hispanic Americans, (4) Asian An	nericans, and (5) W	omen.
Has Prime Complied with EBO Goal?	ES 🔽	For Inter	<mark>nal Office U</mark> If No, Good I	se ait	ONLY h Efforts M	et?	BAO Only			
BAO Representative: Evans Cline	Metro	Buyer: Brad			Project I			Date:	11/18/2	25
Total MBE Subcontracting Total WBE Subcontracting	7 % 7 %	\$698110 \$698110								

BAO Notes:

Total MBE/WBE Participation:

14

\$ 1396220

RΔ	O Sma	II Rusiness	Assessment	Sheet
-/-				

BAO Specialist: Evans Cline

Contract Specialist: Brad Wall

Date: 11/18/25

Department Name: General Services

RFQ#: 399452

Project Name: General Repair and Maintenance Services

Primary Contractor*	Prime Bid Amount	Total Proposed SBE/SDV (\$)	Acknowledged SBE/SDV Requirement?	SBE/SDV Requirement (%)	Comments
Lee Company	\$ 9,973,000.00	\$ 1,396,220.00	Yes	14%	The prime is not an approved SBE and acknowledged the 11% SBE/SDV requirement over the life of the contract. Prime proposed the utilization of the following SBEs: Henderick Inc \$498,650/5%, Compass Partners, LLC \$498,650/5%, Titan Fastener and Supply LLC \$99,730/1%.