



**Notice of Intent to Award**

Solicitation Number	401384	Award Date	2/5/2026   7:23 AM CST
Solicitation Title	Pavement Data Collection Services		
Buyer Name	Daniel Drumwright	Buyer Email	Daniel.Drumwright2@nashville.gov
BAO Rep	Angie Martin	BAO Email	Angie.Martin@nashville.gov

**Awarded Supplier(s)**

In reference to the above solicitation and contingent upon successful contract negotiation, it is the intent of the Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County to award to the following supplier(s):

Company Name	Cyvl, Inc	Company Contact	Noah Budris	
Street Address	76 School Street			
City	Somerville	State	MA	Zipcode 02143

Company Name		Company Contact		
Street Address				
City		State		Zipcode

Company Name		Company Contact		
Street Address				
City		State		Zipcode

**Certificate of Insurance**

The awarded supplier(s) must submit a certificate of insurance (COI) indicating all applicable coverage required by the referenced solicitation. The COI should be emailed to the referenced buyer no more than 15 days after the referenced award date.

**Equal Business Opportunity Program**

Where applicable, the awarded supplier(s) must submit a signed copy of the letter of intent to perform for any and all minority-owned (MBE) or woman-owned (WBE) subcontractors included in the solicitation response. The letter(s) should be emailed to the referenced business assistance office (BAO) rep no more than two business days after the referenced award date.

Yes, the EBO Program is applicable.       No, the EBO Program is not applicable.

**Monthly Reporting**

Where applicable, the awarded supplier(s) will be required monthly to submit evidence of participation and payment to all small (SBE), minority-owned (MBE), women-owned (WBE), LGBT-owned (LGBTBE), and service-disabled veteran owned (SDV) subcontractors. Sufficient evidence may include, but is not necessarily limited to copies of subcontracts, purchase orders, applications for payment, invoices, and cancelled checks.

Questions related to contract compliance may be directed to the referenced BAO rep.  
 Yes, monthly reporting is applicable.       No, monthly reporting is not applicable.

**Public Information and Records Retention**

Solicitation and award documentation are available upon request. Please email the referenced buyer to arrange. A copy of this notice will be placed in the solicitation file and sent to all offerors.

**Right to Protest**

Per MCL 4.36.010 – any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract may protest to the purchasing agent. The protest shall be submitted in writing within ten (10) days after such aggrieved person knows or should have known of the facts giving rise thereto.

A valid protest must demonstrate that the purchasing agent did not follow the law, the regulations, or the dictates of the solicitation. Protests based upon subjective scoring are not appropriate and may be grounds for placing the actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor on the list of suspended or debarred persons.

Dennis Rowland  
**Dennis Rowland**  
 Purchasing Agent & Chief Procurement Officer

ZK (Initial)  
**Zak Kelley**



## RFQ 401384 Pavement Data Collection Services

Company Name	Methodology and Project Capacity (40 Points)	Qualifications and Experience (20 Points)	Cost Criteria (40 Points)	Total Points (100 Points)
Applied Research Associates, Inc	37	18	19.61	74.61
Cyvl, Inc	30	10	40.00	80.00
Fugro	27	13	12.62	52.62
Lamar Dunn & Associates, Inc. dba LDA Engineering	30	13	23.05	66.05
Michael Baker International, Inc	33	17	15.09	65.09
Roadway Asset Services, LLC	33	16	7.47	56.47

Note: International Cybernetics Co., LP DBA IMS was deemed non-responsive to this solicitation.

RFQ 401384 Pavement Data Collection Services		Total Cost Points
		40
Offeror's Name	Total Bid Amount	Total Cost Points
Cyvl, Inc	\$3,598,000.00	40.00
Lamar Dunn & Associates, Inc. dba LDA Engineering	\$6,243,807.38	23.05
Applied Research Associates, Inc	\$7,340,729.72	19.61
Michael Baker International, Inc	\$9,534,585.42	15.09
Fugro	\$11,406,784.47	12.62
Roadway Asset Services, LLC	\$19,255,211.00	7.47
INTERNATIONAL CYBERNETICS COMPANY, LP DBA IMS (deemed non-responsive)		

Applied Research Associates, Inc	
Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provided a detailed understanding of the scope of this project, how firm will accomplish the desired deliverables, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a detailed narrative including major tasks, sub tasks, and number of crews for tasks and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a detailed plan of how firm proposes to manage a typical project from notice to proceed to project close out, including proposed method of collecting data, calculating Overall Condition Index of each segment with Condition Categories of Distress, Ride, Weathering, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Identified potential risks associated with these types of projects, gave specific examples from similar previous projects, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Identified key activities and/or challenges directly related to project and met expectations in this regard.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of Details related to how firm successfully mitigates projects' risks and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of Detail related to firm's practices and processes in monitoring and managing activities and subcontractor performance to minimize requests for change orders and did not meet expectations</li> <li>• Lack of Detail related to firm's innovative approaches used to reduce costs, decrease time to completion, improve the value of the finished project and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Failed to adhere to the resumes page limits and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Some resumes displayed a lack of experience on related projects and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Failed to provide graphics images that effectively highlight project relevance and did not meet expectations.</li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provided a detailed Quality Assurance Plan summary ensuring the performance of the Scope of Work, including oversight of subcontractor's work, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a general project management methodology that will be employed, identifying procedures for evaluating pavement, quality control procedures, safety, scheduling, document control, contract administration, punch list management, and close out procedures in accordance with Metro's guidelines and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a plan to train Metro personnel on the data collection used to conduct field investigations on roadway pavement distresses and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Detailed firm's capability and approach to providing pavement assessment, including mitigating scheduling conflicts and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided progressive approaches used to reduce costs, decrease time to completion, and improve the value of the finished project and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Identified key individuals on the project team, describing the project role, relevant qualifications with similar projects that demonstrate ability, capacity, and skill in providing the required services and was above expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided an organization chart that includes all key individuals proposed, demonstrates the team relationship, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a detailed description of firm's capacity to perform the scope, responsiveness to Metro's needs, number of employees, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Acknowledged firm's understanding that key staff shown in the proposal will be assigned as stated in firm's responses unless a written request for personnel substitutions is submitted and approved by Metro meeting expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided relevant completed project experience on a minimum of 3 projects, detailing experience (Prime and Sub), of a similar size, scope, and complexity and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided firm's depth of experience in managing reports and other close-out documentation and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Detailed firm's experience in managing payment processing for locally-managed State-funded and/or locally-managed Federally-funded roadway construction projects and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Listed the major projects organization has in progress, including the name of the project, value, percent complete, and anticipated completion date and met expectations in this regard.</li> </ul>	
---	--

Cyvl, Inc	
Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provided a detailed understanding of the scope of this project, how firm will accomplish the desired deliverables, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a detailed narrative including major tasks, sub tasks, and number of crews for tasks and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a detailed plan of how firm proposes to manage a typical project from notice to proceed to project close out, including proposed method of collecting data, calculating Overall Condition Index of each segment with Condition Categories of Distress, Ride, Weathering, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Identified potential risks associated with these types of projects, gave specific examples from similar previous projects, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Identified key activities and/or challenges directly related to project and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a general project management methodology that will be employed, identifying procedures for evaluating pavement, quality control procedures, safety, scheduling, document control, contract administration, punch list management, and close out procedures in accordance with Metro's guidelines and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Detailed firm's capability and approach to providing pavement assessment, including mitigating scheduling conflicts and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Identified key individuals on the project team, describing the project role, relevant qualifications with similar projects that demonstrate ability, skill, and number of years' experience in providing the required services and met expectations in this regard.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of Detail related to Quality Assurance Plan ensuring the performance of the Scope of Work, including oversight of subcontractor's work, and was below expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of details related to plan to train Metro personnel on the data collection used to conduct field investigations on roadway pavement distresses and was below expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of Details related to how firm successfully mitigates projects' risks and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of Detail related to firm's practices and processes in monitoring and managing activities and subcontractor performance to minimize requests for change orders and was below expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of Detail related to firm's innovative and progressive approaches used to reduce costs, decrease time to completion, improve the value of the finished project and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Failed to adhere to the formatting requirements and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Some resumes displayed a lack of experience on related projects and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Failed to include capacity for key individuals on the project team and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Two referenced projects provided not of a similar size and were below expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of detail related to firm's depth of experience in managing reports and other close-out documentation and was below expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of detail related to firm's experience in managing payment processing for locally-managed State-funded and/or locally-managed Federally-funded roadway construction projects and was below expectations.</li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provided an organization chart that includes all key individuals proposed, demonstrates the team relationship, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a detailed description of firm's capacity to perform the scope, responsiveness to Metro's needs, number of employees, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Acknowledged firm's understanding that key staff shown in the proposal will be assigned as stated in firm's responses unless a written request for personnel substitutions is submitted and approved by Metro meeting expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided multiple relevant completed project experience detailing experience (Prime and Sub) of a similar scope and complexity and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Listed the major projects organization has in progress, including the name of the project, value, percent complete, and anticipated completion date and met expectations in this regard.</li> </ul>	
---	--

Fugro	
Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provided a detailed narrative including major tasks, sub tasks, and number of crews for tasks and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a detailed plan of how firm proposes to manage a typical project from notice to proceed to project close out, including proposed method of collecting data, calculating Overall Condition Index of each segment with Condition Categories of Distress, Ride, Weathering, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Identified potential risks associated with these types of projects, gave specific examples from similar previous projects, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Identified key activities and/or challenges directly related to project and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a general project management methodology that will be employed, identifying procedures for evaluating pavement, quality control procedures, safety, scheduling, document control, contract administration, punch list management, and close out procedures in accordance with Metro's guidelines and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Detailed firm's capability and approach to providing pavement assessment, including mitigating scheduling conflicts and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Identified key individuals on the project team, describing the project role, relevant qualifications with similar projects that demonstrate ability, and skill in providing the required services and was above expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided an organization chart that includes all key individuals proposed, demonstrates the team relationship, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a detailed description of firm's capacity to perform the scope, responsiveness to Metro's needs, number of employees, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Acknowledged firm's understanding that key staff shown in the proposal will be assigned as stated in firm's responses unless a written request for personnel substitutions is submitted and approved by Metro meeting expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided relevant completed project experience on a minimum of 3 projects of a similar size, scope, and complexity and met expectations in this regard.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of Details related to how firm successfully mitigates projects' risks and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of Detail related to firm's practices and processes in monitoring and managing activities and subcontractor performance to minimize requests for change orders and did not meet expectations</li> <li>• Lack of Detail related to firm's innovative approaches used to reduce costs, decrease time to completion, improve the value of the finished project and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Failed to adhere to the resumes page limits and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Some resumes displayed a lack of experience on related projects and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Failed to provide firm's depth of experience in managing reports and other close-out documentation and was below expectations.</li> <li>• Failed to provide firm's experience in managing payment processing for locally-managed State-funded and/or locally-managed Federally-funded roadway construction projects and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Failed to identify firm's role as prime or subcontractor on referenced projects and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Failed to provide major projects organization has in progress, including the name of the project, value, percent complete, and anticipated completion date and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Capacity of key individuals on the project team was below expectations.</li> </ul>

Lamar Dunn & Associates, Inc. dba LDA Engineering	
Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provided a detailed plan of how firm proposes to manage a typical project from notice to proceed to project close out, including proposed method of collecting data, calculating Overall Condition Index of each segment with Condition Categories of Distress, Ride, Weathering, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Identified potential risks associated with these types of projects, gave specific examples from similar previous projects showing firm successfully mitigated those projects' risks, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Identified key activities and/or challenges directly related to project and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a detailed Quality Assurance Plan summary ensuring the performance of the Scope of Work, including oversight of subcontractor's work, and met expectations in this regard.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of Detail related to the understanding of the scope of this project, how firm will accomplish the desired deliverables, and was below expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of Detail related to narrative including major tasks, sub tasks, and number of crews for tasks and was below expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of Details related to plan to train Metro personnel on the data collection used to conduct field investigations on roadway pavement distresses and was below expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of Detail related to firm's practices and processes in monitoring and managing activities and subcontractor performance to minimize requests for change orders and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Project Management Methodology lacked detail related to the management of scheduling and was below expectations.</li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provided a general project management methodology that will be employed, identifying procedures for evaluating pavement, quality control procedures, safety, document control, contract administration, punch list management, and close out procedures in accordance with Metro's guidelines and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Detailed firm's capability and approach to providing pavement assessment, including mitigating scheduling conflicts and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided progressive approaches used to reduce costs, decrease time to completion, and improve the value of the finished project and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Identified key individuals on the project team, describing the project role, relevant qualifications with similar projects that demonstrate ability, capacity, and skill in providing the required services and was above expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided an organization chart that includes all key individuals proposed, demonstrates the team relationship, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a detailed description of firm's capacity to perform the scope, responsiveness to Metro's needs, number of employees, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Acknowledged firm's understanding that key staff shown in the proposal will be assigned as stated in firm's responses unless a written request for personnel substitutions is submitted and approved by Metro meeting expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided relevant completed project experience on a minimum of 3 projects, detailing experience (Prime and Sub), of a similar scope and complexity and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Detailed firm's experience in managing payment processing for locally-managed State-funded and/or locally-managed Federally-funded roadway construction projects and met expectations in this regard.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of Detail related to firm's innovative approaches used to reduce costs, decrease time to completion, improve the value of the finished project and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Three referenced projects provided not of a similar size and were below expectations.</li> <li>• Failed to provide Cost/Value of referenced a project and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of detail related to firm's depth of experience in managing reports and other close-out documentation and was below expectations.</li> <li>• Failed to provide the major projects the organization has in progress and did not meet expectations.</li> </ul>
---	--

Michael Baker International, Inc	
Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provided a detailed narrative including major tasks, sub tasks, and number of crews for tasks and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a detailed plan of how firm proposes to manage a typical project from notice to proceed to project close out, including proposed method of collecting data, calculating Overall Condition Index of each segment with Condition Categories of Distress, Ride, Weathering, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Identified potential risks associated with these types of projects, gave specific examples from similar previous projects, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Identified key activities and/or challenges directly related to project and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a general project management methodology that will be employed, identifying procedures for evaluating pavement, quality control procedures, safety, scheduling, document control, contract administration, punch list management, and close out procedures in accordance with Metro's guidelines and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a plan to train Metro personnel on the data collection used to conduct field investigations on roadway pavement distresses and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Detailed firm's capability and approach to providing pavement assessment, including mitigating scheduling conflicts and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Detailed firm's practices and processes in monitoring and managing activities and subcontractor performance so as to minimize requests for change orders and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided progressive approaches used to reduce costs, decrease time to completion, and improve the value of the finished project and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Identified key individuals on the project team, describing the project role, relevant qualifications with similar projects that demonstrate ability, skill, and number of years' experience in providing the required services and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided an organization chart that includes all key individuals proposed, demonstrates the team relationship, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a detailed description of firm's capacity to perform the scope, responsiveness to Metro's needs, number of employees, and met expectations in this regard.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of Detail related to the understanding of the scope of this project, how firm will accomplish the desired deliverables, and was below expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of Detail related to Quality Assurance Plan ensuring the performance of the Scope of Work, including oversight of subcontractor's work, and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of Details related to how firm successfully mitigates projects' risks and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of Detail related to firm's innovative approaches used to reduce costs, decrease time to completion, improve the value of the finished project and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• One referenced projects provided not of a similar size and were below expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of detail related to firm's experience in managing payment processing for locally-managed State-funded roadway construction projects and was below expectations.</li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Acknowledged firm's understanding that key staff shown in the proposal will be assigned as stated in firm's responses unless a written request for personnel substitutions is submitted and approved by Metro meeting expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided multiple relevant completed project experience detailing experience (Prime and Sub) of a similar scope and complexity and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided firm's depth of experience in managing reports and other close-out documentation and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Listed the major projects organization has in progress, including the name of the project, value, percent complete, and anticipated completion date and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Detailed firm's experience in managing payment processing for locally-managed Federally-funded roadway construction projects and met expectations in this regard.</li> </ul>	
--	--

Roadway Asset Services, LLC	
Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provided a detailed narrative including major tasks, sub tasks, and number of crews for tasks and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a detailed plan of how firm proposes to manage a typical project from notice to proceed to project close out, including proposed method of collecting data, calculating Overall Condition Index of each segment with Condition Categories of Distress, Ride, Weathering, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Identified potential risks associated with these types of projects, gave specific examples from similar previous projects, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Identified key activities and/or challenges directly related to project and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a detailed Quality Assurance Plan summary ensuring the performance of the Scope of Work, including oversight of subcontractor's work, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a general project management methodology that will be employed, identifying procedures for evaluating pavement, quality control procedures, safety, scheduling, document control, contract administration, punch list management, and close out procedures in accordance with Metro's guidelines and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a plan to train Metro personnel on the data collection used to conduct field investigations on roadway pavement distresses and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Detailed firm's capability and approach to providing pavement assessment, including mitigating scheduling conflicts and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided progressive approaches used to reduce costs, decrease time to completion, and improve the value of the finished project and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Identified key individuals on the project team, describing the project role, relevant qualifications with similar projects that demonstrate ability, skill, and number of years' experience in providing the required services and met expectations.</li> <li>• Provided an organization chart that includes all key individuals proposed, demonstrates the team relationship, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided a detailed description of firm's capacity to perform the scope, responsiveness to Metro's needs, number of employees, and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Acknowledged firm's understanding that key staff shown in the proposal will be assigned as stated in firm's responses unless a written request for personnel substitutions is submitted and approved by Metro meeting expectations. in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided multiple relevant completed project experience detailing experience (Prime and Sub) of a similar scope and complexity and met expectations in this regard.</li> <li>• Provided firm's depth of experience in managing reports and other close-out documentation and met expectations.</li> <li>• Listed the major projects organization has in progress, including the name of the project, value, percent complete, and anticipated completion date and met expectations in this regard.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of Detail related to the understanding of the scope of this project, how firm will accomplish the desired deliverables, and was below expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of Details related to how firm successfully mitigates projects' risks and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of Detail related to firm's practices and processes in monitoring and managing activities and subcontractor performance to minimize requests for change orders and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of Detail related to firm's innovative approaches used to reduce costs, decrease time to completion, improve the value of the finished project and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• One referenced projects provided not of a similar size and were below expectations.</li> <li>• Failed to provide Start and Ending of one project and did not meet expectations.</li> <li>• Failed to include firm's depth of experience in managing reports and other close-out documentation and was below expectations.</li> <li>• Lack of detail related to firm's experience in managing payment processing for locally-managed State-funded and/or locally-managed Federally-funded roadway construction projects and was below expectations.</li> </ul> <p>in this regard.</p>